

The Minneapolis Complete Streets Checklist tracks project details and decisions for transportation projects; it may be suitable for other project types and may be used as such if desired. It shows how projects follow the City’s plans and policies about making streets safe, accessible, equitable, and sustainable. The Checklist is required by the City’s Complete Streets Policy which states that it must document planning decisions and how the policy is put into action for each project. It also states that the Checklist should be available to the public as part of the project process.

For more information on the Complete Streets Policy visit:
minneapolismn.gov/government/departments/public-works/tpp/complete-streets/

Project Name: North 2nd Street Bikeway

Contents:

1. Project Overview	2	3. Transportation Engineering & Design	8
2. Transportation Planning & Programming		4. Traffic & Parking Services	9
2A. Planned Networks	3	5. Transportation Maintenance & Repair	11
2B. Street Design	4	6. Surface Water & Sewers	12
2C. Vision Zero	5	7. Community Planning & Economic Development	14
2D. Engagement	6	8. Water Treatment & Delivery Services	16
2E. Accessibility	7	9. Minneapolis Fire Department	17
		10. Interagency Coordination	18

Completion Tracker:

0% ✓	Date: 09/26/2024	Completed by: Menno Schukking
Concept Layout ✓	Date: 08/19/2025	Completed by: Menno Schukking
30%	Date:	Completed by:
60%	Date:	Completed by:
90%	Date:	Completed by:
100%	Date:	Completed by:

1. Project Overview

Project Name: North 2nd Street Bikeway

Project or Program #: BIK28 - 2027

Project Managers: TPP: Menno Schukking

TED: Allison Bell

Core Team Members: TPS: Bill Prince

TMR: Ahmed Omer

SWS: Jeremy Strehlo, Justin Reynolds

CPED: Rattana Sengsoulichanh

WTDS: Jerry Schimmel

MFD Representative: N/A

Project Budget: \$5,000,000 construction

Funding Source(s): Regional Solicitation (\$4,000,000 Federal)
City: BIK28

Construction Year(s): 2027

Project Extents: Dowling Ave to Plymouth Ave

Project Description: The project includes a 2.2-mile protected bikeway on North 2nd Street from Dowling Ave N to Plymouth Ave N. At three signalized intersections this project will incorporate protected bike intersection design elements to increase the safety and visibility of people walking and biking. Additional work will include upgrading ADA pedestrian ramps, APS signals at three signalized intersections, and potential traffic safety and greening elements through the length of the corridor. This project is federally funded.

Project Goals: Curb protected bikeway, protected intersections, ADA curb ramps, APS signals, traffic safety. No elements involve moving or reconstructing the street.

Project Map:



2A. Transportation Planning & Programming - Planned Networks

This project includes a TPP project manager or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 3

0%

Does the project include areas on the...

Pedestrian Priority Network? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, list extents: Dowling Ave to Plymouth Ave

All Ages and Abilities Network? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, list extents and classifications: Dowling Ave to Plymouth Ave. Near-Term Low Stress Bikeway

Transit Priority Project map? Y ☒ N

If yes, list extents and classifications:

Truck Route Network? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, list extents and classifications: Dowling Ave to Plymouth Ave. Truck Route from 36th Ave to Plymouth. 10 Ton Truck Route Dowling to 36th Ave

Concept Layout

For areas on the Pedestrian Priority Network, summarize pedestrian improvements that will be made:

Shared use paths will be implemented for sidewalk gap from 26th Ave to 24th Ave. ADA pedestrian curb ramps will be updated.

For areas on the All Ages & Abilities Network, will the project add a new or enhance an existing bikeway? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, give facility type and extents - if no, explain why not:

Curb protected bikeway from Dowling to Plymouth, protected bike intersection at signalized intersections.

For areas on the Transit Priority Project map, summarize how the project will improve transit operations or access:

No transit service on the corridor, preserved space at some intersections for future local bus service

For areas on the Truck Route network, summarize how the project incorporates freight operations or access:

Coordinated with TPS and Hennepin County on appropriate design and control vehicles for intersections and driveways

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2A? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2A? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2A? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2A? Y, summarized in appendix N

2B. Transportation Planning & Programming - Street Design

0%

Street typology: Mixed Use Community Connector
(if multiple, list with extents)

Based on the street typology of the project area, what is the anticipated...

