

The Minneapolis Complete Streets Checklist tracks project details and decisions for transportation projects; it may be suitable for other project types and may be used as such if desired. It shows how projects follow the City’s plans and policies about making streets safe, accessible, equitable, and sustainable. The Checklist is required by the City’s Complete Streets Policy which states that it must document planning decisions and how the policy is put into action for each project. It also states that the Checklist should be available to the public as part of the project process.

Please see the complete [Minneapolis Complete Streets Policy](#) for more information.

Project Name: 18th Ave S Bridge over the Midtown Greenway

Jump to section:

[1. Project Overview](#)

[2. Transportation Planning & Programming](#)

- [2A. Planned Networks](#)
- [2B. Street Design](#)
- [2C. Vision Zero](#)
- [2D. Engagement](#)
- [2E. Accessibility](#)

[3. Transportation Engineering & Design](#)

[4. Traffic & Parking Services](#)

[5. Transportation Maintenance & Repair](#)

[6. Surface Water & Sewers](#)

[7. Community Planning & Economic Development](#)

[8. Water Treatment & Delivery Services](#)

[9. Minneapolis Fire Department](#)

[10. Interagency Coordination](#)

Completion Tracker:

0% ✓	Date: 8-26-2025	Completed by: Andrew Schmitz
Concept Layout ✓	Date: 8-26-2025	Completed by: Andrew Schmitz
30%	Date:	Completed by:
60%	Date:	Completed by:
90%	Date:	Completed by:
100%	Date:	Completed by:

1. Project Overview

Project Name:	18th Ave S Bridge over Midtown Greenway	Project or Program #:	BR138
Project Managers:	TPP: Andrew Schmitz		TED: Petru Vizoli
Core Team Members:	TPS: Shai Comay		TMR: Ahmed Omer
	SWS: Jeremy Strehlo		CPED: n/a
	WTDS: Josh Brown		MFD Representative: n/a
Project Budget:	\$12.9 million		
Funding Source(s):	Bridge Formula Program federal grant; Hennepin County Regional Railroad Authority; local via net debt bonds		
Construction Year(s):	2027		
Project Extents:	18th Ave S span over Midtown Greenway trench (between E 28th and E 29th St)		
Project Description:	The 18th Ave S bridge was built in 1916 to help separate railroad traffic from city streets, and continues to serve that function for the Midtown Greenway. Deficiencies and deterioration are now evident in all major bridge components including the bridge deck, superstructure, and substructure. This project is intended to rehabilitate and/or replace the bridge's components as necessary to improve the right-of-way for all people in all the ways they move around.		
Project Goals:	Improve the right-of-way for all people in all the ways they move around Rehabilitate the bridge in the most cost-effective method Maintain the bridge's form and function as a contributing resource to the Midtown Greenway Historic District		

Project Map:



2A. Transportation Planning & Programming - Planned Networks

This project includes a TPP project manager or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 3

0%

Does the project include areas on the...

Pedestrian Priority Network? ☐ Y ☒ N

If yes, list extents:

All Ages and Abilities Network? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, list extents

and classifications: The bridge span is a Near-term Low Stress Bikeway from E 29th St to the Midtown Greenway access ramp

Transit Priority Project map? ☐ Y ☒ N

If yes, list extents

and classifications:

Truck Route Network? ☐ Y ☒ N

If yes, list extents

and classifications:

Concept Layout

For areas on the Pedestrian Priority Network, summarize pedestrian improvements that will be made:

The project area is not on the PPN, but will make significant improvements by expanding the width of the pedestrian zone by approx. 5 ft on either side of the bridge roadway.

For areas on the All Ages & Abilities Network, will the project add a new or enhance an existing bikeway? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, give facility type and extents - if no, explain why not:

Shared-use path

For areas on the Transit Priority Project map, summarize how the project will improve transit operations or access:

n/a

For areas on the Truck Route network, summarize how the project incorporates freight operations or access:

n/a

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2A? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2A? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2A? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2A? Y, summarized in appendix N

2B. Transportation Planning & Programming - Street Design

0%

Street typology: urban neighborhood
(if multiple, list with extents)

Based on the street typology of the project area, what is the anticipated...

Design speed(s)
(list with extents if multiple):

20 mph

Design vehicle(s)
(list with extents if multiple):

DL-23

Control vehicle(s)
(list with extents if multiple):

MM-100 Fire Truck

Do the existing conditions meet Street Design Guide minimum width recommendations for...

