



DRAFT FY 2025 Minneapolis

HUD Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation
Report (CAPER)

Comment Period: August 11- August 25, 2026

- Community Development Block Grant
- Emergency Solutions Grant
- Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS
- HOME Investment Partnerships
- HUD COVID-19 Supplemental Funds



Contents

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes	3
CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted	9
CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)	10
CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)	16
CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)	18
CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)	22
CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)	23
CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230	28
CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c).....	30
CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)	31
CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e).....	33
CR-58 – Section 3.....	35
CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)	37
Appendix	41

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

The 2025 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) is a consolidated report on activities in the following U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) entitlement formula grants received by the City of Minneapolis: Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA). The CAPER also covers HUD prior year award funds provided to the City of Minneapolis to address the COVID-19 pandemic consisting of CDBG and HOME-ARP COVID awards. The 2025 CAPER covers the 12-month program year of 2025 which runs June 1, 2025 - May 31, 2026. The 2025 program year is the first year of the City's Five Year Consolidated Plan Strategy 2025-2029. Even with some increase in annual HUD budget awards made in recent years, the City continues to be challenged in its efforts to address community development priorities, goals and strategies with HUD resources. In spite of the challenges, the City continues to apply its HUD resources to programming that seeks to expand economic opportunities benefiting low and moderate income residents, preserve and create decent and affordable housing opportunities, address the needs faced by those who are homeless or are threatened with homelessness, provide accessible public services for vulnerable populations, affirmatively further fair housing, and leverage its federal HUD funding with other funds to address significant, sustainable change in the community. This work includes the adoption of an Action Plan for use of its HOME American Rescue Plan (HOME-ARP) grant which saw project investments and rehabilitation activity in 2025. Although the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting economic conditions such as inflation and interest rates has affected residents of the city, it did not slow down delivery of CDBG, HOME, ESG or HOPWA programming and has accelerated the City's efforts at addressing the needs.

Specifically, during the 2025 Program Year, CDBG funds were directed to increased affordable housing investments to preserve existing affordable housing that is at risk of being lost for guaranteed affordability and to creating new affordable housing in an attempt to increase available supply. The City is currently undertaking several affordable multifamily housing projects with its CDBG and HOME to address affordable housing needs. Over the past program year 3 new affordable housing projects were put into production adding a total of 277 affordable units, 42 of the units assisted with HUD funds. Currently the City is spending HUD entitlement resources on supporting new multifamily rental projects with a total of 110 affordable units. Rehabilitation is occurring on an additional 515 units across the city. Since March 2020 the City has invested over \$14 million in Minneapolis shelters and to expand street outreach services. Because of these investments, there are 200 more shelter beds, including a low barrier culturally specific shelter.

The City can point to its efforts as success, however, great need still exists in the community, especially for those at the lowest of incomes. Housing costs in the city have continued to rise at a rate higher than personal income. With low rental vacancy rates and a constrained supply of housing resulting in low-income renters being shut out of limited rental market opportunities much work is still needed. Single family homes available for sale and priced at the most affordable levels and exhibiting quality still incur great demand.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Develop housing and services for the homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	750	410	54.67%	150	410	273.33%
Develop housing and services for the homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	450	92	20.44%	90	92	102.22%
Develop housing and services for the homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	5000	542	10.84%	1000	542	54.20%
Develop housing and services for the homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	209	0	0.00%			

Develop housing and services for the homeless	Homeless	ESG: \$	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	511	44	8.61%			
Expand economic opportunities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	260	71	27.31%	52	71	136.54%
Expand economic opportunities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Facade treatment/business building rehabilitation	Business	5	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
Expand economic opportunities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	1000	363	36.30%	200	363	181.50%
Expand economic opportunities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	1750	53	3.03%	350	53	15.14%
Improve neighborhood conditions	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	5350	1143	21.36%	1070	1143	106.82%
Improve neighborhood conditions	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	50	0	0.00%	10	0	0.00%
Improve neighborhood conditions	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	375	75	20.00%	75	75	100.00%

Improve neighborhood conditions	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	220955	220955	100.00%	220955	220955	100.00%
Provide decent affordable housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	125	42	33.60%	27	42	155.56%
Provide decent affordable housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1000	0	0.00%	319	0	0.00%
Provide decent affordable housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	125	8	6.40%	10	8	80.00%
Provide decent affordable housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	27	54.00%	25	27	108.00%
Provide decent affordable housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	50	27		10	27	270.00%
Provide decent affordable housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	300	87	29.00%	60	87	145.00%

Provide special needs housing	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	5	5	0.00%			
Provide special needs housing	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOME: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	15	22	146.67%	3	22	733.33%
Provide special needs housing	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG, HOME: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	150	0	0.00%	30	0	0.00%
Provide special needs housing	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	0	0		5	0	0.00%
Provide special needs housing	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	195	187	95.90%	175	187	106.86%
Provide special needs housing	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0.00%			

Provide special needs housing	Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs	HOPWA: \$	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	125	173	138.40%	125	173	138.40%
-------------------------------	---	-----------	-----------------------------	------------------------	-----	-----	---------	-----	-----	---------

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

The City uses its CDBG funding to address the following issues: making affordable housing investments to stimulate or stabilize housing markets; assisting emerging employment sectors through local technical assistance business providers, business development support and with residents who are trained to take advantage of job openings; and dedicating public service funds to addressing community needs. Funds are directed towards provision of special needs and decent affordable housing, housing and services for the homeless, expanding economic opportunities and improving neighborhood conditions. The City invests its HOME funds in first-time affordable homeownership opportunities and the creation of new long-term affordable rental housing. ESG funds programming addressing basic shelter and housing and service stabilization needs of those who are homeless. Finally, HOPWA funds are used to serve the housing needs of HIV+, medically fragile, low-income households throughout the metropolitan region.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted 91.520(a))

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA
Race:			
White	143	7	141
Black or African American	116	26	220
Asian	18	1	1
American Indian or American Native	7	1	21
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	3	0	3
Total	287	36	386
Ethnicity:			
Hispanic	82	2	36
Not Hispanic	205	33	381

Describe the clients assisted (including the racial and/or ethnicity of clients assisted with ESG)

	HESG
American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	137
Asian or Asian American	16
Black, African American, or African	473
Hispanic/Latina/e/o	108
Middle Eastern or North African	1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4
White	194
Multiracial	209
Client doesn't know	7
Client prefers not to answer	0
Data not collected	3
Total	1,152

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

All numbers in these tables are for completed activities for CDBG and HOME grants. CDBG numbers are pulled from the HUD IDIS reporting system Summary of Accomplishments report. The HOME numbers are combined HOME and HOME-ARP numbers pulled from the same Summary of Accomplishments report. Two race/ ethnicities were not listed above for HOME. ESG numbers are those reported in the SAGE reporting system as of the issuance of this draft report (three projects are still to report numbers). HOPWA numbers are pulled from HOPWA CAPER submission sheets completed by HOPWA project sponsors.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	13,662,033	14,701,972
HOME	public - federal	3,007,461	559,800
HOPWA	public - federal	3,050,590	3,314,598
ESG	public - federal	965,219	511,500

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The City budgeted \$13,662,033 in 2025 CDBG entitlement, past recognized program income funds and reprogrammed older CDBG funds. During the program year the City received \$4,891,183.14 in program income. Actual CDBG expenditures during the program year totaled \$ 14,701,972.40. The City was able to meet HUD's CDBG timeliness spending deadline for program year 2025. Prior-year entitlement funds and program income for the HOME, ESG, and HOPWA programs were also expended. The City expended \$170,885.95 in CDBG-CV funding to support the operations of Avivo Village and to staff homelessness reponse efforts. The City is expected to spend down the balance of its remaining \$73,449 in CDBG-CV funds by grant performance end date of June 30, 2026. HOME-ARP expenditure totaled \$2,568,681.83, focused on the rehabilitation/conversion of two properties: Kyle Square Garden and MN Indian Womens Resource Center.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

CDBG is directed on an area basis to census tracts in the city where the majority of residents are of low- and moderate-income and citywide to programming benefitting low- and moderate-income persons. ESG funds are expended for eligible activities within the Hennepin County Continuum of Care for projects serving Minneapolis residents. HOPWA funds are spent on programs serving site-based initiatives and providing tenant based rental assistance throughout the metropolitan area. HOME rehabilitation funds are spent throughout the City for income-eligible units. If a new construction project receives HOME funds, that project is sited in an area that is a non-concentrated area of race or poverty. New affordable housing is targeted for designated growth areas and commercial and transit corridors that can benefit from and support increased housing density.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

Other resources from federal, private and non-federal public sources that are reasonably expected to be available to address Consolidated Plan needs are state, county and local funds and federal applications for assistance. The state is a key funding source for rental and ownership housing projects. In 2024 the City began to receive State Local Affordable Housing Aid to support the development of affordable housing projects for renters and owners. Local tax-based funds are made available for housing and non-housing activities. Other resources expected during Consolidated Plan five-year cycle to support housing and community development goals will be Minnesota Housing, Metropolitan Council, Family Housing Fund, multi-family housing revenue bonds, mortgage revenue bonds, project-based Section 8, low-income housing tax credits, and the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance programs. The City will support any organization's application for state or federal assistance that is consistent with this Consolidated Plan.

