

Table ____ : Parking and Transportation Recommendations

Topics	What is Already Being Done?	Recommendations for Future Action	Responsible Groups
Development Parking Requirements			
Residential parking requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recently adopted parking amendment reduced parking requirements for commercial uses; exempted University area from consideration Area has in place PO district, which reduces parking requirements Informally enforcing 0.5 spaces/bedroom in U area Decreased allowed impervious surface, per text amendment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establish overlay district with 0.5 spaces/bedroom required for all residential development in District, including single family; boundary of district TBD Allow compact spaces to meet requirements in smaller developments Revisit parking area design requirements and enforcement to avoid unattractive yards Potentially provide reductions in parking requirements in exchange for high quality bicycle facilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning Public Works Transportation
Shared commercial parking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Already allowed by city ordinance, to a degree Parking amendment eliminated required off-street parking for Dinkytown and Stadium Village development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage creative shared parking arrangements for commercial uses as part of district parking strategy and through the development review process Pursue parking study or studies for District area to assess parking utilization and needs, as well as opportunities for sharing facilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Business associations U of M Parking & Transportation Public Works Transportation
On-Street Parking			
Illegal parking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing enforcement efforts citywide 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Work with neighborhood groups to identify offenders and ticket them, including parking illegally in critical parking areas and in no-parking areas (on lawns, intersections, etc.) Modify the permitting and renewal process to make it harder for people to illegally transfer permits and easier to identify offenders Consider using parking fines to staff increased enforcement in District Increase enforcement at strategic times, such 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public Works Transportation U of M Parking & Transportation Neighborhoods

		<p>as the beginning of semesters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Combine and coordinate City and U of M enforcement efforts to maximize impact 	
Critical parking areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process in place allows property owners to petition city (with 70% agreement) to establish critical parking areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revisit existing critical parking areas to ensure they are appropriate • Encourage landowners to pursue critical parking districts as needed, particularly in areas with substantial commuter parking • Clarify rules regarding who must sign petition (property owner or tenants) to enact critical parking • Pursue a parking study to determine parking costs and utilization rates in the District 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neighborhoods (initiate request) • Public Works Transportation
Parking permits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permits issued for residents based on number of licenses, with allowance for visitors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage larger developments to provide parking on site and opt not to participate in parking permit programs, so as to not overwhelm available spots • Monitor and limit total number of critical parking permits issued for residential developments to better reflect parking supply in surrounding area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Works Transportation
Metered parking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spaces being lost by CCLRT project and related road projects • Parking inventories and assessments being done as part of CCLRT planning effort • City testing new meter technologies to make parking easier and more efficient 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimize removal of on street parking in commercial districts and investigate alternatives • Identify additional areas where new parking meters could be added to help replace those that have been lost 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Met Council • Public Works Transportation • Business associations
Commuter Parking			
Park and ride lots for commuters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some park and ride based routes serve U campus (e.g. routes 111, 114, 272, and 652) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work with Metro Transit to increase options for commuting from park and rides, and market options to potential users 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U of M Parking & Transportation

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work with U of M to expand shuttle service from underutilized lots 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro Transit
Carpooling and vanpooling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U of M and Metro Transit have existing programs to promote this as an option. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create incentives for people to use these options 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U of M Parking & Transportation • Metro Transit
Remote long term parking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not currently an option 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify and encourage use of remote long-term parking lots for occasional users living near campus, with connections to Metro Transit or U of M buses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U of M Parking & Transportation • Metro Transit
Pay-per-use parking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not currently an option 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investigate ways to make paying per use easier and more convenient for drivers, as opposed to unlimited contract options 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Works Transportation • U of M Parking & Transportation
On-campus resident parking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some parking provided for students living on campus 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discourage first-year students living on campus from having cars • Construct additional parking (or make other parking arrangements) to accompany any new or expanded on-campus housing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U of M Parking & Transportation
Alternative Modes			
Incorporating facilities in development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PUD text amendment provides guidance for bike facilities • New standards for bicycle parking in parking text amendment • Electric vehicle text amendment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase requirements (or incentives) for accommodating bicycle facilities into new development – racks, lockers, showers, etc. • Ensure that parking for alternative vehicles (e.g. bicycles, motorcycles, scooters, possibly electric vehicles) is incorporated into new and improved parking facilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPED Planning • U of M Parking & Transportation
Promoting walking, biking and transit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ongoing, funded City plans for improvements to bicycle and pedestrian network • CCLRT planning effort, including planned stations, multimodal center 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promote bicycling, walking, and transit as viable options in the District for transportation • Continue to improve and expand high quality, connected alternative transportation networks that offer travelers convenient and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro Transit • Public Works Transportation • U of M Parking & Transportation