Sidewalk Zone	Frontage zone	✓	Y	N	Pedestrian clear zone	✓	Y	N	Boulevard/furnishing zone	Y	✓	N			
	Describe any sidewalk zone elements of the existing conditions that do not meet minimum width recommendations: The total sidewalk zone is 8 ft on the bridge. Although bridges do not typically have differentiation between the PCZ and boulevard zone, this combined area is recommended to be 11 ft.														
Bikeway	Is there an existing bikeway: ✓ N (skip to roadway section) Y, type:														
	Bike lane(s)	Y	N	Buffer(s)	Y	N	Intersection treatments						Y	N	
Describe any bikeway elements of the existing conditions that do not meet minimum width recommendations:															
Roadway	Parking lane/bay(s)	✓	Y	N	Travel/turn lane(s)	✓	Y	N	Median(s)				✓	Y	N
	Describe any roadway elements of the existing conditions that do not meet minimum width recommendations:														

Concept Layout

Does the concept layout meet Street Design Guide minimum width recommendations for...

Sidewalk Zone	Frontage zone	✓	Y	N	Pedestrian clear zone	✓	Y	N	Boulevard/furnishing zone				✓	Y	N		
	Describe and provide rationale for any sidewalk zone elements of concept layout that do not meet minimum width recommendations:																
Bikeway	Is the project adding or upgrading a bikeway: N (skip to roadway section) ✓ Y, type: shared-use path																
	Bike lane(s)	✓	Y	N	Buffer(s)	✓	Y	N	Intersection treatments						✓	Y	N
Describe and provide rationale for any bikeway elements of concept layout that do not meet minimum width recommendations:																	
Roadway	Parking lane/bay(s)	✓	Y	N	Travel/turn lane(s)	✓	Y	N	Median(s)						✓	Y	N
	Describe and provide rationale for any roadway elements of concept layout that do not meet minimum width recommendations:																

30%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2B?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
60%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2B?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
90%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2B?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
100%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2B?	Y, summarized in appendix	N

2C. Transportation Planning & Programming - Vision Zero

0%

Does the project include any areas on a current or previously identified High Injury Street? Y ☒ N

If yes, list:

Has crash data been collected and analyzed conducted for this project? Y ☒ N

If yes,
give key
findings:

Has vehicle speed data been collected and analyzed for this project? Y ☒ N

If yes,
give key
findings:

Concept Layout

Has crash or vehicle speed data been collected and analyzed for this project since 0%? Y, updated above. ☒ N

List all safety treatments that this project will implement:

Protected bikeway (shared-use path), narrowed roadway, shortened crossing distances

30%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2C?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
60%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2C?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
90%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2C?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
100%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2C?	Y, summarized in appendix	N

2D. Transportation Planning & Programming - Engagement

0%

Project area neighborhood(s):

East Phillips

Project area ward(s) and Council Member(s):

Ward 9 - CM Chavez

Project area TEP score tier(s): Tier 1

If project area includes multiple TEP score tiers, provide a breakdown by area:

Does the engagement plan indicate a need for language translation services? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes,
summarize:

Project area census tract is 14% limited English speaking households that speak Spanish. Vital project documents should be translated so Spanish and any engagement events should provide interpretation services.

Has an engagement plan been completed? Yes, see appendix ☒ No

If no, explain:

expectation of inform level engagement only

Project engagement goals:

n/a

Concept Layout

Has an engagement summary been completed? Y, see appendix ☒ N

If no, explain:

inform level engagement only

Summarize the project's post-Concept Layout engagement needs:

Construction impacts will be communicated to adjacent properties.

Information on detours will be communicated to users of the Midtown Greenway.

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2D? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2D? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2D? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2D? Y, summarized in appendix N

2E. Transportation Planning & Programming - Accessibility

0%

Are all pedestrian ramps within the project area ADA compliant? Y ☒ N

If no, list locations: Depends on exact project extents to be determined - all quadrants of 18th Ave S & E 29th St lack ADA compliant ramps.