Design speed(s)
(list with extents if multiple):

25 mph

Design vehicle(s)
(list with extents if multiple):

WB-40 and SU-30

Control vehicle(s)
(list with extents if multiple):

FIRE MM-100 and WB-62

Do the existing conditions meet Street Design Guide minimum width recommendations for...

Sidewalk Zone	Frontage zone	Y	✓	N	Pedestrian clear zone	✓	Y	N	Boulevard/furnishing zone	Y	✓	N
	Describe any sidewalk zone elements of the existing conditions that do not meet minimum width recommendations:											
	No boulevard south of 26th Ave. Sidewalk gap between Dowling Ave and 33rd Ave, between 24th and 26th, and on west side between 22nd and 23rd											
Bikeway	Is there an existing bikeway: N (skip to roadway section) ✓ Y, type: Painted bike lanes, unprotected											
	Bike lane(s)	✓	Y	N	Buffer(s)	✓	Y	N	Intersection treatments	Y	✓	N
	Describe any bikeway elements of the existing conditions that do not meet minimum width recommendations:											
	Unprotected bike lanes, unprotected buffered bike lanes.											
Roadway	Parking lane/bay(s)	✓	Y	N	Travel/turn lane(s)	Y	✓	N	Median(s)	Y	✓	N
	Describe any roadway elements of the existing conditions that do not meet minimum width recommendations:											
	N/A											

Concept Layout

Does the concept layout meet Street Design Guide minimum width recommendations for...

Sidewalk Zone	Frontage zone	Y	✓	N	Pedestrian clear zone	✓	Y	N	Boulevard/furnishing zone	Y	✓	N
	Describe and provide rationale for any sidewalk zone elements of concept layout that do not meet minimum width recommendations:											
	This retrofit project primarily adds a curb protected bikeway and protected intersections. From 26th Ave to 24th Ave a shared use path is proposed to close a sidewalk gap. Some greening elements may add boulevard space.											
Bikeway	Is the project adding or upgrading a bikeway: N (skip to roadway section) ✓ Y, type: in-street, curb protected											
	Bike lane(s)	✓	Y	N	Buffer(s)	✓	Y	N	Intersection treatments	✓	Y	N
	Describe and provide rationale for any bikeway elements of concept layout that do not meet minimum width recommendations:											
Roadway	Parking lane/bay(s)	✓	Y	N	Travel/turn lane(s)	✓	Y	N	Median(s)	Y	✓	N
	Describe and provide rationale for any roadway elements of concept layout that do not meet minimum width recommendations:											

30%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2B?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
60%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2B?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
90%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2B?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
100%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2B?	Y, summarized in appendix	N

2C. Transportation Planning & Programming - Vision Zero

0%

Does the project include any areas on a current or previously identified High Injury Street? ✓ Y N

If yes, list: Northern project segment on Washington Ave from Dowling to 36th Ave

Has crash data been collected and analyzed conducted for this project? ✓ Y N

If yes, 53 total reported crashes from 2018-2022, including 8 crashes involving pedestrians and 7 crashes involving cyclists. 22% of motor vehicle crashes resulted in injuries, while 100% of bike crashes resulted in injuries.
give key findings:

Has vehicle speed data been collected and analyzed for this project? Y ✓ N

If yes,
give key
findings:

Concept Layout

Has crash or vehicle speed data been collected and analyzed for this project since 0%? ✓ Y, updated above. N

List all safety treatments that this project will implement:

This project will implement a separated 2-way bikeway on the corridor that will replace existing in-street bicycle lanes adjacent to vehicle traffic. It will also add protected intersection design elements at signalized intersections.

