

Overview of CPP Fund Formula and criteria:

No charter schools, alternative schools, private schools, or suburban schools included in this scoring. Many, many folks send their kids outside of Minneapolis or to charter schools. Bloomington, Richfield, Edina all have high levels of Minneapolis students enrolled.- **ESL** only for Mpls. Public School system. **Formula is flawed.**

52.5% is inaccurate - Neighborhoods did not meet the 52.5% threshold, to ensure that it is met through the overall life of NRP, we need to ensure that 70% is dedicated to housing to ensure that at the end of the program we reach the 52.5%. The next 2 years of funding is the "stolen NRP Funds" that were allocated to neighborhoods and now they are being re-allocated. CLPC is losing over \$200,000 and getting only \$86,000 back in the next 2 years of CPP funds.

Non-English Speaking points - Loring is not eligible for points for our Russian speaking population

Criteria for Part I Crime - Loring works to address Part II/Livability crime to deter those crimes rising to a Part I level. Downtown Court Watch, Restorative Justice, Heading Home Hennepin, Downtown Congregations to end Homelessness, Project Homeless Connect, St. Stephens Street Outreach are all tools we created. They benefit the City. It addresses Part I crime, we have no escalation to Part II - **We get no points.**

Formula should have points for household units, our job is to get to the door, talk to each household in the neighborhood - engage community house x house - they then pass it on to folks within their house.

Could have a set-aside pot for Extra Needs - diversity, crime, foreclosure that folks could apply to for additional funds on top of an annual base fund.

Neighborhood Priority Plans - This is a NRP Statute Legislative Requirement. Unrealistic, for the little amount of money which CPP provides. The document should be asking about "**how will you engage community**" which was the original intent. We need to know what "housing related uses" will be when 70% of the 2012 and 2013 CPP funds need to be dedicated to housing. **They should just say - implement/develop NRP Phase II plans.** Period. There are still 13 hoods without plans and all but a handful of the 70 hoods still doing Phase II. If folks want to build in additional community engagement, great - but I would hope that NCEC would not suggest that implementing and valuing approved NRP Phase II plans would not be the focus. I also thought that NCEC should

say no to stealing the Phase II funds and demand from the City that they find another source of funding neighborhoods vs. taking already allocated funds approved by NRP Policy Board, City Council Community Development, Ways & Means Committee and ultimately City Council.

Ineligible Use/Donations - Clarify what this means? Can we not then "buy a brick" in memory of folks with CPP funds?

Influencing City/Departments - How will NCR help us with that? Isn't it all about elected leadership and their definition as to whether or not community engagement, citizen directed development and participation are important?

Additionally, feel free to use the **401 Oak Grove** City staff report, where justification for NOT following the city-approved NRP plan is that it is only "visionary". In the early days, planning staff were assigned to neighborhoods - departments reviewed the NRP Plans - capital expense goals and goals of city were reviewed per neighborhood driven goals - City staff helped and **ENSURED** implementation of these plans. They did not call them "visionary and with no bearing on City decisions."

Future for neighborhoods?

There is no money yet dedicated from the City's to neighborhoods post 2014.

They will never pay us back from the stolen Phase II NRP Funds.

Doubtful that additional TIF Districts would be re-certified in 2014, since Property Tax relief will still be an issue in 2014.

No general support money dedicated to the City for 2012 and 2013 - \$2 million of the stolen \$10 million will be dedicated to staff support of the NCEC Dept. No other funds have yet been articulated.

The new "Transformation Districts" developed legislatively 2 years ago to save neighborhoods by re-certifying pre-1979 TIF Districts went by Council Action from re-certifying 100% of them to re-certifying only 50% of them. Then, by Council Action, 50% of these funds went to Target Debt and 50% was supposed to go to Neighbors. But, end of year 2010, these funds were directed to property tax relief, NRP Phase II funds froze, and now these frozen NRP Phase II funds are the funds being re-allocated per the 2012 Community Participation Plan proposed Formula.

No Transition plan yet for the funding of Audits, D & O Insurance, or MTN partnerships. The City in their projected budget has increased cable rates and cut their contribution to MTN, thus no future for a MTN partnership to document neighborhood work at this time.

No NRP Staff have been hired to go over to NCR yet, therefore contract management, plan modification, reimbursements more than likely will be delayed until Spring of 2013. There will be no seamless transition in my estimation.

- Jana Metge, CLPC Coordinator
11.9.2011