Citywide Action Plan

ACCESS MINNEAPOLIS

Ten-Year Transportation Action Plan

July 17, 2009

Minneapolis

Metro Transit

Metropolitan Council

Funding for this project was provided by the city of Minneapolis and its Partner Agencies:

- City of Minneapolis
  - Public Works
  - Community Planning and Economic Development
- Metropolitan Council
  - Metro Transit
- Hennepin County
- Minnesota Department of Transportation

Technical guidance for this project was provided by a Project Management Team and a Project Steering Committee, members of which are as follows:

Project Management Team members

Michael Abegg, Beth Elliott, John Griffith, Jim Grube, Rick Kjonaas, Connie Kozlak, Craig Lamothe, Steve Hay, Steve Mahowald, Michael McLaughlin, Susan Moe, Don Elwood, Katie Walker, Jon Wertjes, Charleen Zimmer.

Project Steering Committee members

Michael Abegg, John Akre, Richard Anderson, Tim Brown, Douglas Davis, Caren Dewar, John DeWitt, Beth Elliott, Darrell Gerber, Bob Greenberg, Jim Grube, Adam Harrington, Margot Imdieke Cross, William Johnson, Janet Keysser, Rick Kjonaas, Steve Kotke, Connie Kozlak, Steve Hay, Michael McLaughlin, Susan Moe, Jan Morlock, Tom O'Keefe, Kerri Pearce Ruch, Maureen Scallen, Lea Schuster, Pat Scott, Tom Thorstenson, John VanHeel, Katie Walker, Doug Walter, Kent Warden, Jon Wertjes, Charleen Zimmer.

Consultant Team

Iteris, Inc. | Meyer, Mohaddes Associates
Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates
Short Elliot Hendrickson Inc.
Richardson, Richter and Associates, Inc.

The Geographic Information System (GIS) Maps used in this report are provided "as is" and without any warranty as to their performance, merchantability, or fitness for any particular purpose. Data for these GIS Maps were provided by the city of Minneapolis, Hennepin County and the Metropolitan Council.

This publication is available in alternative formats upon request.

Citywide Ten-Year Transportation Action Plan

Access Minneapolis

July 17, 2009

This page left blank intentionally.

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary 1
Trends 1
Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plans 2
Primary Transit Network 2
Designing Complete Streets 2
Recommended Actions 4
Funding the Transportation Action Plan 6
Implementing the Action Plan 7
Transportation Vision for Minneapolis 9
Purpose of Access Minneapolis Ten-Year Transportation Action Plan 9
Planning Context 10
Funding the Transportation Plan 11
Navigating the Document 11
3. State of the City: Multi-Modal Transportation Needs 13
Historic Growth Patterns 13
Accommodating Future Growth 15
Land Use Features (Place Types) 16
Multi-Modal Trends 16
Pedestrian Needs 19
Bicycle Needs 24
Transit Needs 25
Needs on the Existing Street System 32
Parking Facilities 36
4. Ten-Year Action Plan 38
Objective 1: Make transportation design decisions based on place type in addition to street function 38
Objective 2: Ensure that all streets in the city are safe, convenient and comfortable for walking 43
Objective 3: Provide a well-connected grid of bike lanes 44
Objective 4: Provide the best possible transit service on a Primary Transit Network 45
Objective 5: Encourage people to walk, bike, take transit rather than drive 52
Objective 6: Optimize the use, safety and life of the street system 55
Objective 7: Manage and Operate Streets to Support All Modes of Transportation 60
Objective 8: Make consistent decisions for curbside uses 68
5. Funding the Ten-Year Action Plan 70
The Funding Challenge 70
Existing Funding Sources 71
Opportunities for Increasing Return on Investment 73
Recommended Actions 73
6. Implementing the Ten-Year Action Plan 76
Related Initiatives 76
Interagency and Interdepartmental Coordination 76
Setting Priorities for Capital Projects 76
Setting Priorities for Traffic Management Activities 77
Future Transportation Planning 77
Next Steps for Proposed Actions 78

Appendix A – Transportation Policies from *"The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth", 2008*
Appendix B – Description of Place Types
Appendix C – Street Needs Assessment
Appendix D – Street Design Type Characteristics
Appendix E – Regional Transit Standards
Appendix F – Check List for Bus Stops
Appendix G – Methodology for Evaluting One-Way Versus Two-Way Streets

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Primary Transit Network 3
Figure 2 – Street Design Types 5
Figure 3 – Planning Context for Citywide Action Plan 10
Figure 4 – Historic Development and Transportation Patterns 14
Figure 5 – Projected Population and Employment Growth 15
Figure 6 – Land Use Features 17
Figure 7 – Auto Ownership and Land Use Density 21
Figure 8 - Existing Sidewalk System 23
Figure 9 - Existing Bicycle Facilities 26
Figure 10 - Regional Transitways 27
Figure 11 - Existing Bus Service 28
Figure 12 - Transit Market Areas 29
Figure 13 - Hi-Frequency Transit Network 31
Figure 14 - Jurisdiction of Roadways in Minneapolis 33
Figure 15 – Pavement and Bridge Condition 34
Figure 16 - Crashes and Congestion 35
Figure 17 - Street Design Types 40
Figure 18 – Pedestrian Master Plan Goals and Objectives 44
Figure 19 - Existing Bikeway Plan 46
Figure 20 - Primary Transit Network 47
Figure 21 - Long-Range Streetcar System 53
Figure 22 - Existing Carshare Hubs in Minneapolis 54
Figure 23 - Five-Year Capital Improvement Program 57
Figure 24 - Resurfacing Plan 58
Figure 25 – Major Existing One-Way Streets 66

List of Tables

Table 1 - Place Type Characteristics 18
Table 2 - Means of Transportation to Work: All Jobs in Minneapolis 19
Table 3 – Average Weekday Transit Ridership on Metro Transit Routes – October 2008 29
Table 4 - Transit Market Areas 30
Table 5 - Street Design Type Characteristics 41
Table 6 Existing Service on the Primary Transit Network 49
Table 7 - Toolbox for PTN Service and Facility Improvements 50
Table 8 – Traffic Calming Measures 62
Table 9 – Criteria for Use of Traffic Calming Measures 63
Table 10 – Strategies for Addressing Traffic Calming Issues on Arterial Streets 65
Table 11 - Criteria for Assessing Multi-Modal Needs and Selecting Projects on System Streets[1] 78
Table 12 – Implementation Plan for Citywide Ten-Year Transportation Action Plan 79
Table 13 – Comparison of CIP Projects and Operating Programs to Plan Objectives 86