Do all signalized crossings within the project area have accessible pedestrian signals (APS)? Y N ☒ n/a

If no, list locations:

Does the project area include any sidewalk gaps (or areas of sidewalk narrower than 5 feet?) Y ☒ N

If yes, list locations:

Concept Layout

Will the project replace all ADA non-compliant pedestrian ramps? ☒ Y N n/a

If no, list locations
and explain reason:

Will the project add APS to all signalized pedestrian crossings? Y N ☒ n/a

If no, list locations
and explain reason:

Will the project address all sidewalk gaps or sections of sidewalk narrower than 5 feet? Y N ☒ n/a

If no, list locations
and explain reason:

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2E? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2E? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2E? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 2E? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 2: Transportation Planning & Programming

The TPP project manager has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 2 at the following project milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: <i>Andrew Schmitz</i>	Date: 8-7-2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: <i>Andrew Schmitz</i>	Date: 8-7-2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

3. Transportation Engineering & Design

This project includes a TED project manager or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 4

0%

Do the anticipated design speed(s), design vehicle(s), and control vehicle(s) listed in Section 2B meet the guidance of the Street Design Guide? ☒ Y ☐ N

If no, explain:

Does the project require preliminary survey work? ☒ Y ☐ N If yes, has it been completed? ☒ Y ☐ N

Has any street construction work been completed within the project area in the previous five years? Y ☒ N

If yes, list:

Are there any capital improvement projects within the project area planned for the next five years? Y ☒ N

If yes, list:

Concept Layout

Were any changes or exceptions made to the design speed, design vehicle or control vehicles used for the concept layout? Y ☒ N

If yes, explain:

Are any temporary or permanent easements expected to be required? ☒ Y, temporary Y, permanent N

Do all dimensions of the concept layout meet the guidance of the Street Design Guide? ☒ Y ☐ N

If no, detail any exceptions or variances used as part of the concept layout:

Will any elements of the concept layout require a variance request from State Aid? Y ☒ N

If yes, explain:

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 3? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 3? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 3? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 3? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 3: Transportation Engineering & Design

The TED project manager has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 3 at the following project milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: <i>Petru Vizoli</i>	Date: 8/7/2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: <i>Petru Vizoli</i>	Date: 8/7/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

4. Traffic & Parking Services

This project includes a TPS core team member or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 5

0%

Do the anticipated design speed(s), design vehicle(s), and control vehicle(s) listed in Section 2B meet the guidance of the Street Design Guide? ☒ Y ☐ N

If no,
explain:

Will any traffic counts or speed studies need to be conducted as part of the project? Y ☒ N

If yes,
summarize:

Are any traffic, travel demand or parking studies expected or recommended? Y ☒ N

If yes, list: A previous traffic study was conducted in 2021 after the street was converted to one-way operations. The results of this study may be considered depending on design options pursued for the bridge deck.

Are there any notable or unique vehicle traffic generators in the project area? Y ☒ N

If yes,
list:

Does the project include areas on the Street Lighting Plan map? Y ☒ N

If yes, list
extents:

Is new or upgraded street lighting expected or recommended based on the project scope and budget? Y ☒ N

Concept Layout

Were any traffic, travel demand, or parking studies conducted to inform the concept layout? Y ☒ N

If yes, list and
attach in appendix:

If yes, summarize how the results were considered in the concept layout:

Have the locations of all proposed marked crosswalks been approved by Traffic & Parking Services? Y ☐ N ☒ n/a

If no, list
and explain:

Will the project require changes to intersection signals? Y ☒ N

If yes, summarize
changes:

Will the project require changes to regulated street parking?
Y
☒
N

If yes, summarize changes:

Will the project require changes to traffic, parking, or other street signage?
Y
☒
N

If yes, summarize changes:

Does the project include the addition of or upgrade of street lighting?
Y
☒
N

If yes, identify funding source:

Do all striping dimensions of the concept layout including travel, turn, parking, and bike lanes meet the guidance of the Street Design Guide?
☒
Y
N

If no, detail any exceptions or variances used as part of the concept layout:

30%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 4?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
60%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 4?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
90%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 4?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
100%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 4?	Y, summarized in appendix	N

Checklist Review - Section 4: Traffic & Parking Services

The TPS core team member has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 4 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: <i>Shai Comay</i>	Date: 08/22/25
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: <i>Shai Comay</i>	Date: 08/22/25
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

5. Transportation Maintenance & Repair

This project includes a TMR core team member or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 6

0%

For retrofit projects, is the existing pavement condition suitable for the proposed project? Y N ☒ n/a

If no, explain needed and proposed maintenance work:

Is any future repaving, concrete rehabilitation and/or pedestrian ramp replacement work scheduled within the project area? Y ☒ N

If yes, detail
with locations:

Concept Layout

Are the design dimensions of the concept layout adequate for routine maintenance operations? ☒ Y ☐ N

If no,
explain:

Does the design of the concept layout create any additional maintenance needs or issues? Y ☒ N

If yes,
explain:

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 5? Y, summarized in appendix N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 5? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 5? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 5? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 5: Transportation Maintenance & Repair

The TMR core team member has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 5 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: <i>Ahmed Omer</i>	Date: 8/12/2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: <i>Ahmed Omer</i>	Date: 8/12/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

6. Surface Water & Sewers

This project includes a SWS core team member or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 7

0%

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been completed through the Scoping/0% Development section? Y ☒ N

Does the project expect to trigger the stormwater management requirements of the Chapter 54 ordinance?

