Minneapolis Police Department Internal Affairs Unit September 15, 2023

# MPD DISCIPLINE MATRIX DRAFT

July, 2025

#### Introduction

### **MPD Discipline Matrix**

#### **Introduction**

The Minneapolis Police Department (MPD) is a part of, and empowered by, the community. Minneapolis Police Officers are not separate from the citizens of Minneapolis. We draw our Our authority comes from the law, but our legitimacy is rooted in from the will and consent of the people. We understand that effective policing goes beyond just enforcing laws; it involves building meaningful, trusting, and long-lasting relationships with those we serve.

Minneapolis is home to diverse and vibrant communities. We recognize Minneapolis is made up of people of diverse characteristics, with community members coming from all over the world with complex and diverse lived experiences to make

Minneapolis home. MPD values and celebrates this diversity as a source of strength.

- The police are the instrument of the people for achieving and maintaining order. Our efforts are founded on the principles of public service and ultimate responsibility to the public.
- The specific goals and priorities which we establish within the limits of our legislatively granted authority are determined to a large extent by community desires. These desires are transmitted to us through the community and the governing body of the City of Minneapolis.
- We conscientiously strive to be responsive to these desires, knowing full wellthat we exist not to serve ourselves but to serve and protect others.
- Police officers are accountable to the people for their decisions and the subsequent consequences. Public confidence in the criminal justice systemdepends primarily on the trust that the people have in their police.

The MPD is committed to protecting and respecting all those we serve. We are dedicated to partnering with our community to foster strong, collaborative relationships that enhance public safety, uphold the law, and tackle the underlying causes of crime.

To achieve this, the MPD expects all Department members to uphold high standards of moral character and integrity, act in accordance with the law, with services provided without discrimination and bias, and to always maintain impeccable professional conduct. The members of the Minneapolis Police Department are committed to doing all we can to protect and serve in a way that minimizes harm and risk to our community and to ourselves. Sanctity of life is the most precious of all our duties.

We must serve all our communities without bias or favor.

- Public safety is not just the absence of crime, it is the presence of justice.
- Every aspect of our professional service must demonstrate our commitment toprocedural justice.
- We will act by giving others voice and respect, being neutral and building trust in our interactions

The MPD is dedicated to providing professional police service to the community: to protect lives, preserve freedom, keep the peace, provide assistance, safeguard property, and uphold the law. To carry out these duties, police officers are granted authority for investigative detentions, pedestrian and vehicle stops, arrests, searches, and seizures of property. With that authority comes the responsibility to exercise good judgement, act within the law to protect individual rights, and apply Department policy and training.

An effective discipline <u>and correction</u> system supports the success of the Department and the officers in carrying out <u>the this</u> mission by promoting public trust through accountability, individual responsibility, and high standards of professionalism.

- Both the public and officers MPD members should have confidence that when the Department and City policy policies is are violated, fair and consistent discipline correction action based on the facts and circumstances of the violation will be imposed. This below matrix is meant to be a transparent guide for the Chief of Police to issue corrective action in a fair and consistent manner, informing both the Department and the public about what can be expected if policy violations occur.
- Proportionality requires that the discipline imposed for a violation reflect the seriousness of the harm or risk created by the misconduct.
- The imposition of discipline should reflect the values of the Department while protecting the rights of both officers and citizens.
- The MPD discipline system strives to encourage respect among Department employees as well as with the community the MPD serves and protects.

# **Updated Discipline Matrix**

This updated discipline matrix has been developed through research and review of discipline matrix documents from other police agencies, and with input from the Police Officers Federation of Minneapolis. The discipline matrix is periodically updated to better align the operation of the discipline process with community expectations and best practices, to further the Department mission, and to establish expectations for all those involved in the process; this matrix may be updated again in the future. The matrix is one element of the disciplinary process, which is designed to further the following goals:

-

- Correct inappropriate behavior and return the employee to performance that meets Department expectations
- Support the mission and values of the Department
- Educate Department members and the public regarding standards of conductand the discipline process
- Provide notice that harm and the risk of harm arising from misconduct will be used to evaluate the seriousness of the violation
- Establish a cultural of accountability, personal responsibility, and professionalism
- Ensure the good order and efficiency of Department operations
- Deter future misconduct
- Provide the framework for fair and consistent discipline
- Impose consequences that are proportional to the seriousness of the violation

This matrix does not attempt to catalog all possible policy violations and the corresponding level of discipline. Rather, this matrix is a rubric for understanding the factors that will be used to evaluate the seriousness of a violation and the appropriate level of discipline.

- The Chief will consider the totality of the circumstances when determining the category of the violation and the appropriate level of discipline.
- Given the complexity of many events, it is not possible to predetermine the precise
  penalty for a policy violation; the Chief will evaluate the particular facts along with
  any consequential aggravating or mitigating factors and determine the penalty
  from the full range of sanctions available within a category to reflect the
  seriousness of the misconduct.
- The imposition of discipline is expected to further the goals outlined above.

As has always been past practice, the Chief of Police or the Chief's designee retains the right to vary from this matrix as the unique circumstances of the violation may warrant.

The Chief will document the basis for this decision in the discipline memo.

With the establishment of the MPD discipline matrix dated
September 15, 2023, employees are on notice that the
Department intends to change prior disciplinary practices; this
discipline matrix is now the standard of discipline for the MPD
effective with its issuance. All disciplinary decisions for violations
occurring after the issuance of this matrix will not rely on past
standards but on the standards described herein.