The HOME program receives match that includes, but is not limited to the following:

- Project cash contributions (e.g. housing trust funds, foundation grants, and private donations)
- Proceeds from Housing Revenue Bonds with the automatic 4% Low Income Housing Tax Credit entitlement
- Cost of supportive services provided to the families residing in HOME-assisted units during the period of affordability.

A one-for-one match is required for the ESG grant. It is obtained by eligible match contributions received and expended by sub recipients in support of eligible ESG programming and activities. Sources of match by sub recipients can be unrestricted federal, state, local or private sources; however, if any match is federal the laws governing a particular source of federal funds must not prohibit these funds from being used as match to ESG. Additionally, if the ESG funds are used to satisfy match requirements of another federal program, then funding from that program may not be used as match for ESG. Minnesota State statute requires Hennepin County to dispose of tax-forfeited land through two approaches—sale to the City of Minneapolis or through a public auction process. The City typically acquires and treats those properties that are blighted (condemned and/or boarded and vacant) through either demolition or responsible rehabilitation. Disposition and sale of these properties is a strategy in addressing the housing stabilization needs expressed in this plan.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	43,323,657
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	405,803
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	43,729,460
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	274,388
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	43,455,072

Table 4 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
Agra 3345	09/16/2025	69,975	0	0	0	0	0	69,975
Clare Apartments 3531	05/27/2026	56,075	0	0	0	0	0	56,075
Snelling Yards Family Apts 3509 #1	08/25/2025	80,331	0	0	0	0	0	80,331
Snelling Yards Family Apts 3509 #2	10/16/2025	140,387	0	0	0	0	0	140,387
Snelling Yards Family Apts 3509 #3	11/24/2025	59,035	0	0	0	0	0	59,035

Table 5 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
0	559,800	559,800	0	0

Table 6 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	34,498,730	0	0	397,265	0	34,101,465
Number	2	0	0	0	1	1
Sub-Contracts						
Number	91	2	1	3	1	84
Dollar Amount	27,246,270	1,211,894	210,250	154,091	2,565,422	23,104,613
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	34,498,730	0	34,498,730			
Number	2	0	2			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	91	12	79			
Dollar Amount	27,246,270	2,923,613	24,322,657			

Table 7 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 8 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	90	92
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	300	360
Total	390	452

Table 10 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	265	279
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	37	42
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	349	0
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	10	1
Total	661	322

Table 11 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

No program structural problems were encountered in addressing goals. Ongoing challenges in creation of affordable housing include the lack of funding to make units deeply affordable at <30% MMI, shortage of large family rental housing units (and the rising costs to produce those larger units), and the continuing challenge in providing location choice. Due to the deep subsidy required for new production, preservation of existing units is easier than creation of new units. It is a continuing challenge to the City and its development partners to leverage enough resources to meet this commitment. The pandemic aftermath and current high interest rates has had an environmental effect of increased project costs with resulting building materials and labor costs that can delay finishing financing packages.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The City continues to budget approximately half of its CDBG resources to the support of affordable housing creation and stabilization and use its HOME funds to creatively bring new affordable housing into the market. Rental assistance will continue to be provided through ESG and HOPWA resources.

The City operates the Emergency Stabilization Pilot Program. The goal of the five-year Emergency Stabilization Pilot Program is to preserve and provide units of affordable and appropriately sized rental housing in north Minneapolis that were vacant and recently renovated under either a Minneapolis or Hennepin County housing program to help stabilize Minneapolis households facing imminent displacement. Financial counseling services will be offered to participating households and enrollment in a Family Assets for Independence in Minnesota (FAIM) program is recommended. Eligible applicants are households that face imminent displacement (within 90 days) from their rental unit due to the revocation of the landlord's rental license or condition of the property. Applicants must be at or below 60% of area median income at the time of application and appropriately sized for the number of bedrooms available in the unit.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	768	7
Low-income	582	2
Moderate-income	105	1
Total	1,455	10

Table 12 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

Numbers of persons served by activity is pulled from IDIS reports of CDBG and HOME summary of accomplishments. There were 1 first time homebuyer BIPOC assisted with HOME funds at 61-80% AMI. CDBG 327 households were provided with housing programs, 64% were BIPOC in race/ethnicity. For non-housing CDBG programming serving 3,245 persons 82% were BIPOC in race/ethnicity. 111 persons were at Non Low-Mod >80% AMI

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The City of Minneapolis participates in the local Continuum of Care model. The lead administrative entity for the Continuum of Care in Hennepin County and the City of Minneapolis is the Hennepin County Office to End Homelessness. The Heading Home Hennepin Executive Committee is responsible for planning and implementing the Continuum of Care in the County with support of the Heading Home Hennepin Operations Board. The County Office to End Homelessness provides staff support for the overall Continuum of Care; soliciting, reviewing and recommending applications for HUD funding; providing certifications of consistency with other HUD and state funding programs and development of Continuum of Care documentation materials required for applicants for HUD homeless program funding.

The City of Minneapolis works closely with a number of local and state agencies to ensure that Federal entitlement opportunities such as HOPWA, HOME, and ESG program resources meet the funding priorities supporting the Continuum of Care. For instance, the City works to direct funding to increasing the number of supportive housing units, as well as expansion of the scope of services provided to homeless persons. During the program year four affordable housing units designated for those exiting homelessness were completed. Entitlement funds are used to assist the local Continuum of Care by: continuing to coordinate outreach and assessment at shelter entrance points, emphasizing permanent supportive housing and prevention services versus continuing emergency shelter, and combining housing with appropriate supportive services. The City funds street outreach programs with its ESG and local funds. Street outreach services serve individuals meeting Category 1 and 4 definitions of homelessness with high barriers according to an assessment. Outreach services consist of engagement, case management, emergency and mental health services, transportation and unique services that work to connect users to housing opportunities and support services for which they are eligible. This street outreach programming under the ESG grant served 410 persons in the past program year.

The City continues its involvement in the Stable Homes initiative in partnership with Minneapolis Public Housing Authority, Hennepin County, and Minneapolis Public Schools, which supports unstably housed families with children in the public school system by providing assessments and housing vouchers. The City's Homeless Response Team, housed in Regulatory Services, plays a central role in addressing unsheltered homelessness. The team includes three Outreach Coordinators, one Lead Coordinator, and one Manager. Their work includes proactively engaging individuals experiencing homelessness, offering immediate shelter, addressing urgent needs, and connecting them to long-term housing and support

services. New encampment locations identified by the team are shared with the County's Streets to Housing team, which then completes Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) entries and Coordinated Entry System (CES) assessments at those sites.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Hennepin County has established the Hennepin Shelter Hotline (HSH) wherein folks can call and will complete a diversion conversation, if it is determined at that time that a household requires emergency shelter, the HSH will transfer the call to either the Family Shelter Hotline (families with minor children) or the Adult Shelter Connect (ASC) for single adults and youth (age 18-24 years old). Once in the shelters, the household will receive individualized services. This may include a Coordinated Entry (CES) assessment or other non-CES housing interventions as well as ensuring basic needs are met, goal planning and other such case management services.

Hennepin County is the primary funder of emergency shelter in Hennepin County focused on operations that are housing focused, person centered, and trauma informed. The County coordinates with service providers to use the Homeless Management Information System as a care coordination tool and use real-time data to try and better serve shelter guests.

Historically, the City has had a longstanding commitment to addressing the capital rehabilitation and renovation needs of the Continuum's emergency shelters with Hennepin County focused on funding of shelter operations and providing services. However, there has been an influx in capital funding over the last five years at both the local and state. As a result, the City has chosen to use its ESG funding to support shelter operations and emergency shelter essential services in the form of case management as an allowable use and priority of its formula ESG funds. The City seeks to budget the greater of its Hold Harmless amount \$582,812 or 60 percent of the grant annually to shelter rehabilitation/essential services and street outreach activities. Over the past program year this work has supported essential services work in emergency shelter and additional winter warming shelter units created by community subrecipient partners. As of the issuance of this draft report, 542 persons have been reported as being served by these services. We are still waiting on performance information to be submitted by three shelters.

The appendix contains the SAGE reporting system CAPER for 2025 program year ESG expenditures by the City. This SAGE CAPER provides detail on the persons served through ESG in the program year.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that

address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Hennepin County and its partners, including the City of Minneapolis, administer a coordinated homelessness prevention system with strategies and services oriented towards preventing homelessness among residents across three high-risk housing situations: (1) low-income renter households at imminent risk for eviction; (2) households residing in precarious housing (i.e. unstable, temporary housing with family or friends or paying for temporary stays in motels/hotels); and (3) people exiting justice and human service systems (i.e. incarceration, mental health and substance use treatment, hospitals, foster care, etc.). These strategies include emergency rental assistance and universal legal representation to support low-income tenants facing eviction to remain in their leased housing, housing focused case management and medium-term rental assistance to support households in precarious housing to move into permanent leased housing, and long-term housing subsidies paired with support services for various at-risk populations. Across all these interventions, assistance is targeted to households at or below 30% area median income.