Y ☒ N ☐ not yet determined

Does Surface Water & Sewers have any planned capital improvement projects in the project area? Y ☒ N

If yes,
list:

Concept Layout

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been completed through the Concept Layout section? Y ☒ N

Based on the concept layout, will the project require changes to existing stormwater or sanitary sewer infrastructure? Y ☒ N

If yes,
summarize:

Has the expectation of triggering the stormwater management requirements of the Chapter 54 ordinance changed?

Y, no longer expected ☐ Y, now expected ☒ N

Will the project implement any new green stormwater infrastructure not required by Chapter 54? Y ☒ N

If yes, summarize and identify funding source:

If expecting to trigger the requirements of Chapter 54, does the concept layout include sufficient surface stormwater BMPs? Y ☒ N

If no,
explain:

30%

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been completed through the 30% Plan Development section? Y ☐ N

If the project requires changes to stormwater or sanitary sewer infrastructure or operations, have these changes been accounted for in the design plans? Y ☐ N ☐ n/a

If no,
explain:

If the project requires compliance with Chapter 54, do the 30% plans include sufficient surface stormwater BMPs to meet the ordinance requirements? Y ☐ N ☐ n/a

If no,
explain:

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 6? Y, summarized in appendix N

60%

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been completed through the 60% Plan Development section? Y N

If expecting to trigger the requirements of Chapter 54, do the 60% design plans include sufficient stormwater BMPs (of any type)?

Y, described below N, explained below

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 6? Y, summarized in appendix N

90%

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been completed through the 90% Plan Development section? Y N

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided Section 6? Y, summarized in appendix N

100%

Has a Chapter 54 Checklist been fully completed through 100% Plan Development? Y N

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 6? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 6: Surface Water & Sewers

The SWS core team member has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 6 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: <i>Jeremy Strehlo</i>	Date: 8/12/2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: <i>Jeremy Strehlo</i>	Date: 8/12/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

7. Community Planning & Economic Development

This project includes a CPED core team member or representative: Y ☒ N, skip to Section 8

0%

Are there any major private developments planned in the project area? Y N

If yes,
list:

Are there any CPED-owned properties in the project area? Y N

If yes, list with any known development plans or identified opportunities for use in project :

Does the project area have any relevant small area, neighborhood, or corridor plans or studies? Y N

If yes, list (and attach summary if provided):

Does the project area include any City designated cultural districts or business corridors? Y N

If yes,
list:

List any citywide or area specific Minneapolis 2040 goals and policies that the project should highlight:

Concept Layout

Based on the concept layout, will project advance the Minneapolis 2040 goals or policies identified at 0%? Y N

If no,
explain:

30%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 7?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
60%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 7?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
90%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 7?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
100%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 7?	Y, summarized in appendix	N

Checklist Review - Section 7: Community Planning & Economic Development

The CPED core team member has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 7 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
Concept Layout	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

8. Water Treatment & Delivery Services

This project includes a WTDS core team member or representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 9

0%

Are there any planned water delivery infrastructure improvements or maintenance in the project area? ☒ Y ☐ N

If yes, WTDS will plan to interconnect two water mains outside of the project limits in 2026.
list:

Concept Layout

Based on the concept layout, will the project require changes to existing water delivery infrastructure? ☐ Y ☒ N

If yes,
summarize:

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 8? Y, summarized in appendix N

60%

If the project requires changes to water delivery infrastructure, have these changes been accounted for in the design plans? Y N n/a

If no,
explain:

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 8? Y, summarized in appendix N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 8? Y, summarized in appendix N

100% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 8? Y, summarized in appendix N

Checklist Review - Section 8: Water Treatment & Delivery Services

The WTDS core team member has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 8 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: Josh Brown	Date: 8/11/2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Name: Josh Brown	Date: 8/11/2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

9. Minneapolis Fire Department

This project will include review by an MFD representative: ☒ Y ☐ N, skip to Section 10

0%

Does MFD currently experience any issues with providing emergency services in the project area related to street design or operations? (if project reviewed prior to Concept Layout) ☐ Y ☐ N ☒ n/a