#### **Definitions**

Aggravating Circumstances: Conditions, events, or factors related to the actor, the actor's conduct, or the effect of the conduct on others, that increase the seriousness of the violation and/or increase the degree of discipline from the presumptive discipline specified in the Matrix. (See the aggravating/mitigating section below for specific examples)

<u>Corrective Action:</u> Any employment action taken in response to a complaint of misconduct. This includes both disciplinary action and non-disciplinary corrective action.

<u>Demotion:</u> A disciplinary reduction in civil service rank for those at the permanent rank of Sergeant or Lieutenant. Demotions can occur for category C and D level violations.

<u>Discipline Matrix (Matrix):</u> A written, consistent, progressive, and transparent tool or rubric that provides ranges of disciplinary actions and non-disciplinary corrective actions for different types of misconduct.

Mitigating Circumstances: Conditions, events, or factors related to the actor, the actor's conduct, or the effect of the conduct on others, that decrease the seriousness of the violation and/or decrease the degree of discipline from the presumptive discipline specified in the matrix. Mitigating circumstances cannot excuse or justify the violation. (See the aggravating/mitigating section below for specific examples).

Non-Disciplinary Corrective Action: Department actions not disciplinary in nature, taken to correct behavior. Non-disciplinary corrective action may take place where there is no policy violation but a desire to course correct, or where there is a policy violation and the non-disciplinary corrective action is either in lieu of discipline or in addition to it. Examples of non-disciplinary corrective action include coaching and training.

<u>Suspension:</u> Unpaid time off. In lieu of unpaid time off, the Department may debit the member's vacation balance for any portion of the prescribed suspension. Any suspension will be imposed in total hours.

Written Reprimand: A formal written notice to the employee documenting the policy violation and providing warning about future disciplinary action. This is considered the least severe form of discipline.

### Matrix Process

<u>Violation Categories:</u> The matrix includes 5 categories of violations, categorized by the severity of the impact of the violation on the public, the MPD, and the involved members. The categories are labeled A-E, with category A being the least severe and category E being the most severe. Each category lists examples of policy violations that fall within the category.

<u>Each category also lists a presumptive corrective action, including for Categories B-E a</u> presumptive level of discipline, as well as the upper and lower levels of discipline that may be

imposed, based on documented aggravating or mitigating factors. The matrix does not attempt to catalog all possible policy violations and the corresponding categories of discipline (for B-E violations). Rather, it is meant to be a guide for understanding the factors that will be used to determine the category of the violation and the appropriate corrective action. The examples listed under each category are those which would typically but may not always fall into that category.

#### **Determine Outcome:**

The Chief is the final decision-maker and retains sole authority regarding whether to impose corrective action following complaint investigations. The Chief will consider the totality of the circumstances when determining the category of the violation and the appropriate corrective action, including the level of discipline for a Category B-E violation. The category of the violation is determined by the facts and circumstances of the violation before the application of aggravating or mitigating factors to determine any deviations from the presumptive discipline. The Chief may not impose only non-disciplinary corrective action where, based on the individual facts and circumstances of a case, the Disciplinary Matrix calls for discipline. The MPD Chief makes discipline determinations based solely on the information contained in the investigative report and case file, investigative findings, the facts and circumstances of the situation, the presence of mitigating or aggravating factors, and the application of any progressive discipline policy (which may include that, although discipline will normally be administered progressively, progressive discipline does not require that each form of discipline be applied, nor must it be applied, in any particular order). Any departures from the presumptive discipline, including any downward departures from the minimum discipline, shall be justified based on the facts and circumstances of the situation and documented in writing by the MPD Chief.

Multiple Count Adjustments: Each sustained misconduct violation shall be considered separately for the purpose of determining appropriate corrective action, except if the same conduct results in overlapping policy violations, in which case the policy violation with the highest category of discipline may be considered for determining corrective action. The other offenses determine whether and how much to increase the corrective action within the selected category. Where an allegation of police misconduct contains multiple separate potential policy violations, even if the most serious allegations are "Not Sustained," such a determination will not preclude the imposition of discipline, training, or other non-disciplinary corrective measures for "Sustained" findings of less serious misconduct stemming from the same set of allegations.

<u>Prior Record:</u> The matrix incorporates a member's previous sustained corrective action record in determining discipline for new violations. This does not include Early Intervention System (EIS) and MPD Health and Wellness non-discipline related records, which unless the records are

directly related to an allegation of misconduct and a referral for investigation. Discipline
categories B through D list three levels of discipline depending on the number of previous
violations within a prescribed period of time from the date of incident(s), as well as
enhancement of what would otherwise be Category A violations to a discipline category based
on repeated violations.

Additional Corrective Actions: Along with any discipline issued, the Department may also require additional non-disciplinary measures, including but not limited to such items as performance mentoring, training, and transfer.<sup>1</sup>

# <u>Aggravating and Mitigating and Aggravating Circumstances</u>

The Department MPD recognizes that every situation is different and that there may be mitigating or aggravating circumstances that may affect the discipline imposed. The table below outlines identifies examples of mitigating and aggravating factors that may, but are not required to, be considered and applied by the Chief in the imposition of deviating from the presumptive discipline. Mitigating factors offered by the member or the member's union representative shall be considered by the Chief when determining which mitigating factors to apply. When the Chief determines mitigating or aggravating factor(s) shall be applied to deviate from the presumptive discipline, these factors shall be documented in the written basis for the decision. The table below is non-exhaustive and is intended to provide a guiding framework for assessing mitigating and aggravating factors. To be considered, mitigating and aggravating factors should be more than incidental and should bear a consequential relationship to the conduct in the incident.