Hennepin County's Housing Stability and Corrections departments have established a cross-system workgroup to facilitate cross-training and identify new strategies for disrupting the incarceration-to-homelessness pipeline (accounting for 3% of all homeless response system entries). New strategies include the integration of Housing Stabilization Services, a statewide Medicaid service, among Corrections-funded transitional housing programs. Hennepin County's Housing Stability and Child Protection departments also partner formally through coordination and service delivery contexts including special voucher programs such as the Family Unification Program and Foster Youth to Independence program serving child protection-involved families and youth exiting or exited from the foster care system, respectively.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

The City prioritizes funding rapid re-housing over homeless prevention. Rapid re-housing will serve people experiencing homelessness in the city of Minneapolis. Rapid re-housing is used to serve households experiencing homelessness in the City of Minneapolis, either in emergency shelter or unsheltered settings. Homeless families, single adults without children, and unaccompanied youth who are verifiably homeless according to the HUD definition found at 24 CFR §576.2 are eligible. City of Minneapolis ESG funding for rapid re-housing is delivered through service providers selected under Hennepin County's request for proposals process that is combined with other family homelessness assistance funds to maximize coordination and results. Homelessness prevention must be last resort

funds to keep a person/household at risk of homelessness in housing. In program year 2025, 92 households were served. Details are found in the SAGE ESG CAPER report in the appendix.

Rapid Re-housing and homelessness prevention funds can be for short-term or medium-term rental assistance for no longer than to obtain permanent housing. The rental assistance can be tied to the recipient or consist of project-based assistance that can “float” within a development serving eligible recipients. Housing relocation and stabilization services can also be provided consisting of financial assistance or services. Financial assistance is rental application fees, security deposits, last month’s rent, utility deposits, utility payments and moving costs. Financial services can include housing search and placement, housing stability case management, landlord-tenant mediation, legal services, and credit repair.

All housing referrals are made through the Coordinated Entry System which identifies people most appropriate for permanent supportive housing and those who can benefit from rapid rehousing or transitional housing. Priority is given to veterans who cannot be served through veteran specific resources, chronically homeless individuals and families, persons with disabilities and those with long histories of homelessness and medical fragilities. Length of time homeless is also a factor in prioritization and single adult shelters are regularly updated with their current length-of-stay report (out of HMIS) to help them target assistance within the shelter. The family shelter system offers additional supports and uses a case conferencing model for families.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Since the City renewed its financial commitment to addressing Minneapolis Public Housing Authority (MPHA) capital housing investment needs through the Affordable Housing Trust Fund, CDBG dollars are funding rehabilitation work at MPHA high-rise towers and a portion of the scattered-site single-family housing portfolio. This work currently includes the renovation and addition of new units to the Spring Manor highrise. The City coordinates with the MPHA to site project-based vouchers in city-assisted affordable housing developments. The City provides CDBG funds to the Authority for the installation of fire safety sprinkler improvements in the high-rises, with multiple sprinkler installation projects completed recently. The City also provided American Rescue Plan funds to the MPHA to finance the creation of the first new multifamily public housing units in decades, adding family-sized housing units to its inventory. The City, with its local funds, continues to partner with MPHA in the Stable Homes Stable Schools program supporting families facing homelessness or experiencing homelessness.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

The MPHA works in supporting public housing resident involvement and participation in agency activities that impact residents and their homes. MPHA works with established resident councils and representation systems such as its resident committees including, Resident Advisory Board, Tenant Advisory Committee, Security Advisory Committee and the Maintenance, Modernization and Management Committee to support this goal.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

HUD does not consider the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority as a troubled PHA. The MPHA is a Moving to Work agency.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Minneapolis is sensitive to the effects that public policies have on the cost of housing, or serve to dissuade development, maintenance or improvement of affordable housing. Although some of the barriers to the cost of producing affordable housing are beyond the control of local government, it is hoped that city policies do not create more barriers. The city works to establish positive marketing strategies and program criteria increasing housing choices for households with limited incomes, to provide geographical choice in assisted housing units, and to improve the physical quality of existing affordable housing units. As of 2018, the Minneapolis Civil Rights Ordinance prohibits discrimination against Housing Choice Vouchers (also known as “Section 8”) as a form of income for tenants seeking housing in the city. The City has adopted and implemented policies to provide lifecycle housing throughout the City, providing all residents with safe, quality and affordable housing, as a priority, in cooperation with public and private partners.

In the area of regulatory controls, the city has administratively reformed its licensing and examining boards to ensure objectivity and eliminate unnecessary regulation in housing development. The city continues to update unnecessary regulation in housing development. The city no longer limits the pool of contractors that can enter the city to facilitate housing development, which encourages a more competitive pricing environment.

In response to other regulatory controls and life safety issues that may affect the cost of affordable housing, the city’s housing agency continues to work with various regulatory departments to cancel special assessments and outstanding water charges on properties during the acquisition process. Construction Code Services waives the deposit on condemned buildings when the housing agency or the county requests to rehabilitate these buildings. The Minnesota Conservation Code has given the building official the opportunity to extend greater discretion when rehabilitating existing buildings.

The Department of Regulatory Services works to facilitate the rehabilitation of vacant homes through the Restoration Agreement program. These agreements suspend or hold in abeyance the Vacant Building Registration fee in exchange for completing the rehabilitation in a timely manner. In addition, this process pairs property owners with an inspector who is able to help them through the rehabilitation process. Through the suspension of fees and the assistance of staff, this program makes it easier for low to moderate income property owners to rehabilitate and reoccupy vacant homes in Minneapolis. In the area of Zoning, the City of Minneapolis in 2018 passed its updated Comprehensive Plan, the

document that drives land use and zoning throughout the City for the next ten years (2019-2029). The 2040 Comprehensive Plan addresses barriers to developing affordable housing by elimination of restrictive zoning codes in residential areas. In 2019, the Minneapolis City Council voted to make inclusionary zoning permanent.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Consolidated Plan resources to address priority needs are identified in the Consolidated Plan goals of 1) Providing decent affordable housing; 2) Developing housing and services for the homeless; 3) Providing special needs housing; 4) Expanding economic opportunities; and 5) Improving neighborhood conditions. The City has sought additional funding for both its entitlement resources and complementary funding efforts. For example, the City holds a Promise Zone designation and is working on focusing leveraged resources for the communities within the zone, the City also continues to maintain and grow its lead paint hazard reduction efforts through competitive HUD grants. In areas of public services and public facilities, the City still seeks to address its priority areas. Over the past several years national CDBG budgets have been reduced which has reduced funding available for Public Services (up to 15 percent of CDBG can be used on public service programming). Annually the City budgets the maximum of 15 percent of its CDBG grant for public services to address the needs of its residents.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City works with county and community partners to address lead-based paint problems to preserve existing housing. The City is committed to working with local partners to achieve lead-based paint hazard mitigation goals, to achieve a sustainable balance in the quality of affordable housing, and to provide economic opportunities.

The goal of the City of Minneapolis is to end lead poisoning within the City of Minneapolis through continued lead hazard reduction and education activities using available city, CDBG, HUD and other funding. The City will continue to undertake the following strategies to treat lead-based paint hazards in City housing stock.

- Risk assessments, lead education, lead safe work practices education, clearance tests, swab cleanings for lead hazards, developing work specs for income eligible families housed in units with children with identified elevated blood lead levels.
- Identification and removal of lead hazards from units occupied by children with elevated blood lead levels, including offering a free lead dust cleaning to reduce lead hazards.
- Implement a mandatory inspection trigger of a blood lead level of ≥ 5 micrograms per deciliter of blood as permitted by state law.

In the City's Lead Hazard Reduction Program, CDBG funds were used for lead risk assessments, clearances, or rehab activities on 87 properties (66 rental properties and 21 owner households). The income distribution of the assisted households includes 29.89% Extremely Low (0%-30%), 33.33% Low (31%-50%), 28.74% Moderate (51%-80%), and 8.05% Non-Low Moderate (81%+). The Program also received a Lead Hazard Reduction Grant from HUD in 2024 and a Healthy Homes Grant in 2022, and has successfully begun implementation of that work.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Improved housing and human development activities are essential components of the City's anti-poverty strategy. Various programs are utilized by the City in this effort, including among others CPED programs and initiatives of the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority. In areas of economic development, the City has worked with private and non-profit partners to create an environment to develop opportunities for residents to leave poverty through workforce and business development assistance.

Businesses provided with public funds for economic assistance from the City are required to post job openings with the City's Employment and Training Program in an attempt to link City residents with these jobs. In PY 2025, the City redesigned its CDBG supported vocational training incentive efforts to be delivered through Community Based Development Organizations. Providing 363 adults with jobs. The City also funds programs that prepare youth for their future by equipping them with job and career building skills. Youth employment training programs funded through CDBG public service funds enabled 71 youth with intentional opportunities, supports, resources, and mentorship like relationships that help facilitate growth.

The City works to clean up polluted industrial sites in order to attract new light industrial businesses, or to site new housing or commercial development opportunities. Minneapolis CPED is the key public agency in coordinating the cleanup of sites. Funding assistance is received from the Environmental Protection Agency, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency and Department of Trade and Economic Development, the Metropolitan Council and other local sources. The benefits to Brownfield's redevelopment is an increase in the tax base, provision of job opportunities to city residents, improvement in the environment, and recycling of city land to productive uses.

The City addresses economic development needs by providing business development assistance. Recognizing that jobs paying a living wage and strong, growing, local businesses are the cornerstone of economic stability CPED provides assistance to businesses with identifying locations for expansion or relocation and understanding and navigating various city regulatory processes, and establishes business-to-business connections in key economic sectors. CPED also funds local business organizations to provide assistance to entrepreneurs and small businesses with developing a business plan, accounting,

marketing, merchandising and gaining access to capital. CPED has a number of business financing programs for purchasing equipment and property, real estate development and tenant improvements, working capital and micro loans. In 2025 program year CDBG supported micro-enterprise businesses through neighborhood-based technical assistance with 53 microenterprises assisted; 62% were at extremely low-, 31% were at low- and 8% were at moderate-income level.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The institutional structure through which the city carries out its housing and community development plan consists of public, private and nonprofit partners. The primary public entities are the city of Minneapolis, the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority, Hennepin County, and the Minnesota Housing. Nonprofit organizations include nonprofit developers and community housing development organizations, the Family Housing Fund, and the Funder's Council. Private sector partners include local financial institutions, for-profit developers and the foundation community.