		viable options	
Neighborhood-serving development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing commercial and mixed use redevelopment projects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Promote neighborhood-serving development in the area to make it more convenient to walk, bike, and ride transit to these destinations Work with developers to identify local underserved markets for goods and services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning CPED Business Development Neighborhood associations
Car sharing programs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing public and private sector car-sharing programs (Hourcar, Zipcar, etc.) Language in proposed PUD amendment regarding accommodation of shared cars 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue to promote car-sharing as a viable option Work on developing solutions for conveniently accommodating shared cars on the site of new and existing developments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> U of M Parking & Transportation Public Works Transportation
Affordable bus passes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some pass programs in place, including U Pass and Metro Pass, serving students and employees of some companies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support the continuation of access to affordable passes for students, residents, and employees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> U of M Parking & Transportation Metro Transit Business associations
Special Events Parking			
Off-campus parking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing work group addressing range of stadium issues including parking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Work with enforcement staff regarding plan for accommodating parking during events - including tailgating Enforce parking violations during special events, both on and off campus 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public Works Transportation U of M Parking & Transportation Regulatory Services

Table ____ : Enforcement Recommendations

Topics	What is Already Being Done	Recommendations for Future Action	Responsible Groups
Inspections and Enforcement			
Increased regulatory enforcement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recently completed enforcement sweeps of District in 2003 and 2007-08 • Follow-up on sweeps, especially for violating properties • Flagging properties with illegal licenses in system • Now inspecting properties within 60 days of conversion to rental to identify problems • Catching properties without truth in housing reports and revoking licenses • Improved information on property violations available through 311 • New staffer focused on identifying unlicensed properties • New need for a rental license if advertising a property for rent • Planning annual nuisance sweeps during the summer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase regulatory enforcement actions in District related to livability violations, including repeating recently completed compliance sweeps and following up; include both businesses and residences • Use information from sweeps to determine best path for future enforcement • Work with neighborhoods to improve reporting of (and response to) violations • Fund enforcement through fines issued and potentially fees for permits • Pursue tiered system where properties with violations are inspected more frequently than those with none • Implement program to inspect rental properties when ownership changes • Additional staff to increase enforcement actions • Implement new online system to track violations and at risk properties • Implement 2 strikes you're out for illegal renting • Implement new administrative processes to allow for quicker and more efficient hearing process than current citizen board 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Services • Neighborhood associations
Clarified expectations of landlords	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some information already required/ provided through rental and development permitting processes • Information on occupancy and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Require disclosure of additional information for landlords regarding occupancy, maintenance, conduct, etc. • Educate landlords on the issue of over- 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Services

	zoning included on rental license	<p>occupancy, include making restrictions and penalties more explicit in permitting and rental licensing process</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage use of standard lease format, with improved disclosure of standards • Ensure lease language in properties with safety problems helps to address problems 	
Increasing penalties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Penalties and consequences already in place 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review existing and potential penalties for violations (e.g. rental license revocation, loss of nonconforming rights, fines, etc.) to ensure they are substantial enough to be a deterrent without being overly punitive • Increase the base fee charged for a violation • Identify ways to ensure that tenants are held responsible for illegal actions, as well as landlords and property owners. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Services
Tracking landlords	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City already is familiar with the records of major landlords in the District • Landlords cannot renew a rental license without first paying accrued fines on property • Landlords with license revocation cannot rent for 5 years • Improved information on property violations available through 311 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Register and/or track landlords – possibly through licensing program – to be able to enforce standards more effectively; • Encourage voluntary certification process with neighborhoods (possibly with fees to pay for District services and upkeep • Make exception for small-scale landlords (own just one small rental property) • Identify approach to ensure that landlords do not use aliases, etc. to avoid tracking • Encourage the U of M to register/certify landlords of student housing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Services
Improved criminal enforcement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ongoing public safety services provided • New noise standards for commercial properties 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify ways to be more responsive to criminal activity, including noise, unlawful assembly, etc. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Services • Police • U of M public