As explained by POFM contract within Article 17. Police-Officers-Federation-CPO-Contract-2023-2025-SIGNED.pdf

# Public <u>t</u>Trust (Aggravating)

Whether the member's actions cause, or reasonably could have caused. Actions that cause the members of the public to lose trust in the MPD or in the police profession. This shall include an analysis of the failure to deliver procedural justice including providing others voice, acting with neutrality, and showing respect.

#### **LAW ENFORCEMENT CODE OF ETHICS:**

"As a Law Enforcement Officer, my fundamental duty is to serve mankind; to safeguard lives and property; to protect the innocent against deception, the weak against oppression or intimidation, and the peaceful against violence or disorder; and to respect the Constitutional rights of all to liberty, equality and iustice.

I will keep my private life unsullied as an example to all; maintain courageous calm in the face of danger, scorn, or ridicule; develop self-restraint; and beconstantly mindful of the welfare of others. Honest in thought and deed in both my personal and official life, I will be exemplary in obeying the laws of the land and the regulations of my department. Whatever I see or hear of a confidential nature or that is confided to me in my official capacity will be kept ever secret unless revelation is necessary in the performance of my duty.

I will never act officiously or permit personal feelings, prejudices, animosities or friendships to influence mydecisions. With no compromise for crime and with relentless prosecution of criminals, I will enforce the law courteously and appropriately without fear of favor, malice or ill will, never employing unnecessary force or violence and never accepting gratuities.

I recognize the badge of my office as a symbol of public faith, and I accept it as a public trust to be held so long as I am true to the ethics of the police service. I will constantly strive to achieve these objectives and ideals, dedicating myself to my chosen profession...law enforcement."

#### **Procedural Justice**

 Actions that fail to give others voice; be neutral; show respect; and build trust undermine policelegitimacy and reduce public and officer safety. Prior Discipline record (to include non-disciplinary corrective actions)

(May be mitigating or aggravating)

Repeated violations falling within the same category within a prescribed period of time have presumptive enhancements within categories B through D below. The Chief may consider other aspects of the member's prior record, including but not limited to the recency, nature, and/or number of other violations, and the effect of previous violations on employee knowledge. Includes recency, relatedness, seriousness, and overall history of prior sustained discipline and any other appropriate documentation (recent training and/or warnings specific to the behavior).

	This can include norfermence evaluations recognitive
<u>Previous positive work history</u> ( <u>Mitigating)</u>	This can include performance evaluations, recognitions, and any other documented positive work history.
Previous negative work history (Aggravating)	This can include performance evaluations, performance improvement plans, and any other documented negative work history.
Responsibility of reank (Aggravating)	• Employees Members of a higher rank will-shall be held to a higher standard of conduct and knowledge of departmental policies based on the virtue of their positions. With rank comes the responsibility of supervision, including setting an example, ensuring MPD-policies are followed, and providing guidance for the behavior and actions of subordinates.
Personal gain (Aggravating)	This includes personal gain or attempt to receive personal gain as a result of the violation.
Efforts to conceal the violation (Aggravating)	Were there any deceit or other evidence of the member trying to conceal the violation? This may be considered in enhancement of the original violation in addition to any separate discipline related to these efforts.
Resulting injury to another or damage to property  (Aggravating)	Did the violation cause injury to another or damage to property or did it have a reasonable likelihood of doing so?
Seniority (May be mitigating or aggravating)	Length of tenure as a peace officer and/or with the MPD Seniority will be weighed against the behavior in question-based upon the factors surrounding the- incident, such as experience, training, culpability, and circumstances of the event. Members are expected to have knowledge and behave commensurate with their level of department and rank seniority.
Culpability/Employee intent (May be mitigating or aggravating)	<ul> <li>Were the member's actions intentional/knowing, reckless, or negligent, and if so, to what degree?         What was the member's intent in their actions?         Was the action taken as a result of a concern for others? What training and/or notice was provided to the employee? An evaluation of the behavior should consider whether the employee acted intentionally or with knowledge that the behavior amounted to a violation of policy.</li> <li>Did the employee recklessly disregard factors that a reasonable person would have considered?</li> <li>Did the employee act negligently, recklessly or carelessly?</li> </ul>

Employee <u>a</u> Attitude  (May be mitigating or <u>aggravating)</u>	<ul> <li>What is was the employee's member's attitude towards the behavior? Did the member self-report the incident in a proper/timely manner? Did they admit their behavior was in violation of policy?</li> <li>Did the employeethey accept responsibility for their actions the violation? Did the employee self-report? Did they show remorse? This member's attitude throughout the entire process from the time of the incident until a decision is made may be considered.</li> </ul>
Training	<ul> <li>Any training specific to the behavior in question.</li> <li>May be as simple as a review of policy or as formalized as structured classroom or other job related training.</li> </ul>
Commendations (Mitigating)	<u>Were there ⊕documented incidents of MPD</u> issued commendations or other commendations to include recency, relatedness, level and overall history of recognition?- This can include awards, compliments, performance evaluations, or other recognitions.
Unusual and serious workplace tensions or stressors (Mitigating)	Were there extraordinary circumstances present that were not within the member's control that could reasonably be expected to affect the employee's conduct?
Correction of behavior (May be mitigating or aggravating)	Has the conduct, upon notification, been corrected? Have intervention and prevention methods been utilized to correct the behavior and were the methods successful or unsuccessful? Was the correction of behavior handled and resolved by the member's chain of command?
Good will intention (Mitigating)	Did the member reasonably believe that the conduct was at the benefit of the department or the public? Did the member reasonably believe that the conduct was the best course of action based on their knowledge, expertise, training, and assessment?