These partnerships have allowed the city to realize progress on its housing and community development work. However, much remains to be done especially in the area of delivering the benefits of progress equitably throughout the community. Communities of color and very low-income residents continue to realize unequal outcomes in housing and service delivery compared with the broader community. How institutional actors work to address these gaps will be a continuing focus of the city.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The institutional structure through which the City carries out its housing and community development plan consists of public, private and nonprofit partners. Many have worked with and supported the city in carrying out the affordable and supportive housing strategy for the community. The primary public entities are the City of Minneapolis, Minneapolis Community Planning and Economic Development (CPED), the Minneapolis Public Housing Authority (MPHA), Hennepin County, and the Minnesota Housing Finance Agency. Nonprofit organizations include nonprofit developers, community housing development organizations, and the Family Housing Fund. The federal government and the state are key funding source for rental and ownership housing projects. Local funds are available for housing and non-housing activities. Private sector partners such as local financial institutions, community development finance institutions, for-profit developers, faith-based organizations and the philanthropic community continue to be valuable in assisting Minneapolis meet its housing and community development goals and strategies.

The City works with these partners to design programs that effectively work to better the conditions present in the city. However, gaps in program delivery still occur whether through funding shortfalls, differing timetables, and contrary regulations. The City seeks to resolve these gaps through its

commitment to its institutional relationships evidenced by its close working relations with its partners. The city will continue to meet with and inform its partners of its housing and community development needs, goals and strategies as well as strengthen interagency program coordination.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

According to the Minneapolis Department of Civil Rights, during the 2025 program year, 65 fair housing discrimination complaints were filed with the city. Of that number, 48 cases were closed prior to the filing of a charge; 17 resulted in a charge filing; with 7 cases closed, the remaining 8 are in open status and 2 cases remain at the preliminary investigation stage. Of the 7 cases closed, 2 were by mediated settlement.

The City also participates in supporting work efforts of the metropolitan Fair Housing Implementation Council.

Other recent fair housing actions undertaken by the City include:

Continued funding for the Affordable Housing Trust Fund allowing for preservation of existing affordable housing through Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing (NOAH) programs; acquisition and funding for properties in need of immediate repairs; provide ongoing funding to HOMELine for tenant information and referral hotline and legal services.

The City of Minneapolis, through its Minneapolis Homes suite of programs, strives to reduce income disparities by building the capacity of underrepresented homebuyers, homeowners and developers to participate in City programs. Minneapolis Homes provides financing for financial wellness, homebuyer education and foreclosure prevention counseling. The City provides financial support to the Minnesota Homeownership Center to provide services through a network of providers for one-on-one counseling, online tutorials and in-person classes in a variety of languages, including Hmong, Spanish, Somali and English.

The City has adopted a “Renter First” policy that centers renters in rental licensing enforcement action, including hiring new tenant navigators to help renters resolve problems with building owners and managers. In addition, the City has increased investment to provide legal services to renters facing eviction and to represent low-income renters in habitability cases.

Minneapolis adopted a new occupancy ordinance in December of 2019 eliminating the maximum occupancy requirements in each zoning district and removing restrictions on the definition of family.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

The City Finance Grants Office provides overall assurance that Consolidated Plan grant programs implemented through the City, its Subrecipient programs, and other community-based organizations are being carried-out as required. Each department who manages grant-funded programming is responsible for monitoring their respective program activity for compliance with City, Federal, and HUD program and financial standards. Finance will provide monitoring support of these activities to departments. Program, regulatory and contract compliance is achieved through the City's administrative structure. This compliance includes a review of whether programming is affirmatively furthering fair housing. Structured reviews are conducted on-site to ensure consistency with the contract, for determining the adequacy of program performance and to ensure that reported information is accurate. The Finance Office monitors for program compliance and performance and provide technical assistance to grant-funded program managers. This framework allows for an effective oversight of the monitoring and technical assistance process. This communication link, together with guidance offered from the Minneapolis HUD Field Office, provides the capacity to identify potential risk. The Grants office uses a program monitoring checklist which includes standard HUD monitoring guidelines; and financially monitors compliance using a checklist specific to minimum standards determined by the City, State and Federal government. If potential issues of non-compliance are identified a corrective action is implemented based on City policy to prevent continuance of a violation and to mitigate adverse effects of violation.

The objectives of the City's monitoring system are, to satisfy the statutory requirements of grantor agencies, to assist subrecipients and contractors in properly administering grant-funded programs, to minimize City liability by identifying and correcting major program deficiencies before they result in financial penalties and/or funding sanctions, and to provide City management and grantor agencies with performance information to guide them in delivering quality services.

HOME is a critical source of funding for affordable housing development that is managed through CPED. A third-party contracted provider monitors all HOME-funded rental projects, including on-site property and property management file inspections, on at least an annual basis to verify compliance with HOME requirements including tenant income, rent restrictions, unit mix and occupancy, lease provisions, and affirmative marketing.

The Civil Rights Department monitors City-funded projects (including HOME) through its Contract Compliance unit for Davis-Bacon wage and Section 3 labor compliance and sets development

participation goals for women/minority-owned businesses through its Small and Underutilized Business Program.

Further, program monitoring is achieved through the implementation and development of the Consolidated Plan and the year-end Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER). Through these processes, communication is established and sustained by Finance Grants Office personnel with program managers city-wide. This system provides awareness of programming priorities and program implementation on a year-to-year basis.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The 2025 CAPER is made available for a 15-day review period before its August 29, 2026 submission to HUD. The City's Consolidated Plan citizen participation plan encourages the inclusion of all City residents throughout the Consolidated Plan development process--especially low-income residents who are the primary clients for HUD programs, non-profit organizations and other interested parties. At least three public hearings are held each year to address housing and community development needs, development of proposed activities, and review of program performance.

To ensure broad-based participation, extensive communication efforts are used during the implementation of the City's Consolidated Plan citizen participation plan. Public notices for public hearings are published in Finance and Commerce, following City notification practices. The various printed notices notify where copies of the Consolidated Plan and CAPER are available and invite persons to either speak at the public hearings and/or submit written comments. Public hearings are accessible and sign language interpretation is available for public hearings.

The public comment period for the drafted 2025 CAPER runs August 11-25, 2026. The City's Business, Housing and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the 2025 CAPER on August 18, 2026. Comments received on the 2025 CAPER are included in the final CAPER provided to HUD.

Copies of the draft CAPER were made available at the Office of Grants & Special Projects, Mid-Minnesota Legal Aid offices and upon request. The draft report was posted at the following website: <https://www.minneapolismn.gov/government/departments/finance/reports/consolidated-plan/> Digital copies of the final 2025 CAPER to be submitted to HUD can be made available for public review by emailing Matthew Bower at matthew.bower@minneapolismn.gov. When the CAPER is approved by HUD, the final report will also be posted on the above noted website.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

No changes in programming objectives have been made.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

CR-50 - HOME 24 CFR 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in 24 CFR §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

The City of Minneapolis contracts with Affordable Housing Connections, Inc. (AHC) to provide ongoing compliance monitoring of its HOME-funded rental projects.

During the 2025 program year, AHC reviewed a total of 46 annual reports covering 3,499 units for HOME compliance. Inspections were performed on 130 HOME units. Findings were made on 50 HOME units across 31 projects. AHC works with the property owners to address and resolve findings. If a HOME assisted property is due for a site visit as dictated by its inspection schedule, AHC will review at least 20% (minimum of 4, or all if less than 4 HOME units) of the tenant files of HOME assisted units. A CHART, or Consolidated Housing Annual Reporting Tool, collects move in/move out, income and rent information of each household residing in a HOME unit in a HOME assisted property. A tenant file review consists of reviewing the documentation provided by the tenant household and the information collected and verified by the owner/manager to determine if the household is income eligible under the HOME Program. Leasing documents and rent ledgers are also reviewed to determine that gross rents are within the HOME rent limits.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 24 CFR 91.520(e) and 24 CFR 92.351(a)

Prior to properties being held open to the public, CPED-contracted marketing agents mail out information to their mailing lists. In addition, properties are advertised for sale in various community newspapers as well as the Minneapolis Star Tribune and marketing signs on the property. Open houses are held allowing prospective buyers a review of the home interiors. All buyers are given the option of being represented by their choice of agent, who is paid by seller at time of closing. All interested in purchasing a property may submit purchase offers with accompanying documentation by a specified date. When multiple offers are received, an impartial in-house lottery is used to rank order offers. Affirmative marketing actions and outreach to minority- and women-owned businesses continue to be performed in a satisfactory manner. It indicates continued efforts on the parts of owners to affirmatively market available units.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

One HOME project received program income totaling \$559,800 during the year. The project is Agra Apartments; currently known as Rivkin Apartments a new construction rental housing project. The building will have 155 residential units with 9 of them being HOME-assisted and which were occupied by 9 Black/African American renter households; 7 very low- and 2 low-income households of which 1 was elderly. Five of the 9 units are family households. Of the 9 units assisted 4 of them are 1 bedroom units, 4 are two bedrooms units and there is one three bedroom unit. Seven households are receiving some form of housing assistance. The building has 8 special needs units and 10 units reserved for those existing homelessness. The project was completed during the program year.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 24 CFR 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 24 CFR 91.320(j)

The City continues to pursue the following actions for the development of rental housing to grow the population and to have no net loss of affordable housing across all income levels. City housing policy is the positive gain on affordable housing units through:

- Annually seeking to create more units affordable at 30-60% of Area Median Income (AMI) through new construction/positive conversion than the number of habitable units affordable to 30-60% of AMI that are demolished as a result of City sponsored projects.
- Funding housing programs serving those above 60% of Area Median Income shall continue and those programs will remain a vital part of the City's housing policy.
- Twenty percent (20%) of the units of each City assisted housing project of ten or more units will be affordable to households earning 60% or less of the Area Median Income. It is understood that these affordable units may include any mix of rental and/or homeownership, and can be located on the project site or anywhere within the City of Minneapolis.
- Projects which utilize any funding from the Affordable Housing Trust Fund (CDBG/HOME) must have 20% of the housing units available to households at or below 50% of area median income.