30%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2C?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
60%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2C?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
90%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2C?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
100%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2C?	Y, summarized in appendix	N

2D. Transportation Planning & Programming - Engagement

0%

Project area neighborhood(s):

McKinley, Hawthorne, Near-North

Project area ward(s) and Council Member(s):

4 (Vetaw), 5 (Ellison)

Project area TEP score tier(s): 1, 2

If project area includes multiple TEP score tiers, provide a breakdown by area:

1: Lowry to 26th. 2: Dowling to Lowry, 26th to Plymouth

Does the engagement plan indicate a need for language translation services? Y ☒ N

If yes,
summarize:

Has an engagement plan been completed? ☒ Yes, see appendix No

If no, explain:

Project engagement goals:

1. Develop trust with the people of the community
2. Make it clear what outcomes and decisions the public can influence
3. Share how the project changes in response to input from the public
4. Provide information on how the project can advance city goals

Concept Layout

Has an engagement summary been completed? ☒ Y, see appendix N

If no, explain:

Summarize the project's post-Concept Layout engagement needs:

Continued communication with property and business owners on construction phasing in 2027

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2D? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2D? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2D? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2D? Y, summarized in appendix N

2E. Transportation Planning & Programming - Accessibility

0%

Are all pedestrian ramps within the project area ADA compliant? Y ✓ N

If no, list locations: 34th Ave (west side), 33rd Ave (SW), 31st Ave N (all), 30th Ave N (all), 29th Ave N (all), 28th Ave N (all), 24th Ave N (NW, NE, SE), 23rd Ave N (all), 22nd Ave N (NW, NE, SW), 21st Ave (all), 18th Ave N (SE), 17th Ave N (SW), 16th Ave N (NE, SE), 15th Ave N (all), 14th Ave N (NW), Plymouth (all). No data on Lowry and Broadway.

Do all signalized crossings within the project area have accessible pedestrian signals (APS)? Y ✓ N n/a

If no, list locations:

Does the project area include any sidewalk gaps (or areas of sidewalk narrower than 5 feet?) ✓ Y N

If yes, list locations: Sidewalk gap between Dowling Ave and 33rd Ave, between 24th and 26th, and on west side between 22nd and 23rd

Concept Layout

Will the project replace all ADA non-compliant pedestrian ramps? ✓ Y N n/a

If no, list locations and explain reason: A few curb ramps will be replaced by a Met Council Interceptor Project in 2026, noted on the concept layout.

Will the project add APS to all signalized pedestrian crossings? ✓ Y N n/a

If no, list locations and explain reason:

Will the project address all sidewalk gaps or sections of sidewalk narrower than 5 feet? Y ✓ N n/a

If no, list locations and explain reason: Retrofit project scope and budget do not allow for closing sidewalk gaps between Dowling Ave and 33rd Ave (geotechnical challenges), and on west side between 22nd and 23rd (out of scope).

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2E? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2E? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2E? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2E? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 2: Transportation Planning & Programming

The TPP project manager has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 2 at the following project milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: Menno Schukking	Date: 09/26/2024
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: Menno Schukking	Date: 08/19/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

3. Transportation Engineering & Design

This project includes a TED project manager or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 4

0%

Do the anticipated design speed(s), design vehicle(s), and control vehicle(s) listed in Section 2B meet the guidance of the Street Design Guide? ☒ Y ☐ N

If no, explain:

Does the project require preliminary survey work? ☒ Y ☐ N If yes, has it been completed? ☒ Y ☐ N

Has any street construction work been completed within the project area in the previous five years? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, list: Upper Harbor - Dowling Ave intersection, 33rd Ave intersection

Are there any capital improvement projects within the project area planned for the next five years? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, list: Sanitary Improvements 10th Ave N to Broadway 2023-2024, SWS Project at 23rd Ave 2024, SWS Project at 22nd Ave to West River Road 2025, Met Council: Interceptor Project 27th to 14th Ave 2026, Water Main Rehab 10th Ave N to 41st Ave N (2028-2029), Blue Line Light Rail Extension (2027-2030)

Concept Layout

Were any changes or exceptions made to the design speed, design vehicle or control vehicles used for the concept layout? Y ☒ N

If yes, explain:

Are any temporary or permanent easements expected to be required? ☒ Y, temporary ☐ Y, permanent ☐ N

Do all dimensions of the concept layout meet the guidance of the Street Design Guide? ☒ Y ☐ N

If no, detail any exceptions or variances used as part of the concept layout:

Will any elements of the concept layout require a variance request from State Aid? Y ☒ N

If yes, explain:

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 3? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 3? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 3? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 3? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 3: Transportation Engineering & Design

The TED project manager has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 3 at the following project milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: Allison Bell	Date: 8/25/2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: Allison Bell	Date: 8/25/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