Categories and Definitions	<u>Corrective Action</u>
Category A: Conduct that, while against policy, is isolated in nature and has or risks a minimal negative	Coaching, Training, or Other Non-Disciplinary Corrective Action  First and Second same or similar sustained policy violation remain with a Category A. The Third same or similar policy violation within
impact on public safety or on	

MPD's overall operations or professional image.	1 year from the violation date (rolling calendar) will be upgraded to a Category B.

Categories and		<u>Disciplinary Range</u>				
<u>Definitions</u>	Level	Minimum with Mitigating Factors	Presumptive Discipline	Maximum with Aggravating Factors		
Category B:	1 <sup>st</sup> Violation	Written Reprimand	Written Reprimand	10 Hour Suspension		
or may have more than a minimal negative impact on the operations or professional	2 <sup>nd</sup> same or similar sustained policy violation in 2 years	Written Reprimand	10 Hour Suspension	30 Hour Suspension		
image of the member or MPD; or that negatively impacts	ard sustained policy violation in 2 years		Go to Category C			
relationships with other officers, public safety partners or the public.						

Categories and		<u>Disciplinary Range</u>				
<u>Definitions</u>	Level	Minimum with	<u>Presumptive</u>	Maximum with		
		Mitigating	<u>Discipline</u>	Aggravating Factors		
		<u>Factors</u>				
Category C:	1 <sup>st</sup> Violation	<u>Written</u>	20 Hour Suspension	40 Hour Suspension		
Conduct that		Reprimand				
involves a risk to	2 <sup>nd</sup> same or	20 hour	30 hour suspension	80 hour suspension		
	<u>similar</u>	suspension				
safety or that has	sustained					
or may have a	policy violation					
pronounced impact on the	in 3 years					
	3 <sup>rd</sup> sustained					
operations or	policy violation		Go to Category D			
professional image	in 3 years					
of the member or						
MPD; or on						
<u>relationships with</u>						

other officers,		
public safety		
partners or the		
public.		

Categories and		Disciplinary Range				
<u>Definitions</u>	Level	Minimum with Mitigating Factors	Presumptive Discipline	Maximum with Aggravating Factors		
Category D:	1 <sup>st</sup> Violation	30 Hour Suspension	40 Hour Suspension	80 Hour Suspension		
contrary to the values of the MPD or that substantially interference with its	2 <sup>nd</sup> same or similar sustained policy violation in 5 years	60 Hour Suspension	80 Hour Suspension	360 Hour Suspension		
interferes with its mission, operations, or professional image or that involves a serious risk to the member or others.	3 <sup>rd</sup> sustained policy violation in 5 years		Go to Category E			

<u>Categories and Definitions</u>	<u>Disciplinary Range</u>
	<u>Presumptive Discipline</u>
<ul> <li>Category E: Any conduct involving:         <ul> <li>Intentional misuse of authority to harm another.</li> <li>A violation of law, policy or regulation which results or could reasonably be foreseen as resulting in death or great bodily harm.</li> <li>An act or omission which demonstrates a serious lack of integrity, ethics or character that relates to a member's fitness to hold their position.</li> <li>Egregious misconduct substantially contrary to the standards of conduct reasonably expected, to include those</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<u>Discharge</u> One Violation
whose sworn duty is to uphold the law.	

A failure to adhere to any condition of
employment required or mandated by
law.
18 U.S.C. 922 (g) (Lautenberg) violations
or conduct that meets the elements of
any crime identified in Minnesota Rule
6700.0700, subp. 1(D). (This includes
criminal violations that would cause a
loss of license to be a police officer.)

#### **Corrective Actions**

Description: Corrective Actions can be separate from discipline or added to the discipline depending on the specific facts of the incident and the presence of aggravating/mitigator factors. Corrective Actions can be imposed at the Chief's discretion.

Examples of Corrective Actions includes, but is not limited to, the following options:

- Loss of departmental driving privileges for X days, not to exceed X days, for the following vehicle types (all city vehicles, marked city vehicles, unmarked city vehicles, low-profile city vehicles), during the following types of shifts (all work shifts, only regular working shifts, only overtime shifts, etc.)
- Loss of secondary employment privileges for X days, not to exceed X days
- Mediation
- Loss of overtime privileges for X days, not to exceed X days
- Temporary suspension of secondary assignment for X days, not to exceed X days
- Involuntary transfer, if continued presence causes continued risk/harm to the unit
- Remedial training (ex: conflict resolution, anti-bias, driving conduct, etc.)
- Demotion (for Category C D violations only)
- Loss of special duty privileges for X days (other than required training), not to exceed X days
- Desk duty for X days, not to exceed X days

The examples of policy violations below are non-exclusive and non-exhaustive. For any policy							
violations that do not appear within the below list examples, the Chief will utilize the Category							
definitions to determine a	<b>Category and</b>	then utilize th	ne correspon	ding disciplinary range	to		
determine the corrective a	action.						
<u>Violation</u>	on A B C D E						
Code of Conduct Violations							

Use of derogatory,		X	X		
indecent, or		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
unnecessarily harsh					
language to address or					
reference another					
person, including					
profanity used as insult.					
(Does not include					
discriminatory or biased					
language which is					
· ·					
included in Category E)	V	V			
Use of derogatory,	X	X			
indecent, or					
unnecessarily harsh					
language not directed at					
a person					
<u>Failure to remain alert</u>			X	X	
and awake while on duty					
or during secondary					
employment. (i.e. asleep					
on duty,					
neglecting/avoiding calls					
for service, loafing)					
Violations involving					<u>X</u>
truthfulness: Making,					
orally or in writing, any					
false statement, or					
misrepresentation of any					
material fact.					
Violations involving		<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	X
truthfulness: Omissions					
of fact					
Threats of harm to				<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
<u>employees</u>					
Use of discretion as		X	X	X	X
dictated by policy					_
Violations of social media		X	X	X	X
policy		_	_		_
Engaging in sexual					X
activities while on duty					-
or during secondary					
employment					
Conduct meeting the				<u>X</u>	X
elements of DWI while				=	
driving a City vehicle					
(including all types of city					
owned vehicles)					
O TOTAL OF THE OFFI		l .	<u> </u>	1	l