In the past year the City has provided financing for the development and preservation of affordable ownership housing by;

- Preserving and improving the physical condition of existing ownership housing through home improvement offerings.
- Supporting in-fill development of new three or more bedroom houses for large families through a combination of construction gap financing and affordability loans.
- Promoting and supporting first-time homeownership opportunities and outreach for traditionally underserved populations.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance to prevent homelessness of the individual or family	0	0
Tenant-based rental assistance	175	187
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	5	5
Units provided in transitional short-term housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0

Table 13 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

City of Minneapolis HOPWA resources provide subrecipients, also known as Project Sponsors, the opportunity to offer tenant-based rental assistance, client advocacy, case management services, and/or capital projects to develop permanent housing units based on the needs identified by responses to its periodic request for proposal (RFP) and by recommendations from the MN HIV Housing Coalition. Project Sponsors under grant year 2025 were not required to respond to an RFP and instead granted contract extensions at the same amounts as 2024 due to ongoing uncertainty for HOPWA funding at the federal level. Recipients of HOPWA funds in 2025 were the Metropolitan Council Housing and Redevelopment Authority (HRA), Clare Housing, and Aliveness Project. 311 individuals living with HIV/AIDS and 106 other household members received housing assistance and/or supportive services supported by HOPWA funds in 2025.

The City works with Project Sponsors, funding partners and the Minnesota HIV Housing Coalition to identify and develop its funding plans and priorities for HOPWA. The need to obtain or keep affordable housing remains significant, and the program design for HOPWA assistance helps meet the housing needs of families and persons with HIV/AIDS. Stable housing situations are often second only to health care in importance for this population, and it is widely asserted among the HIV/AIDS-affected population and service providers that “housing is healthcare.”

All HOPWA Project Sponsors meet monthly through the Minnesota HIV Housing Coalition, which provides a forum for best practices to offer care and coordination of services between stakeholders across the Twin Cities metro and Greater Minnesota. The HIV Housing Coalition offers regular funding and programming updates from government agencies, nonprofit providers, and others within the HIV/AIDS community throughout the state. Following the closure of Rainbow Health in 2024, Clare Housing began hosting MN HIV Housing Coalition meetings in March 2025, where they continue to meet 10 times yearly, with breaks in July and December.

HOPWA programs in the region continue to assist individuals and households with long and extensive eviction records, legal histories, and bad credit that can hinder their ability to obtain housing. This included helping clients learn budget skills, prioritize paying bills, and fix adverse credit, as well as assisting eligible households access entitlement programs, as appropriate. HOPWA program providers have seen higher rents in the Twin Cities metro areas related to a lower vacancy rate that makes it challenging to find housing that is within rental standards. High rents are also forcing clients to live in neighborhoods they can afford as opposed to what they desire, so HOPWA Project Sponsors regularly work with landlords to coordinate the best options for each household. The City of Minneapolis posts draft CAPER and Action Plans for public review/comment annually on our website. Once finalized, these documents are available on the Grants & Special Projects webpage.

CR-58 – Section 3

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Total Labor Hours	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Total Number of Activities	2	2	0	0	0
Total Labor Hours	97,527	177,198			
Total Section 3 Worker Hours	3,600	24			
Total Targeted Section 3 Worker Hours	0	0			

Table 14 – Total Labor Hours

Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program	CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA	HTF
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Public Housing Targeted Workers	1	1			
Outreach efforts to generate job applicants who are Other Funding Targeted Workers.	1	1			
Direct, on-the job training (including apprenticeships).	2				
Indirect training such as arranging for, contracting for, or paying tuition for, off-site training.	1				
Technical assistance to help Section 3 workers compete for jobs (e.g., resume assistance, coaching).	1	2			
Outreach efforts to identify and secure bids from Section 3 business concerns.	2	1			
Technical assistance to help Section 3 business concerns understand and bid on contracts.	2	2			
Division of contracts into smaller jobs to facilitate participation by Section 3 business concerns.	2	1			
Provided or connected residents with assistance in seeking employment including: drafting resumes, preparing for interviews, finding job opportunities, connecting residents to job placement services.					
Held one or more job fairs.	2	1			
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that can provide direct services or referrals.	1				
Provided or connected residents with supportive services that provide one or more of the following: work readiness health screenings, interview clothing, uniforms, test fees, transportation.		1			
Assisted residents with finding child care.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend community college or a four year educational institution.					
Assisted residents to apply for, or attend vocational/technical training.					
Assisted residents to obtain financial literacy training and/or coaching.					
Bonding assistance, guaranties, or other efforts to support viable bids from Section 3 business concerns.		1			
Provided or connected residents with training on computer use or online technologies.					
Promoting the use of a business registry designed to create opportunities for disadvantaged and small businesses.	2	2			
Outreach, engagement, or referrals with the state one-stop system, as designed in Section 121(e)(2) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act.					
Other.					

Table 15 – Qualitative Efforts - Number of Activities by Program

Narrative

A total of 6 projects were subject to Section 3 reporting during the year. The CDBG projects reported are Spring Manor Project and Little Earth. HOME projects reported are Agra and Plymouth Avenue Apartments. Two HOME-ARP projects are included in the reported total Section 3 hours for the HOME grant: Kyle Square Garden and the MN Indian Women's Resource Center.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	MINNEAPOLIS
Organizational DUNS Number	066530411
UEI	
EIN/TIN Number	416005375
Identify the Field Office	MINNEAPOLIS
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Minneapolis/Hennepin County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Mr
First Name	MATTHEW
Middle Name	A
Last Name	BOWER
Suffix	
Title	Manager Resource Coordination

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	350 South Fifth St.
Street Address 2	M380 City Hall
City	Minneapolis
State	MN
ZIP Code	-
Phone Number	6126732188
Extension	
Fax Number	
Email Address	matthew.bower@minneapolismn.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Tiffany
Last Name	Glasper
Suffix	
Title	Senior Project Coordinator
Phone Number	6126735221
Extension	

Email Address

tiffany.glasper@minneapolismn.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 06/01/2025

Program Year End Date 05/31/2026

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Our Savior's Housing

City: Minneapolis

State: MN

Zip Code: 55404, 3842

DUNS Number: 601158137

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Faith-Based Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 63831

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Simpson Housing Services

City: Minneapolis

State: MN

Zip Code: 55404, 2347

DUNS Number:

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 200000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Avivo

City: Minneapolis

State: MN

Zip Code: 55404, 1903

DUNS Number: 060470564

UEI:

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 300000

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Vail Place
City: Hopkins
State: MN
Zip Code: 55343, 7629
DUNS Number: 620541763
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 433169

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Rescue Now Services
City: Minneapolis
State: MN
Zip Code: 55418, 0456
DUNS Number: 078454954
UEI:
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 158064

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Agate Housing and Services
City: Minneapolis
State: MN
Zip Code: 55404, 3315
DUNS Number:
UEI: T9F6VK44YZY3
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 197608

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Steps of Strategy
City: Minneapolis
State: MN
Zip Code: 55414, 2497
DUNS Number:
UEI: C6JPSB3E4A36
Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N
Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 66169

Appendix

SAGE HMIS Reporting

Minneapolis Program Year 2025 ESG CAPER Report

Report: CAPER

Period: 6/1/2025 - 5/31/2026

Your user level here: Data Entry and Account Admin

Step 1: Dates

6/1/2025 to 5/31/2026

Step 2: Contact Information

Grant Contact

The Contact Person should be the person that the HUD Field Office or HUD Headquarters should contact regarding your APR submission should there be any questions or issues. The contact person should be familiar with both the project and the APR submission. This is also the person who will receive the email from Sage acknowledging the submission of the APR to the HUD Field Office and of the action taken by the Field Office approval, request to resubmit, comments, etc.

First Name	Matt
Middle Name	
Last Name	Bower
Suffix	
Title	
Street Address 1	350 South Fifth St.
Street Address 2	380M City Hall
City	Minneapolis
State	Minnesota
ZIP Code	55415
E-mail Address	matthew.bower@minneapolismn.gov
Phone Number	(612)673-2188
Extension	
Fax Number	

Additional Contact(s)

Additional contact(s) will receive automatic email notifications from Sage regarding report status changes.