If yes,
summarize:

Concept Layout

Has MFD identified any potential issues the project will create with providing emergency services? ☐ Y ☒ N

If yes,
summarize:

30% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 9? ☐ Y, summarized in appendix ☐ N

60% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 9? ☐ Y, summarized in appendix ☐ N

90% Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 9? ☐ Y, summarized in appendix ☐ N

100%

Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 9? ☐ Y, summarized in appendix ☐ N

Has the Minneapolis Fire Department submitted a letter of support for the project? ☐ Y, attached ☐ N

Checklist Review - Section 9: Minneapolis Fire Department

The project's MFD representative has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 9 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
Concept Layout	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

10. Interagency Coordination

Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB)

Contact person for project coordination (if any):

0%

Does the project area include any park facilities, or otherwise require coordination with MPRB? Y ☒ N

If yes,
summarize:

If the project is expected to disturb trees in the public right-of-way, was a tree inventory performed? Y N ☒ n/a

Concept Layout

If coordination is required, has the concept layout been reviewed by a MRPB representative? Y N n/a

Is the project expected to remove or add any street trees in the public right-of-way?

Y, add Y, remove Y, remove and add N

Arts & Cultural Affairs

Contact person for project coordination (if any):

0%

Will the project consider the addition of public art? Y ☒ N

Concept Layout

Will the project include the addition of public art? Y N If yes, has Arts & Cultural Affairs been contacted? Y N

Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT)

Contact person for project coordination (if any): Britta Anderson

0%

Does the project intersect any state highways or otherwise expect to coordinate with MnDOT? ☒ Y N

If yes,
summarize: Coordination with MnDOT State Aid office due to federal funding source and approval of final plans required.

Concept Layout

If coordination is required, has the concept layout been reviewed by a MnDOT representative? ☒ Y N n/a

Will the project request cost sharing participation from MnDOT? Y N ☒ n/a

Will the project require a maintenance agreement with MnDOT? Y N ☒ n/a

Hennepin County

Contact person for project coordination (if any): Joseph Gladke

0%

Does the project area intersect any County roads or otherwise expect to coordinate with Hennepin Co.? ☒ Y N

If yes,
summarize: The project is subject to the approval of Hennepin Co Regional Railroad Authority, the owner/controlling body of the Midtown Greenway and provider of project funding.

Concept Layout

If intersecting a county road, has the concept layout been reviewed by a Hennepin Co. representative?	Y	N	✓	n/a
Will the project request cost sharing participation from Hennepin Co.?	Y	N	✓	n/a
Will the project require a maintenance agreement with Hennepin Co.?	Y	N	✓	n/a

Metro Transit

Contact person for project coordination (if any):

0%

Is the project area serviced by any current or planned Metro Transit routes? Y ✓ N

If yes,
summarize:

Concept Layout

Will the project make any changes that will affect Metro Transit operations or facilities? Y ✓ N

If yes,
summarize:

If coordination is required, has the concept layout been reviewed by a Metro Transit representative? Y N ✓ n/a

For all Interagency Coordination sub-sections:

30%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 10?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
60%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 10?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
90%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 10?	Y, summarized in appendix	N
100%	Were any changes made affecting the information previously provided in Section 10?	Y, summarized in appendix	N

Checklist Review - Section 10: Interagency Coordination

The TPP project manager has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 10 at the following milestones:

0%	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: Andrew Schmitz	Date: 8-7-2025
Concept Layout	check here to confirm: ✓	Name: Andrew Schmitz	Date: 8-7-2025
30%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:

The TED project manager has reviewed and confirmed the information provided in Section 10 at the following milestones:

60%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
90%	check here to confirm:	Name:	Date:
100%	Final signature:		Date:

Appendices

(select all applicable below and attach)

Engagement Plan

Engagement Summary

Supplemental Traffic Studies (crash analysis, parking, speed, travel demand, etc.)

List:

CPED Plan Summaries (small area, neighborhood, corridor, etc.)

List:

MFD Letter of Support

Additional 30-100% Updates:

2A. TPP - Planned Networks

2B. TPP - Street Design

2C. TPP - Vision Zero

2D. TPP - Engagement

2E. TPP - Accessibility

3. Transportation Engineering & Design

4. Traffic & Parking Services

5. Transportation Maintenance & Repair

6. Surface Water & Sewers

7. Community Planning & Economic Development

8. Water Treatment & Delivery Services

9. Interagency Coordination