Violations section					
below.)					
Failure to make required		X	X	<u>X</u>	
notification to supervisor		-	-	<u> </u>	
for a level 2 use of force.					
Failure to document		X	X	X	
level 2 use of force in		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
RMS in all required					
sections. (For minor					
clerical errors in					
reporting writing, refer					
to the Report Writing					
Violations section					
below.)					
Failure to make required			X	X	X
notification to supervisor				<u> </u>	
for a level 3 use force.					
Failure to document			X	X	X
level 3 use of force in			_		
RMS for all required					
sections. (For minor					
clerical errors in					
reporting writing, refer					
to the Report Writing					
Violations section					
below.)					
Failure to verbally warn	X	X			
of intention to use force	_	_			
(prior to use of force)					
when feasible and safe					
to do so					
Secondary Employm	ent Violatio	ons			
occordary Employm	CITE VIOIGE	<u>5115</u>			
	Γ.,		T	T	
Secondary employment	X	X			
violations to include					
failure to obtain approval					
to work a job site, squad					
usage, failure to handle					
calls for service.					
Failure to log on with	<u>X</u>	X			
MECC during permitted					
secondary employment					
Working at a prohibited		X	X		
job site for secondary					
employment (where it					
would be an approved					
site but for the employee					
did not follow the					
applicable process)					

Later to the state of				1	1
Working at a prohibited				<u>X</u>	X
job site for secondary					
employment (ex: adult					
entertainment, political)					
Violations relating to an	Χ				
expired secondary					
employment form					
	lations		1		
Arrest Procedure Vic	<u>Jiations</u>				
Intentional malicious or				X	X
intentional false arrest					_
(abuse of authority)					
Violations relating the	X	X	X	X	X
arrest procedures	<u>x</u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	V	V	V	V	
<u>Violations relating to</u>	X	X	X	X	
transportation of					
arrested persons					
Violations relating to	<u>X</u>				
securing arrested					
persons with fastened					
seatbelts during					
transport in any vehicle					
equipped with seat belts					
Search and Seizure F	Procedure V	liolations			
Search and Seizure I	Tocedure	violations			
			1	_	_
Intentional malicious or				<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
intentional false search					
(abuse of authority)					
Violations relating to	X	X	X	X	X
search procedures					
Failure to thoroughly		X	X	X	X
search an individual in					
police custody					
Timekeeping Violati	<u>UNS</u>				
	T	T	T	Т	Т
Violations of the total	<u>X</u>	X	X	<u>X</u>	X
hours of work per pay					
period, depending on					
frequency of occurrence					
Violations of the	X	X	X	X	X
requirement for rest					
periods within every 24-					
hour period, depending					
on frequency of					
occurrence					
Violations of the amount	V	V	V	V	\ \ \
	X	X	X	X	X
of days with no work					
shifts during each pay					

period, depending on					
frequency of occurrence					
Violations concerning the	X	<u>X</u>	X		
inputting the correct	^	^	<u> </u>		
code for timekeeping in					
workforce director,					
depending on frequency					
<u>of occurrence</u>		V			
<u>Violations concerning</u>	X	X	<u>X</u>		
including the correct					
information in the					
workforce director for					
permitted secondary					
employment as required					
by policy, depending on					
<u>frequency of occurrence</u>					
<u>Violations of timely</u>	X	X	X	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
entries, depending on					
<u>frequency of occurrence</u>					
Violations concerning	X	X	X	<u>X</u>	X
use of overtime,					
voluntary overtime,					
critical staffing overtime,					
court-related overtime,					
buyback, and/or phone-					
call related overtime as					
required by policy,					
depending on frequency					
of occurrence					
Handling of Evidence	and Perso	nal Proper	ty Violatio	ns	
randing of Evidence	and rerse	патторет	ty violatio	<u>115</u>	
Violations relating to		X	X	<u>X</u>	X
storage or release of		^	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
evidence/property (not including minor clerical					
mistakes)					
	V				
Violations relating to	X				
storage of release of					
evidence/property that					
were minor clerical					
mistakes (wrong case					
number, mislabeling) if					
not corrected within 24					
hours of notice					
<u>Violations relating to the</u>					<u>X</u>
intentional and willful					
destruction of evidence					
<u>or tampering</u>					
Uniforms/Badges/G	rooming Vi	<u>olations</u>			