Step 4: Grant Information

Emergency Shelter Rehab/Conversion

Did you create additional shelter beds/units through an ESG-funded rehab project	No
Did you create additional shelter beds/units through an ESG-funded conversion project	No

Data Participation Information

Are there any funded projects, except HMIS or Admin, which are not listed on the Project, Links and Uploads form? This includes projects in the HMIS and from VSP No

Step 5: Project Outcomes

Project outcomes are required for all CAPERS where the program year start date is 1-1-2021 or later. This form replaces the narrative in CR-70 of the eCon Planning Suite.

From the Action Plan that covered ESG for this reporting period copy and paste or retype the information in Question 5 on screen AP-90: "Describe performance standards for evaluating ESG."

Street Outreach:

- HMIS data will be entered in accordance with local HMIS data quality policies on timeliness, completeness and accuracy, per the community's Data Quality Plan
- At least 50% of persons contacted and who are eligible for the street outreach project, will be engaged within 30 days of initial contact by the outreach team
- All clients who become engaged will be offered a coordinated entry assessment completed within 30 days of their date of engagement
- At least 50% of engaged Street Outreach clients will be referred to a housing program within 90 days of their date of engagement.

Emergency Shelter:

- HMIS data will be entered in accordance with local HMIS data quality policies, per the community's Data Quality Plan
- After 14 days, 100% of emergency shelter clients should be connected to the coordinated entry system to ensure that they are assessed.
- Single adult emergency shelter clients (persons 18 years of age and older) should be offered a referral to the local coordinated entry system within 45 days of their entry into the emergency shelter
- Decrease length of time homeless: 1047 days (baseline – decrease over time)
- Increase exits to stable housing (TH, PSH, RRH): 7.2% (baseline – increase to 10%)

Rapid Re-housing

- HMIS data will be entered in accordance with local HMIS data quality policies, per the community's Data Quality Plan
- Exits to Permanent destinations: Permanent Housing Exits > 84 %
- Maintain or increase Employment Income: Qualifying adult participants > 41%
- Maintain or increase Non-Employment Income: Qualifying adult participants > 53%
- Maintain or Increase Total Income: Qualifying adult participants > 78%
- Number of days from program start to housing move in date 54 days

Homelessness Prevention:

- HMIS data will be entered in accordance with local HMIS data quality policies, per the community's Data Quality Plan
- No shelter entry within 6 months > 97%
- No shelter entry within 12 months > 95%
- Reduce First time homeless

Based on the information from the Action Plan response previously provided to HUD:

1. Briefly describe how you met the performance standards identified in A-90 this program year. *If they are not measurable as written type in N/A as the answer.*

N/A

2. Briefly describe what you did not meet and why. *If they are not measurable as written type in N/A as the answer.*

We are working with the local Continuum of Care at obtaining dashboard reports on above measures at a project specific level.

OR

3. If your standards were not written as measurable, provide a sample of what you will change them to in the future? *If they were measurable and you answered above type in N/A as the answer.*

N/A

Rapid Re-Housing	Non-COVID	Non-COVID	Non-COVID
Rental Assistance		225,382.63	23,011.72
Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance			
Relocation and Stabilization Services - Services			
Hazard Pay <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Landlord Incentives <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Volunteer Incentives <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Training <i>(unique activity)</i>			
RRH Expenses	0.00	225,382.63	23,011.72
	FY2025 Annual ESG Funds for	FY2024 Annual ESG Funds for	FY2023 Annual ESG Funds for
Emergency Shelter	Non-COVID	Non-COVID	Non-COVID
Essential Services		75,765.00	16,287.66
Operations			
Renovation			
Major Rehab			
Conversion			
Hazard Pay <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Volunteer Incentives <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Training <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Emergency Shelter Expenses	0.00	75,765.00	16,287.66
	FY2025 Annual ESG Funds for	FY2024 Annual ESG Funds for	FY2023 Annual ESG Funds for
Temporary Emergency Shelter	Non-COVID	Non-COVID	Non-COVID
Essential Services			
Operations			
Leasing existing real property or temporary structures			
Acquisition			

Renovation			
Hazard Pay <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Volunteer Incentives <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Training <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Other Shelter Costs			
Temporary Emergency Shelter Expenses			
	FY2025 Annual ESG Funds for	FY2024 Annual ESG Funds for	FY2023 Annual ESG Funds for
Street Outreach	Non-COVID	Non-COVID	Non-COVID
Essential Services		140,882.83	
Hazard Pay <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Volunteer Incentives <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Training <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Handwashing Stations/Portable Bathrooms <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Street Outreach Expenses	0.00	140,882.83	0.00
	FY2025 Annual ESG Funds for	FY2024 Annual ESG Funds for	FY2023 Annual ESG Funds for
Other ESG Expenditures	Non-COVID	Non-COVID	Non-COVID
Cell Phones - for persons in CoC/YHDP funded projects <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Coordinated Entry COVID Enhancements <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Training <i>(unique activity)</i>			
Vaccine Incentives <i>(unique activity)</i>			
HMIS		3,522.31	18,288.79
Administration		4,212.79	4,146.64
Other Expenses	0.00	7,735.10	22,435.43
	FY2025 Annual ESG Funds for	FY2024 Annual ESG Funds for	FY2023 Annual ESG Funds for
	Non-COVID	Non-COVID	Non-COVID

Total Expenditures	0.00	449,765.56	61,734.81
Match			
Total ESG expenditures plus match	0.00	449,765.56	61,734.81

Step 7: Sources of Match

[illegible]

Step 8: Program Income

Program income is the income received by the recipient or subrecipient directly generated by a grant supported activity. Program income is defined in 2 CFR §200.307. More information is also available in the [ESG CAPER Guidebook](#).

Did the recipient earn program income from any ESG project during the program year? No

Step 9: Additional Comments

Please provide any additional comments on other areas of the CAPER that need explanations: The City is still awaiting completion of reporting information from three winter shelter providers as of 8/7/26.

CAPER Aggregator Unsubmitted 2.0

Pre HUD submission report - Aggregates data from subrecipient CAPERS by selected criteria

Filters for this report	Aggregate
Aggregate or detailed mode	
Year	2025
CAPER Project Type TIP: Hold down the CTRL key on the keyboard and	(all)
Programs	ESG: Minneapolis - MN
Report executed on	8/8/2026 12:51:48 PM

Grant List	Jurisdiction	Type	Start Date	End Date	Current Status
	ESG: Minneapolis - MN	CAPER	6/1/2025	5/31/2026	In Progress

Q04a: Project Identifiers in HMIS

Please select details mode in the filters above to see Q4 information.
Or click here to view details in a new tab.

CSV uploads containing multiple project rows in Q4 will display as separate rows here using the same value in Project Info Row ID.

Q05a: Report Validations Table

Category	Count of Clients for DQ	Count of Clients
Total Number of Persons Served	1021	1044
Number of Adults (Age 18 or Over)	985	1007
Number of Children (Under Age 18)	35	36
Number of Persons with Unknown Age	1	1
Number of Leavers	844	860
Number of Adult Leavers	834	849
Number of Adult and Head of Household Leavers	839	854
Number of Stayers	177	184
Number of Adult Stayers	151	158
Number of Veterans	22	22
Number of Chronically Homeless Persons	453	472
Number of Youth Under Age 25	71	72
Number of Parenting Youth Under Age 25 with Children	0	0
Number of Adult Heads of Household	980	1002
Number of Child and Unknown-Age Heads of Household	5	5
Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or Mor	7	7

Effective 1/1/2023, this question includes separate columns for totals relevant to the DQ questions and totals relevant to the entire APR. Data uploaded prior to 1/1/2023 has been bulk updated to use the same totals for both columns in order to support calculations in the Aggregator.

Q06a: Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information

	Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	% of Issue Rate
Name	1	0	6	7	0.69%
Social Security Number	59	20	0	79	7.74%
Date of Birth	0	1	2	3	0.29%
Race/Ethnicity	7	3	0	10	0.98%
Overall Score	0	0	0	90	8.81%

New as of 10/1/2023.
Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.
Archived as of 10/1/2023: This table only contains data uploaded prior to 10/1/2023.
Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Q06b: Data Quality: Universal Data Elements

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	% of Issue Rate
Veteran Status	4	9	0	13	1.32%
Project Start Date	0	0	2	2	0.20%
Relationship to Head of Household	0	0	0	0	0%
Enrollment CoC	0	2	0	2	0.20%
Disabling Condition	8	252	0	260	25.47%

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Q06c: Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	Information Missing	Data Issues	Total	% of Issue Rate
Destination	3	432	0	435	51.54%
Income and Sources at Start	9	326	0	335	33.84%
Income and Sources at Annual Assessment	0	6	0	6	85.71%
Income and Sources at Exit	10	315	0	325	38.74%

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Q06d: Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness

Entering into project type	Count of Total Records	Missing Timein Institution	Missing Timein Housing	Approximatedate this episode started Missing	Number of times DK/PNTA/missing	Number of months DK/PNTA/missing	% of RecordsUnable to Calculate
ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, Street Outreach	665	0	0	11	65	66	10.38%
TH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PH (All)	61	0	0	0	2	1	3.28%
CE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSO, Day Shelter, HP	264	0	244	0	0	0	0.92
Total	990	0	0	0	0	0	31.82%

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Q06e: Data Quality: Timeliness

Time forRecordEntry	Number of ProjectStart Records	Number of ProjectExit Records
	3	5
0 days	627	442
1-3 Days	87	46
4-6 Days	19	20
7-10 Days	14	14
11+ Days	53	317