4. Traffic & Parking Services

This project includes a TPS core team member or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 5

0%

Do the anticipated design speed(s), design vehicle(s), and control vehicle(s) listed in Section 2B meet the guidance of the Street Design Guide? ☒ Y ☐ N

If no,
explain:

Will any traffic counts or speed studies need to be conducted as part of the project? Y ☒ N

If yes,
summarize:

Are any traffic, travel demand or parking studies expected or recommended? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, Parking utilization study completed in summer 2023
list:

Are there any notable or unique vehicle traffic generators in the project area? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, North Loop, Upper Harbor Terminal, industrial businesses, Riverfront/Ole Olson Park.
list:

Does the project include areas on the Street Lighting Plan map? Y ☒ N

If yes, list
extents:

Is new or upgraded street lighting expected or recommended based on the project scope and budget? Y ☒ N

Concept Layout

Were any traffic, travel demand, or parking studies conducted to inform the concept layout? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, list and attach in appendix: Parking utilization study, results shown in phase I engagement materials on project website.

If yes, summarize how the results were considered in the concept layout:

Parking demand is generally low to moderate along corridor. Informed placement of greenspace opportunities.
Traffic forecasting study conducted for signal operations

Will the project require changes to intersection signals? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, summarize changes: New signals at Broadway and Lowry Ave. Plymouth Ave intersection will be reconstructed with Blue Line LRT project.

Will the project require changes to regulated street parking? Y ☒ N

If yes, summarize
changes:

Does the project include the addition of or upgrade of street lighting? Y ☒ N

If yes, identify funding source:

30%

Will the project require changes to traffic, parking, or other street signage? ✓ Y N

If yes, summarize changes: Turning movements and traffic calming design elements will remove some parking near intersections for daylighting. Greenspace opportunities may remove some parking, but all blocks where parking exists today will continue to have parking in the future.

Have the locations of all proposed marked crosswalks been approved by Traffic & Parking Services? Y N

If no, list and explain:

Do all striping dimensions of the concept layout including travel, turn, parking, and bike lanes meet the guidance of the Street Design Guide? Y N

If no, detail any exceptions or variances used as part of the concept layout:

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 4? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 4? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 4? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 4: Traffic & Parking Services

The TPS core team member has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 4 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: William Prince	Date: 08/20/2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: William Prince	Date: 08/20/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%		Final signature:	Date:

5. Transportation Maintenance & Repair

This project includes a TMR core team member or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 6

0%

For retrofit projects, is the existing pavement condition suitable for the proposed project? ☐ Y ☐ N ☐ n/a

If no, explain needed and proposed maintenance work:

Is any future repaving, concrete rehabilitation and/or pedestrian ramp replacement work scheduled within the project area? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, detail with locations: Met Council interceptor project (2026) will replace some pedestrian ramps, noted on concept layout

Concept Layout

Are the design dimensions of the concept layout adequate for routine maintenance operations? ☒ Y ☐ N

If no, explain:

Does the design of the concept layout create any additional maintenance needs or issues? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, explain: Snow removal from new protected bikeway.

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 5? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 5? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 5? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 5? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 5: Transportation Maintenance & Repair

The TMR core team member has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 5 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: Ahmed Omer	Date: 08/27/2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: Ahmed Omer	Date: 08/27/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

6. Surface Water & Sewers

This project includes a SWS core team member or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 7

0%

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been completed through the Scoping/0% Development section? ☒ Y ☐ N

Does the project expect to trigger the stormwater management requirements of the Chapter 54 ordinance?

☐ Y ☐ N ☒ not yet determined

Does Surface Water & Sewers have any planned capital improvement projects in the project area? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, Sanitary Improvements 10th Ave N to Broadway, 2023-2024

list: SWS Project at 23rd Ave, 2024

SWS Project at 22nd Ave to West River Road, 2025 Met Council: Interceptor Project 27th to 14th Ave, 2026

Concept Layout

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been completed through the Concept Layout section? ☒ Y ☐ N

Based on the concept layout, will the project require changes to existing stormwater or sanitary sewer infrastructure? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, Some stormwater catchbasins will need to be moved.
summarize:

Has the expectation of triggering the stormwater management requirements of the Chapter 54 ordinance changed?