No. 1 or 1 or 1 or					
<u>Violations related to</u>	X				
appearance concerning					
grooming and uniform					
Failure to provide name		<u>X</u>			
and badge number when					
<u>requested</u>					
<b>Vehicle-Related Viol</b>	ations				
Failure to inspect vehicle	Χ				
Failure to wear seat belt	X	Χ			
Preventable vehicle	<u>X</u>	_			
collision not resulting in	<u> </u>				
injury and/or only					
resulting in minor or no					
damage (more than 2 of					
these preventable					
collisions within 12					
months will elevate to					
Category B)					
Preventable vehicle		X	X	X	X
collision resulting in		_	_	_	_
injury and/or more than					
minor damage	V	V			
Improper procedures for	X	X			
towing a vehicle	V	V	V		
Initiating a pursuit	X	X	X		
against policy					
<u>Violations relating to</u>		X			
exceeding the authorized					
squad limit during a					
<u>pursuit</u>					
<u>Unauthorized vehicle</u>		<u>X</u>			
type involved in a pursuit					
Failure to notify dispatch		X	X		
of involvement in a					
pursuit					
Failure to notify dispatch		X			
of discontinuation in a					
<u>pursuit</u>					
Failure to continuously	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>			
use lights and sirens					
during					
pursuit/emergency_					
driving (exception for					
using radio and initiating					
an unannounced					
approach as allowed by					
policy)					
		<u> </u>			

		1	1	T	
Failure to use lights			X	X	X
and/or siren (one or					
both) throughout the					
entire duration of a					
pursuit/emergency					
driving					
Failure to acknowledge		<u>X</u>	X		
the role of pursuit					
supervisor					
Failure to complete	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>			
required pursuit					
reports/narratives if not					
completed within 48					
hours of notification by					
supervisor or MPD unit					
(including required					
reports from the primary					
pursuit squad, secondary					
pursuit squads, and					
pursuit supervisor for					
both the driver(s) and					
passenger(s))					
Violations relating to				X	X
using an unauthorized				_	_
roadblock during a					
pursuit					
Violations relating to				X	X
using unauthorized					<u>~</u>
intentional vehicle					
contact during a pursuit					
Failure to terminate a	<u>X</u>	X			
pursuit after loss of	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
visual contact for a					
significant period of time					
as dictated by policy					
Failure to terminate a		Y	Y	X	
pursuit after direction		X	X	^	
from the pursuit					
supervisor					
Failure to obtain	X	<u>X</u>			
	^	^			
permission to pursue a vehicle outside of city					
<u>limits</u>			V	V	
Violations relating to			X	X	
driving the wrong way on					
a freeway (not including					
the freeway ramp)			V	N.	
<u>Violations relating to</u>	X	X	X	X	
take-home vehicles					

Rending Medical Aid Violations						
Good-faith effort to	Χ	X	<u>X</u>			
render medical aid when	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
the medical aid provided						
is not consistent with						
professional training.						
				V	V	
Failure to render or				X	X	
obtain any necessary						
emergency medical care						
whenever a person is						
injured, complains of						
injury or illness, or						
requests medical						
attention, consistent						
with training, following a						
<u>level 3 use of force</u>						
Willful failure to render				X	X	
or obtain any necessary						
emergency medical care						
whenever a person is						
injured, complains of						
injury or illness, or						
requests medical						
attention, consistent						
with training, where the						
officer knew or should						
have known there was a						
reasonable chance of						
death or great bodily						
harm						
Body Worn Camera	(BWC)/ Mo	bile Video	Recording	(MVR) Violations		
MVR/BWC violations	X					
involving failure to add	^					
correct required						
metadata (missing CCN,						
evidence category,						
assigned personnel, etc.)						
if not corrected within 30						
days of first notification						
through supervisory						
review or internal audits						
Failure to complete a	X					
start-up check for						
BWC/In-Car Camera (ICC)						
as required by policy						
Intentional de-activation					X	
not within policy,						

					T
disabling or destruction					
of BWC/ICC					
Violations involving a		X	X	<u>X</u>	
failure to activate					
BWC/ICC for entirety of					
incident as required by					
policy					
Violations involving late	X				
activation of BWC/ICC	<u>~</u>				
prior to on-scene arrival					
as required by policy (ex:					
while driving prior to					
engaging with any					
people)					
	V	V	V		
Violations involving late activation of BWC/ICC	X	X	X		
after on-scene arrival as					
required by policy		V	V	V	
<u>Violations involving an</u>		X	<u>X</u>	X	
early de-activation of					
BWC/ICC, if not covered					
by the temporary de-					
activation policy, as					
required by policy					
Failure to document or	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>			
narrate reason for failure					
to activate, late					
activation, or early de-					
activation of BWC/ICC as					
required by policy					
<b>Report Writing Viola</b>	tions_				
Clerical errors on written	X				
reports on fields	_				
required by policy that					
do not affect the					
substance and are					
detailed by the officer					
elsewhere in a report					
within 48 hours of					
notification by supervisor					
or MPD unit (Example:					
use of force details page,					
de-escalation page, etc.)					
Failure to make	X				
corrections within 7 days					
of first notification on a					
written report after					
written report after					

	I	I	I	T	
notified by supervisor or					
<u>internal audit</u>					
Failure to complete	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	X		
required reports or					
documentation (does not					
include use of force					
reports which is					
addressed in the					
spanning multiple					
categories section).					
	dont Ducco	duna Vialat	ione		
Domestic Abuse Inci	dent Proce	dure violat	10115		
Failure to conduct all of			X	X	
the steps required by			<u> </u>		
policy for a thorough					
preliminary investigation					
1					
for a domestic abuse					
incident (as outlined in					
P&P 7-314)					
Failure to follow all of			X	<u>X</u>	
the steps required by					
policy to thoroughly					
address the domestic					
abuse incident protocol					
(as outlined in P&P 7-					
314)					
Failure to conduct less	X	X	X		
than all of the steps	_	_	_		
required by policy					
resulting in a partial or					
insufficient preliminary					
investigation for a					
domestic abuse incident					
(as outlined in P&P 7-					
314)					
Failure to follow less	X	X	X		
than all of the steps					
required by policy to					
thoroughly address the					
domestic abuse incident					
protocol (as outline in					
P&P 7-314)					
De-Escalation Violati	ions			'	
Failure to utilize		X	X	<u>X</u>	X
techniques resulting in					
de-escalation where					
there was a reasonable					
opportunity to use the					
	l	l	ı	1	I