Q06f: Data Quality: Inactive Records: Street Outreach & Emergency Shelter

Data Element	# of Records	# ofInactive Records	% ofinactive Records
Contact (Adults and Heads of Household in Street Outreach or PATH-funded SSO)	13	11	84.62%
Bed Night (All Clients in ES - NbN)	0	0	0

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Q07a: Number of Persons Served					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Adults	1007	991	16	0	0
Children	36	0	32	4	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	1	0	0	0	1
Total	1044	991	48	4	1
For PSH & RRH – the total persons served who moved into housing	86	40	46	0	0
Q07b: Point-in-Time Count of Persons on the Last Wednesday					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
January	210	165	45	0	0
April	184	145	39	0	0
July	254	251	1	1	1
October	179	169	10	0	0
Q08a: Number of Households Served					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Total Households	1007	989	13	4	1
For PSH & RRH – the total households served who moved into housing	50	38	12	0	0
Q08b: Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
January	176	164	12	0	0
April	156	145	11	0	0
July	219	216	1	1	1
October	171	168	3	0	0
Q09a: Number of Persons Contacted					
Number of Persons Contacted	All Persons Contacted	First contact – NOT staying on the Streets, ES-EE, ES-NbN, or SH	First contact – WAS staying on Streets, ES-EE, ES-NbN, or SH	First contact – Worker unable to determine	
Once	335	5	325	5	
2-5 Times	31	0	31	0	
6-9 Times	0	0	0	0	
10+ Times	0	0	0	0	
Total Persons Contacted	366	5	356	5	
Q09b: Number of Persons Newly Engaged					
Number of Persons Newly Engaged	All Persons Contacted	First contact – NOT staying on the Streets, ES-EE, ES-NbN, or SH	First contact – WAS staying on Streets, ES-EE, ES-NbN, or SH	First contact – Worker unable to determine	
Once	320	3	316	1	
2-5 Contacts	25	0	25	0	
6-9 Contacts	0	0	0	0	
10+ Contacts	0	0	0	0	
Total Persons Engaged	345	3	341	1	
Rate of Engagement	94.26%	60.00%	95.79%	20.00%	
Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.					
Q11: Age					
	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Under 5	10	0	9	1	0
5-12	18	0	17	1	0
13-17	8	0	6	2	0
18-24	73	71	2	0	0
25-34	226	222	4	0	0
35-44	331	324	7	0	0
45-54	205	202	3	0	0
55-64	134	134	0	0	0
65+	38	38	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	1	0	0	0	1
Total	1044	991	48	4	1

New as of 10/1/2023.
Archived as of 10/1/2023: This table only contains data uploaded prior to 10/1/2023.

Q12: Race and Ethnicity

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	137	137	0	0	0
Asian or Asian American	16	16	0	0	0
Black, African American, or African	473	434	38	1	0
Hispanic/Latina/o	34	34	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African	1	1	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	4	4	0	0	0
White	194	189	5	0	0
Asian or Asian American & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Black, African American, or African & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	38	34	3	1	0
Hispanic/Latina/o & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	17	16	0	1	0
Middle Eastern or North African & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	1	1	0	0	0
White & American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	28	28	0	0	0
Black, African American, or African & Asian or Asian American	1	1	0	0	0
Hispanic/Latina/o & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Asian or Asian American	0	0	0	0	0
White & Asian or Asian American	3	2	1	0	0
Hispanic/Latina/o & Black, African American, or African	13	13	0	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & Black, African American, or African	1	1	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Black, African American, or African	1	1	0	0	0
White & Black, African American, or African	24	23	1	0	0
Middle Eastern or North African & Hispanic/Latina/o	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Hispanic/Latina/o	1	1	0	0	0
White & Hispanic/Latina/o	30	30	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander & Middle Eastern or North African	0	0	0	0	0
White & Middle Eastern or North African	0	0	0	0	0
White & Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	1	0	0	0
Multiracial – more than 2 races/ethnicity, with one being Hispanic/Latina/o	13	13	0	0	0
Multiracial – more than 2 races, where no option is Hispanic/Latina/o	3	3	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Preferes Not to Answer	7	6	0	1	0
Data Not Collected	3	2	0	0	1
Total	1044	991	48	4	1

New as of 10/1/2023.

Archived as of 10/1/2023: This table only contains data uploaded prior to 10/1/2023.

Archived as of 10/1/2023: This table only contains data uploaded prior to 10/1/2023.

Q13a1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Start

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children & Adults	Children in HH with Children & Adults	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household
Mental Health Disorder	595	542	12	1	0	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	54	52	1	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	260	235	0	0	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorders	91	84	1	0	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	316	294	2	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	18	18	0	0	0	0	0
Developmental Disability	188	167	3	4	0	0	0
Physical Disability	302	283	5	0	0	0	0

The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q13b1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Exit

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children & Adults	Children in HH with Children & Adults	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household
Mental Health Disorder	425	422	3	0	0	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	44	44	0	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	182	182	0	0	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorders	60	60	0	0	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	231	231	0	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	12	12	0	0	0	0	0
Developmental Disability	131	131	0	0	0	0	0
Physical Disability	223	222	1	0	0	0	0

The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q13c1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions for Stayers

	Total Persons	Without Children	Adults in HH with Children & Adults	Children in HH with Children & Adults	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household
Mental Health Disorder	117	107	9	1	0	0	0
Alcohol Use Disorder	8	7	1	0	0	0	0
Drug Use Disorder	49	49	0	0	0	0	0
Both Alcohol and Drug Use Disorders	13	12	1	0	0	0	0
Chronic Health Condition	62	60	2	0	0	0	0
HIV/AIDS	5	5	0	0	0	0	0
Developmental Disability	30	23	3	4	0	0	0
Physical Disability	60	56	4	0	0	0	0

The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q14a: History of Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, Dating Violence, Stalking, or Human Trafficking

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Yes	284	276	8	0	0
No	454	446	8	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	14	14	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	260	255	0	4	1
Total	1012	991	16	4	1

Q14b: Most recent experience of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, stalking, or human trafficking

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Within the past three months	85	82	3	0	0
Three to six months ago	19	18	1	0	0
Six months to one year	26	25	1	0	0
One year ago, or more	148	145	3	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	5	5	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	1	1	0	0	0
Total	284	276	8	0	0

New as of 10/1/2023.

Archived as of 10/1/2023: This table only contains data uploaded prior to 10/1/2023.

Q15: Living Situation

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Homeless Situations					
Place not meant for habitation	522	513	9	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, Host Home shelter	140	133	7	0	0
Safe Haven	3	3	0	0	0
Subtotal - Homeless Situations	665	649	16	0	0
Institutional Situations					
Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	6	6	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	3	3	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	4	4	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	8	8	0	0	0
Subtotal - Institutional Situations	21	21	0	0	0
Temporary Situations					
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	0	0	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	1	0	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	3	3	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment, or house	20	20	0	0	0
Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment, or house	10	10	0	0	0
Subtotal - Temporary Situations	34	34	0	0	0
Permanent Situations					
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	4	4	0	0	0
Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	2	2	0	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	1	1	0	0	0
Subtotal - Permanent Situations	7	7	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	21	21	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	264	259	0	4	1
Subtotal - Other Situations	285	280	0	4	1
TOTAL	1012	991	16	4	1

Updated 10/1/2023: Rows reordered and grouped differently. New "Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy" row includes data previously reported under separate subsidy types. Interim housing is retired as of 10/1/2019.

Q16: Cash Income - Ranges

	Income at Start	Income at Latest AnnualAssessment for	Income at Exit for Leavers
No income	285	0	186
\$1 - \$150	21	0	16
\$151 - \$250	6	0	1
\$251 - \$500	203	1	201
\$501 - \$1000	81	0	66
\$1,001 - \$1,500	39	0	30
\$1,501 - \$2,000	18	0	14
\$2,001+	22	0	15
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	9	0	9
Data Not Collected	323	0	311
Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment	0	151	0
Number of Adult Stayers Without Required Annual Assessment	0	6	0
Total Adults	1007	158	849

Q17: Cash Income - Sources

	Income at Start	Income at Latest AnnualAssessment for	Income at Exit for Leavers
Earned Income	40	0	29
Unemployment Insurance	4	0	4
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	71	0	67
Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)	51	0	30
VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation	4	0	3
VA Non-Service Connected Disability Pension	2	0	4
Private Disability Insurance	4	0	5
Worker's Compensation	2	0	3
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	4	0	6
General Assistance (GA)	241	1	230
Retirement Income from Social Security	8	0	9
Pension or retirement income from a former job	2	0	3
Child Support	6	0	3
Alimony and other spousal support	2	0	3
Other Source	23	0	15
Adults with Income Information at Start and Annual Assessment/Exit	0	1	491

Q19b: Disabling Conditions and Income for Adults at Exit

	AO: Adult with Disabling Condition	AO: Adult without Disabling Condition	AO: Total Adults	AO: % with Disabling Condition by Source	AC: Adult with Disabling Condition	AC: Adult without Disabling Condition	AC: Total Adults	AC: % with Disabling Condition by Source
Earned Income	12	9	21	57.14%	0	0	0	0
Unemployment Insurance	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0
Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	51	0	51	100.00%	1	0	1	100.00%
Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI)	18	0	18	100.00%	0	0	0	0
VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VA Non-Service-Connected Disability Pension	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0
Private Disability Insurance	1	0	1	100.00%	0	0	0	0
Worker's Compensation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100.00%
General Assistance (GA)	175	4	179	97.77%	0	0	0	0
Retirement Income from Social Security	5	0	5	100.00%	0	0	0	0
Pension or retirement income from a former job	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Child Support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alimony and other spousal support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other source	7	7	7	100.00%	1	0	1	100.00%
No Sources	118	7	125	94.40%	1	0	1	100.00%
Unduplicated Total Adults	371	20	391		2	0	2	

