

**Pedestrian Advisory Committee Meeting  
Summary Meeting Notes**

**November 5, 2008**

3:00 pm to 4:30 pm

Room 241, City Hall

**Agenda**

**Pedestrian Design Guidelines**

Review and discussion of draft Pedestrian Design Guidelines for the Pedestrian Master Plan.

**Attendees**

<b>PAC Member</b>	<b>Attendees</b>	<b>Representing</b>	<b>Present</b>
X	Jim Adams	Senior Citizens Advisory Committee	
X	James Andrew	Metropolitan Council	X
X	Patty Bowler	Minneapolis Department of Health	
X	Theresa Cain	Metro Transit	
X	Aaron Day	City of Lakes Chamber	
X	Anna Flintoft	Minneapolis Public Works, PAC Chair	X
X	Anna Gillette	7 <sup>th</sup> Ward Citizen – North Loop	
X	Loretta Grewe	Advisory Committee on People with Disabilities	
X	Stephanie Gruver	4 <sup>th</sup> Ward Citizen	
X	Diane Hansen	12 <sup>th</sup> Ward Citizen	X
X	Sarah Harris	Walking Minneapolis Foundation	
X	Elizabeth Haugen	Minneapolis Communications Department	X
X	Steven Hay	Minneapolis CPED	
X	Robin Hennessy	City of Minneapolis Attorney's Office	
X	Mary Jackson	Minnesota Department of Transportation	X
X	Karen Nikolai	Hennepin County	X
X	Jennifer Ringold	Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board	
X	Mike Rumppe	Minneapolis Fire Department	
X	Jan Sandberg	7 <sup>th</sup> Ward Citizen – Loring Park	X
X	Steve Sanders	University of Minnesota	
X	David Smith	Minneapolis Public Schools	X
X	Mackenzie Turner	Bicycle Advisory Committee	
X	Kathy Waite	Minneapolis Police Department	
X	Mary Watson	6 <sup>th</sup> Ward Citizen	
	Martha Hage	Advisory Committee on People with Disabilities	X
	Kristen Klingler	Minneapolis Department of Health	X
	Steve Clark	Transit for Livable Communities	X
	Becca Gomez	Bike Walk Ambassador Program	X

## **Summary of Items Discussed**

The Committee reviewed the draft Pedestrian Design Guide. Comments included:

- 10.0.1 Are the principles for pedestrian design in order of priority? How do these relate to the prioritization developed by the PAC for capital projects? These items should be clarified.
- 10.0.1 Under principles for pedestrian design – cost-effective: How is the value of an improvement measured? This statement should be clarified.
- 10.0.3 Relationship to accessibility standards – Need to clarify the difference between guidelines and standards and how these guidelines relate to construction specifications.
- Throughout – The term “shall be considered” is vague. All uses of the term “shall be considered” should be reviewed and changed to a stronger verb if appropriate.
- 10.1.2 – Need to emphasize that should be objective of city to prevent future gaps in the street and sidewalk grid from occurring.
- 10.3.1 – Use of the terms “pedestrian zone” and “pedestrian subzones” is confusing.
- 10.3.2 – Clarify that “constrained conditions” means for existing conditions and minor construction opportunities where it is not possible to move the curb line.
- 10.3.5.2 – Investigate whether proposed sign ordinance changes will affect the guidance here.
- 10.3.5.3 – A photo of a good sidewalk café configuration would be helpful.
- 10.3.5.4 – What are street vendors? Does this include farmers market stalls?
- The guide should address prohibitions against cars parking on sidewalks.
- The guide should address the hazards of parking bays which cut into the Through Walk Zone, which may lead to a pedestrian falling off the curb.
- It might be useful to review the Mn/DOT bicycle and pedestrian accommodation policy for bridges.