			1	1	1
techniques which does					
not pose a significant risk					
to safety of the officer,					
members of the public,					
<u>or another</u>					
<b>Duty to Report Viola</b>	<u>tions</u>				
	T	T	T	Τ	1
Failure to report		X	X	<u>X</u>	X
misconduct as required					
by policy (discipline					
category shall be the					
same as the discipline					
category for the					
unreported violation)					
Failure to notify		<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
supervisor or IA of off-					
duty behavior as					
required by policy					
Failure to make required	X	X	X		
notifications to MPD	_	-			
Chief or Watch					
Commander as required					
by policy					
Duty to Intervene Vi	olations		-IL	L	
Daty to intervene vi	<u>Olations</u>				
Failure to intervene as		X	X	X	X
required by policy		<u> </u>	^	<u>^</u>	^
(discipline category shall					
be the same as the					
discipline category for					
the underlying violation)					
	•				
<b>Court-Related Violat</b>	<u>ions</u>				
Failure to appear in court	X				
(first occurrence only	^				
regardless of duration of					
time)					
Failure to appear in court		X			
(second occurrence only		_			
regardless of duration of					
time)	1 ) (: = 1 : + : :	_			1
Performance-Related	<u>d Violation</u>	<u>S</u>			
Isolated instances of	X				
tardiness for shifts and					
required meetings.					
(Duration of tardiness					
must be of short length					
must be of short length	<u> </u>				1

	T		T		T
and have a minimal					
impact on the event in					
question)					
Failure to meet		X	X	<u>X</u>	X
conditions of		_	_	_	_
employment or maintain					
required certification					
Violations relating to		X	X	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
chronic inefficiency or		<u> </u>	^		^
incompetence					
		V	V	V	V
<u>Violations relating to</u>		X	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
<u>failure to supervise</u>					
Firearm-Related Viol	<u>ations</u>				
	Г		Τ	Τ	Т
Negligent or unsafe		<u>X</u>	X	<u>X</u>	
handling of a firearm					
that has a reasonable					
potential to cause injury					
or cause a discharge of					
the firearm					
Firearm discharge as a			<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
result of negligent or					
unsafe handling of a					
firearm					
Unauthorized			X		
ammunition			_		
Failure to report					X
discharge of a firearm					<u> </u>
Negligent handling of		X	X	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
firearm resulting in injury		<u> </u>	^		^
Reckless or intentional					V
					X
discharge or handling of					
a firearm resulting in					
injury or a reasonably					
foreseeable potential to					
cause an injury.					
Violations relating to		<u>X</u>	X	<u>X</u>	X
unauthorized					
modifications to a duty					
weapon or other					
<u>declared firearm</u>					
<b>Interference or Obst</b>	ruction Rel	ated Violat	ions		
Intentional destruction					<u>X</u>
or alteration of data for					-
the purpose of hiding					
evidence					
CVIDETICE					

Interference with or			X	X	<u>X</u>
obstruction of a			<u> </u>	<u>^</u>	Δ
misconduct investigation					
			V	V	V
Interference with or			X	X	X
obstruction of a criminal					
investigation					
Miscellaneous Violat	<u>tions</u>				
Unauthorized use of	X	<u>X</u>	X	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>
MPD trademark	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>X</u>
Failure to attend		<u>X</u>	X		
		^	^		
required training	V	V			
Failure to assign self or	X	X			
failure to request					
dispatch to assign self to					
a call for service					
<u>Insubordination as</u>		X	X	X	X
dictated by policy					
Neglect of Duty/Duty of	X	X	X	X	X
Action as dictated by					
policy					
Loss or damage of MPD	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>		
equipment (not including					
duty weapons or vehicle)					
Loss or damage of			<u>X</u>	X	<u>X</u>
equipment including					
duty weapons or vehicles					
Improper use, access,					X
disclosure, or permission					
to disclose confidential					
records, reports, data, or					
information					
Leaving assignment		X	<u>X</u>	X	X
without permission				<u>-</u>	
Failure to take/receive		X	X	X	X
complaint of misconduct				<u>~</u>	
Violations relating to		<u>X</u>	<u>X</u>	X	<u>X</u>
media relations as		^		^	
dictated by policy					
Violations relating to	X	Y	<u>X</u>	Y	Y
unauthorized use of MPD	^	X	^	X	X
trademark					
Discrimination, Hara	ssment, ar	<u>na Ketaliati</u>	on Violatio	<u>ons</u>	
Acts of bias,					<u>X</u>
discrimination,					_
harassment, sexual					
harassment, or					
retaliation as described					
retailation as described	İ	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

in MPD policy, the City					
Anti-Discrimination,					
Harassment and					
Retaliation Policy					
Using discriminatory,					X
biased, or racially					
insensitive language					
based on protected class					
<u>status</u>					
Immigration Related Allegations					
		_			
Failure to abide by the			X	<u>X</u>	X
City's Separation			_	_	_
Ordinance or MPD policy					
on immigration matters					

#### **MPD NON-DISCIPLINARY CORRECTIVE ACTION**

Category	<del>Description</del>	Violation examples noted (but not limited to)	<del>Level</del>
A	Conduct that is an isolated-incident and had or may have a minimal negative-impact on operations or professional image of the Minneapolis Police-Department.	Violations concerning improper attire/appearance     Loss or damage of equipment not to include firearms, radios, or automobiles     Failure to properly inspect vehicle     Failure to appear in court (first offense)      Using profanity in the presence of the public, except when excusable due to an employee's response to an emergency	Non- disciplinary corrective action: coaching, supervisory mentoring, added training, performan ce improveme nt plan, or related support to correct the conduct