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Q20a: Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources

	Benefit at Start	Benefit at Latest AnnualAssessment for Stayers	Benefit at Exit for Leavers
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) (Previously known as Food Stamps)	354	0	290
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)	8	0	10
TANF Child Care Services	1	0	1
TANF Transportation Services	1	0	1
Other TANF-Funded Services	1	0	1
Other Source	1	0	2

Q21: Health Insurance

	At Start	At Annual Assessmentfor Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
MEDICAID	168	1	110
MEDICARE	51	0	19
State Children's Health Insurance Program	29	0	5
Veteran's Health Administration (VHA)	1	0	0
Employer-Provided Health Insurance	6	0	3
Health Insurance obtained through COBRA	0	0	0
Private Pay Health Insurance	3	0	2
State Health Insurance for Adults	285	0	144
Indian Health Services Program	2	0	1
Other	6	5	5
No Health Insurance	165	0	121
Client Doesn't Know/Preferences Not to Answer	35	0	11
Data Not Collected	314	6	446
Number of Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment	0	177	0
1 Source of Health Insurance	509	1	275
More than 1 Source of Health Insurance	21	0	7

Q22a2: Length of Participation – ESG Projects

	Total	Leavers	Stayers
0 to 7 days	174	165	9
8 to 14 days	51	46	5
15 to 21 days	48	41	7
22 to 30 days	61	50	11
31 to 60 days	140	110	30
61 to 90 days	120	91	29
91 to 180 days	208	257	31
181 to 365 days	111	56	55
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	47	40	7
731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs)	3	3	0
1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs)	1	1	0
1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs)	0	0	0
More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs)	0	0	0
Total	1044	860	184

Q22c: Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	2	2	0	0	0
8 to 14 days	2	2	0	0	0
15 to 21 days	11	0	11	0	0
22 to 30 days	10	0	10	0	0
31 to 60 days	10	2	8	0	0
61 to 90 days	11	1	10	0	0
91 to 180 days	9	2	7	0	0
181 to 365 days	2	2	0	0	0
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	1	1	0	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	58	12	46	0	0
Average length of time to housing	64.21	124.67	48.43	0	0
Persons who were exited without move-in	2	2	0	0	0
Total persons	60	14	46	0	0

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Q22d: Length of Participation by Household Type

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	174	173	0	1	0
8 to 14 days	51	51	0	0	0
15 to 21 days	48	47	0	1	0
22 to 30 days	61	61	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	140	139	0	1	0
61 to 90 days	120	120	0	1	1
91 to 180 days	288	285	3	0	0
181 to 365 days	111	67	44	0	0
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	47	46	1	0	0
731 days or more	4	4	0	0	0
Total	1044	991	48	4	1

Q22e: Length of Time Prior to Housing - based on 3.917 Date Homelessness Started

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
7 days or less	87	87	0	0	0
8 to 14 days	11	11	0	0	0
15 to 21 days	7	7	0	0	0
22 to 30 days	7	7	0	0	0
31 to 60 days	27	21	6	0	0
61 to 90 days	26	20	6	0	0
91 to 180 days	47	33	14	0	0
181 to 365 days	50	37	13	0	0
366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs)	65	59	6	0	0
731 days or more	46	46	0	0	0
Total (persons moved into housing)	373	328	45	0	0
Not yet moved into housing	5	5	0	0	0
Data not collected	256	250	1	4	1
Total persons	634	583	46	4	1

Q22f: Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date by Race and Ethnicity

	American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	Asian or Asian American	Black, African American, or African	Hispanic/Latina/o	Middle Eastern or North African	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	At Least 1 Race and	Multi-racial (does not include	Unknown (Don't Know, Preferred not to Answer,
Persons Moved Into Housing	1	0	45	0	0	0	7	0	5	0
Persons Exited Without Move-in	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average time to Move-in	199	0	60.58	0	0	54.71	0	83.2	0	0
Median time to Move-in	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>

New as of 10/17/2023.

Q22g: Length of Time Prior to Housing by Race and Ethnicity - based on 3.917 Date Homelessness Started

	American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	Asian or Asian American	Black, African American, or African	Hispanic/ Latina/o	Middle Eastern or North African	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	At Least 1 Race and Hispanic/Latina/o	Multi-racial (does not include Hispanic/Latina/o)	Unknown (Doesn't Know, Prefers not to Answer, Data not Collected)
Persons Moved Into Housing	12	5	174	16	0	1	87	31	24	4
Persons Not Yet Moved Into Housing	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average time to Move-in	327.42	465.4	303.57	439.06	0	2191	331.45	265.23	324.83	117.75
Median time to Move-in	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>	<i>Cannot calculate</i>

New as of 10/17/2023.

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Q23c: Exit Destination

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Homeless Situations					
Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside)	18	18	0	0	0
Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher, Host Home shelter	175	175	0	0	0
Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal - Homeless Situations	193	193	0	0	0
Institutional Situations					
Foster care home or foster care group home	1	1	0	0	0
Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	4	4	0	0	0
Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility	10	10	0	0	0
Long-term care facility or nursing home	4	4	0	0	0
Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	4	4	0	0	0
Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	8	8	0	0	0
Subtotal - Institutional Situations	31	31	0	0	0
Temporary Situations					
Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)	7	7	0	0	0
Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher	3	3	0	0	0
Host Home (non-crisis)	0	0	0	0	0
Staying or living with family, temporary tenure (e.g., room, apartment, or house)	10	10	0	0	0
Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure (e.g., room, apartment, or house)	14	14	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal - Temporary Situations	34	34	0	0	0
Permanent Situations					
Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	7	7	0	0	0
Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	6	6	0	0	0
Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	35	34	1	0	0
Rental by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	101	95	6	0	0
Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy	2	2	0	0	0
Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal - Permanent Situations	151	144	7	0	0
Other Situations					
No Exit Interview Completed	437	430	2	4	1
Other	6	6	0	0	0
Deceased	3	3	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	3	3	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	2	2	0	0	0
Subtotal - Other Situations	451	444	2	4	1
Total	860	846	9	4	1
Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations	295	288	7	0	0
Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation	12	12	0	0	0
Percentage	34.79%	34.53%	77.78%	0%	0%

Updated 10/17/2023: Rows reordered and grouped differently. Destinations with subsidies are now detailed in Q23d. Existing data has been updated to match new row order and relocated to Q23d as appropriate.

Numbers in green italics have been recalculated or weighted based on available totals.

Q23d: Exit Destination – Subsidy Type of Persons Exiting to Rental by Client With An Ongoing Subsidy

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
VASH housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
RRH or equivalent subsidy	4	4	0	0	0
HCV voucher (tenant or project based) (not dedicated)	2	2	0	0	0
Public housing unit	7	7	6	0	0
Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy	12	12	0	0	0
Housing Stability Voucher	1	1	0	0	0
Family Unification Program Voucher (FUP)	0	0	0	0	0
Foster Youth to Independence Initiative (FYI)	0	0	0	0	0
Permanent Supportive Housing	71	71	0	0	0
Other permanent housing dedicated for formerly homeless persons	4	4	0	0	0
TOTAL	101	95	6	0	0

New as of 10/1/2023: Existing data from Q23c prior to 10/1/2023 has been relocated to Q23d as appropriate.

Q23e: Exit Destination Type by Race and Ethnicity

	Total	American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous	Asian or Asian American	Black, African American, or African	Hispanic/ Latina/o	Middle Eastern or North African	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	At Least 1 Race and Hispanic/Latina/o	Multi-racial (does not include Hispanic/Latina/o)	Unknown (Doesn't Know, Prefers not to Answer, Data not Collected)
Homeless Situations	193	30	4	70	8	0	1	34	17	28	1
Institutional Situations	31	3	0	16	1	0	0	9	1	1	0
Temporary Housing Situations	34	3	0	20	0	0	0	6	2	3	0
Permanent Housing Situations	151	17	4	55	6	0	0	36	15	16	2
Other	451	64	4	217	15	1	2	73	30	38	7
Total	860	117	12	378	30	1	3	158	65	86	10

New as of 10/1/2023.

Q24a: Homelessness Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--Without a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--With the subsidy they had at project start	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project start	0	0	0	0	0
Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	0	0	0	0	0
Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
Client became homeless -- moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
Jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0
Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	0	0	0	0	0
Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

Q24e: Sex

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Female	223	198	25	0	0
Male	329	312	17	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	8	8	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	484	473	6	4	1
Total	1044	991	48	4	1

New as of 10/1/2025.

1This lookup is provided by Sage. The CSV upload contains only the response code.

Q25a: Number of Veterans

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless Veteran	6	6	0	0
Non-Chronically Homeless Veteran	16	16	0	0
Not a Veteran	972	956	16	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	4	4	0	0
Data Not Collected	9	9	0	0
Total	1007	991	16	0

Q26b: Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household

	Total	Without Children	With Children and Adults	With Only Children	Unknown Household Type
Chronically Homeless	472	465	7	0	0
Not Chronically Homeless	276	276	41	0	0
Client Doesn't Know/Prefers Not to Answer	32	32	0	0	0
Data Not Collected	264	259	0	4	1
Total	1044	991	48	4	1