☐ Y, no longer expected ☐ Y, now expected ☐ N

Will the project implement any new green stormwater infrastructure not required by Chapter 54? ☐ Y ☐ N

If yes, summarize and identify funding source:

If expecting to trigger the requirements of Chapter 54, does the concept layout include sufficient surface stormwater BMPs? ☐ Y ☐ N

If no,
explain:

30%

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been completed through the 30% Plan Development section? ☐ Y ☐ N

If the project requires changes to stormwater or sanitary sewer infrastructure or operations, have these changes been accounted for in the design plans? ☐ Y ☐ N ☐ n/a

If no,
explain:

If the project requires compliance with Chapter 54, do the 30% plans include sufficient surface stormwater BMPs to meet the ordinance requirements? ☐ Y ☐ N ☐ n/a

If no,
explain:

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 6? Y, summarized in appendix N

60%

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been completed through the 60% Plan Development section? Y N

If expecting to trigger the requirements of Chapter 54, do the 60% design plans include sufficient stormwater BMPs (of any type)?

Y, described below N, explained below

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 6? Y, summarized in appendix N

90%

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been completed through the 90% Plan Development section? Y N

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided Section 6? Y, summarized in appendix N

100%

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been fully completed through 100% Plan Development? Y N

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 6? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 6: Surface Water & Sewers

The SWS core team member has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 6 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: <i>Jeremy Strehlo</i>	Date: 8/27/25
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: <i>Jeremy Strehlo</i>	Date: 8/27/25
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%		Final signature:	Date:

7. Community Planning & Economic Development

This project includes a CPED core team member or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 8

0%

Are there any major private developments planned in the project area? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, Upper Harbor Redevelopment; proposed production mixed use - RiverNorth, 201, 217, and 229 W Broadway
list:

Are there any CPED-owned properties in the project area? ☐ Y ☒ N

If yes, list with any known development plans or identified opportunities for use in project :

Does the project area have any relevant small area, neighborhood, or corridor plans or studies? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, list (and attach summary if provided):

Upper Harbor Coordinated Development Plan -

<https://lims.minneapolismn.gov/Download/RCAV2/24628/Upper-Harbor-Terminal-Coordinated-Plan-Sept-2021.pdf>

Does the project area include any City designated Cultural Districts or Goods & Services Corridors? ☐ Y ☒ N

If yes,
list:

List any citywide or area specific Minneapolis 2040 goals and policies that the project should highlight:

Concept Layout

Based on the concept layout, will project advance the Minneapolis 2040 goals or policies identified at 0%? ☒ Y ☐ N

If no,
explain:

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 7? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 7? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 7? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 7? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 7: Community Planning & Economic Development

The CPED core team member has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 7 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: <i>Rattana Sengsoulichanh</i>	Date: 8/27/2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: <i>Rattana Sengsoulichanh</i>	Date: 8/27/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

8. Water Treatment & Distribution Services

This project includes a WTDS core team member or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 9

0%

Are there any planned water distribution infrastructure improvements or maintenance in the project area? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes,
list: Water Main Rehab 10th Ave N to 41st Ave N, south to north, 2028-2029

Concept Layout

Based on the concept layout, will the project require changes to existing water distribution infrastructure? ☐ Y ☒ N

If yes,
summarize:

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 8? Y, summarized in appendix ☐ N

60%

If the project requires changes to water delivery infrastructure, have these changes been accounted for in the design plans? ☐ Y ☐ N ☐ n/a

If no,
explain:

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 8? Y, summarized in appendix ☐ N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 8? Y, summarized in appendix ☐ N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 8? Y, summarized in appendix ☐ N

Checklist Review - Section 8: Water Treatment & Distribution Services

The WTDS core team member has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 8 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: <i>Jerry Schimmel</i>	Date: 8/27/2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: <i>Jerry Schimmel</i>	Date: 8/27/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

9. Minneapolis Fire Department

This project will include review by an MFD representative: Y ✓ N, skip to Section 10

0%

Does MFD currently experience any issues with providing emergency services in the project area related to street design or operations? Y ✓ N

If yes,
summarize:

Concept Layout

Has MFD identified any potential issues the project will create with providing emergency services? Y ✓ N

If yes, summarize: Project presented at monthly MFD review meeting on June 26, 2025. No issues were identified.