Multiple A violations may lead to Category B violation

#### **MPD DISCIPLINE MATRIX**

Category	Description	Violation examples noted (but not limited to)	<del>Level</del>
₽	Conduct that:	Failure to obtain off duty employment approval	<del>Letter of</del>
	<ul> <li>Is a repeated minor</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improper handling/storage of found property</li> </ul>	<del>reprimand,</del>
	<del>violation.</del>	(money, drugs and firearms require great scrutiny)	<del>10 – 40</del>
	<del>or</del>	Violations concerning limitations on hours worked	hours of
	<ul> <li>Has or may have a</li> </ul>	Failure to attend or complete required training	suspension
	negative impact on	<ul> <li>Using derogatory, indecent, or unnecessarily harsh</li> </ul>	
	<del>operations or</del>	language, including using profanity as an insult,	
	<del>professional image of</del>	address or reference to another person	
	the MPD.	Ex: Describing someone as stupid or worthless,	
	<del>or</del>	telling someone they are "A stupid piece of",	
	<ul> <li>That negatively</li> </ul>	addressing someone as a "mother"	
	impacts relationships	Failure to make, file, or complete official reports	
	with other officers,	as required (excluding Use of Force beyond low-	
	other agencies, or the	<del>level)</del>	
	<del>public.</del>	Failure to report low-level force	

Multiple B violations may lead to Category C violation

Category	<del>Description</del>	Violation examples noted (but not limited to)	<del>Level</del>
E	Conduct that:	Improper handling of evidence or personal	<del>40 160</del>
	<ul> <li>Involves a risk to-</li> </ul>	property taken from a person who is in the-	hours of
	<del>safety.</del>	custody of an officer (money, drugs and firearms-	suspension
	<del>Or</del>	require great scrutiny)	
	<ul> <li>Has or may have a</li> </ul>	Siren or light use violation during emergency	
	pronounced negative	driving, no injury	
	<del>impact on the</del>	Pursuit driving violations not resulting in injury	
	<del>operations or</del>	Use of Force that is unnecessary or not-	
	<del>professional image of</del>	reasonable, but not likely to cause bodily injury	
	the MPD or an-	Failure to utilize de escalation tactics in an	
	<del>employee, or on</del>	incident where no injury or harm resulted	
	relationships with	Failure to notify a supervisor of non-deadly force	
	other officers, other	that requires supervisor review	
	<del>agencies, or the</del>	Failure report in PIMS non-deadly force (above low	
	<del>public.</del>	<del>level)</del>	
		Arrests or searches without legal authorization	
		Conduct meeting the elements of a misdemeanor	
		<del>DWI</del>	
L	•	Multiple Cuipletions many land to Cotonomy Duipletion	•

Multiple C violations may lead to Category D violation

Category	<del>Description</del>	Violation examples noted (but not limited to)	Level
Ð	Conduct that:	<ul> <li>Improper handling of a call in a way that</li> </ul>	<del>160 300</del>
	<ul> <li>Is substantially</li> </ul>	endangers the public or creates substantial risk	<del>hours of</del>
	contrary to the values	Unfit for duty due to impairment or intoxication	<del>suspension,</del>
	<del>of the MPD.</del>	Failure to thoroughly search an individual in police	<del>demotion</del>
	<del>or</del>	custody creating a risk of harm to others	
	<ul> <li>Substantially interferes</li> </ul>	Emergency driving or pursuit driving violations	
	with the MPD's	resulting in injury	
	mission, operations or	Negligent handling of a firearm resulting in a	
	<del>professional image.</del>	discharge likely to cause no injury	
	<del>or</del>	Use of Force that is unnecessary or not objectively	
	<ul> <li>Involves a substantial</li> </ul>	reasonable, and is likely to cause bodily injury	
	risk to officer or public	Failure to report Use of Force in PIMS or notify a	
	<del>safety.</del>	supervisor where force subject claims injuries or	
	<del>or</del>	has visible injuries, or force subject loses	
	<ul> <li>Intentionally and</li> </ul>	consciousness	
	knowingly violates	BWC violations, in situations involving reportable	
	MPD policy (without	Use of Force other than low level, pursuits or	
	<del>harm to another</del>	emergency driving involving injuries or property	
	<del>person)</del>	damage	

Multiple D violations may lead to Category E violation

<ul> <li>Conduct that involves:         <ul> <li>Intentional misuse of authority to harm another.</li> <li>An act that could have a serious negative impact</li> <li>Acts of bias, discrimination or retaliation as described in MPD policy &amp; the City Anti-Discrimination, Harassment and Retaliation Policy</li> <li>Using discriminatory, racially insensitive or biased language based on protected class</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	rmination
on officer sofety, public safety, or the professionalism of the MPD.  A violation of a law policy, rule, or regulation which foreseeably results in death or serious bodily injury; or constitutes a willful and wanton disregard of the MPD's mission, vision, and values.  An act or omission which demonstrates a scrious lack of integrity, ethics or character that relates to an MPD employee's fitness to hold their position.  Egregious misconduct substantially contrary to the standards of conduct reasonably expected, to include those whose sworn duty is to uphold the law.  A failure to adhere to any condition of employment required or mandated by law.  18 U.S.C. § 922(g) (Lautenberg) violations or a felony or a misdemeanor identified in any MN police accountability statutes.	