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 9? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 9? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 9? Y, summarized in appendix N

100%

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 9? Y, summarized in appendix N

Has the Minneapolis Fire Department submitted a letter of support for the project? Y, attached N

Checklist Review - Section 9: Minneapolis Fire Department

The project's MFD representative has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 9 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
Concept Layout	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

10. Interagency Coordination

Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB)

Contact person for project coordination (if any): Tyler Pederson

0%

Does the project area include any park facilities, or otherwise require coordination with MPRB? Y ☒ N

If yes, While not adjacent to park land, this project will serve as the bikeway closest to the west bank of the river from 26th Ave to 33rd Ave
summarize: Ave

If the project is expected to disturb trees in the public right-of-way, was a tree inventory performed? Y N ☒ n/a

Concept Layout

If coordination is required, has the concept layout been reviewed by a MRPB representative? ☒ Y N n/a

Is the project expected to remove or add any street trees in the public right-of-way?

☒ Y, add Y, remove Y, remove and add N

Arts & Cultural Affairs

Contact person for project coordination (if any):

0%

Will the project consider the addition of public art? Y ☒ N

Concept Layout

Will the project include the addition of public art? Y ☒ N If yes, has Arts & Cultural Affairs been contacted? Y ☒ N

Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT)

Contact person for project coordination (if any):

0%

Does the project intersect any state highways or otherwise expect to coordinate with MnDOT? ☒ Y N

If yes, Ben Klismith - driveway of MnDOT snowplow facility and street maintenance facility at 3636 Washington Ave N
summarize:

Concept Layout

If coordination is required, has the concept layout been reviewed by a MnDOT representative? Y N ☒ n/a

Will the project request cost sharing participation from MnDOT? Y ☒ N n/a

Will the project require a maintenance agreement with MnDOT? Y ☒ N n/a

Hennepin County

Contact person for project coordination (if any): Emily Schulz

0%

Does the project area intersect any County roads or otherwise expect to coordinate with Hennepin Co.? ☒ Y N

If yes, Project will updated signals and curbs at Broadway and Lowry Ave intersections
summarize:

Concept Layout

If intersecting a county road, has the concept layout been reviewed by a Hennepin Co. representative?	✓	Y	N	n/a
Will the project request cost sharing participation from Hennepin Co.?	✓	Y	N	n/a
Will the project require a maintenance agreement with Hennepin Co.?	Y	✓	N	n/a

Metro Transit

Contact person for project coordination (if any): Mike Mechtenberg, Raymond Eliot, Victoria Dan

0%

Is the project area serviced by any current or planned Metro Transit routes? Y ✓ N

If yes, No transit service on the corridor, preserved space at some intersections for future local bus service
summarize:

Concept Layout

Will the project make any changes that will affect Metro Transit operations or facilities? Y ✓ N

If yes,
summarize:

If coordination is required, has the concept layout been reviewed by a Metro Transit representative? ✓ Y N n/a

For all Interagency Coordination sub-sections:

30%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 10?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
60%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 10?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
90%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 10?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
100%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 10?	Y, summarized in appendix	N

Checklist Review - Section 10: Interagency Coordination

The TPP project manager has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 10 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm:	Name: <i>Menno Schukking</i>	Date: 09/26/2024
Concept Layout	check here to confirm:	Name: <i>Menno Schukking</i>	Date: 08/19/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:

The TED project manager has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 10 at the following milestones:

60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

Appendices

(select all applicable below and attach)

✓ Engagement Plan

Engagement Summary

Supplemental Traffic Studies (crash analysis, parking, speed, travel demand, etc.)

List:

CPED Plan Summaries (small area, neighborhood, corridor, etc.)

List:

✓ MFD Letter of Support

Additional 30-100% Updates:

2A. TPP - Planned Networks

2B. TPP - Street Design

2C. TPP - Vision Zero

2D. TPP - Engagement

2E. TPP - Accessibility

3. Transportation Engineering & Design

4. Traffic & Parking Services

5. Transportation Maintenance & Repair

6. Surface Water & Sewers

7. Community Planning & Economic Development

8. Water Treatment & Delivery Services

9. Interagency Coordination