			safety
Relative homesteads	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New regulatory approach requires rental licenses of relative homesteads 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor new approach to determine if it is effective in addressing problems If needed, pursue action at state legislature 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Services
Homeowners as landlords	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Currently no rental license required with limit of 2 roommates, unless renters in separate unit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Investigate need for homeowners living in a property to have a rental license to rent out rooms, and determine if/when this is needed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Services
Prohibit outdoor upholstered furniture – unattractive feature on some properties in District	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council recently declined to pass ordinance banning this furniture, saying existing laws are sufficient 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use existing laws and regulations to aid in removing dangerous and/or unsightly furniture Increased focus in enforcement in identifying furniture to be removed, especially fire hazards and those in poor condition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Services
Liquor licenses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Liquor licenses required Recent (related) noise ordinance was passed, impacting bars and restaurants 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Address issues associated with growing concentration of alcohol-serving establishments in commercial districts Consider further limiting number and/or type of liquor licenses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Services

Table ____ : Design and Development Standards Recommendations

Topics	What is Already Being Done	Recommendations for Future Action	Responsible Groups
Design and Development			
Design standards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative review process was improved in last few years, using points system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modify administrative review standards to limiting use of unfinished wood on outside of home and being more specific regarding what meets front porch requirement Work with staff to clearly communicate expectations to potential developers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning Regulatory Services
Administrative review process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recent improvements to process, including adding points system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Make administrative review process more stringent by requiring more points for approval, possibly more points available - possibly for neighborhood consultation or 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning

		support	
3-4 unit development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focusing on improvements to this housing type in particular as part of the study 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discourage construction of 3-4 unit buildings with maximum occupancy in bedrooms, by limiting FAR for these projects Support development of housing that is more readily convertible to non-student housing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning
Property management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> City is limited to the degree this can be impacted 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage the development of well-managed and supervised student housing through regulation and enforcement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning
Housing choice and variety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support available for senior and affordable housing through various programs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Encourage construction of life-cycle housing options and more balanced housing choices, including housing for families, and seniors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning CPED Housing
Preservation by district	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing historic districts in Marcy Holmes, proposed one in Prospect Park 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider use of conservation districts, historic districts, or other tools to define community character and encourage development to comply with identified character, such as Prospect Park 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning – Preservation and Design
Prevent demolitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Established process for reviewing proposed demolition of properties with potential historic value Regulatory process provides encourages efforts to rehab property, including providing flexibility when needed, in order to prevent demolition 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discourage demolition of existing homes through regulations that incentivize remodeling and reusing these properties Support local and state programs to fund historic building renovation and preservation Strengthen requirements for notification of neighbors to proposed demolition Encourage appropriate adaptive reuse of large historic homes that maintains character and integrity Highlight successful projects in District to serve as guide for others 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning – Preservation and Design
Over-occupancy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enforce legal occupancy limits on properties 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improve communications to landlords and renters regarding legal limits to occupancy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning, Regulatory

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> and consequences of violations • Ensure consequences for property owners who do not comply 	Services
--	--	---	----------

Table ____ : Planning and Zoning Framework Recommendations

Topics	What is Already Being Done	Recommendations for Future Action	Responsible Groups
Planning and Zoning Framework			
Zoning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Several rezoning studies have been done for district in past 20 years 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rezone properties to better match desired development patterns, in line with existing policy • Strengthen policy support for desired zoning through additional planning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPED Planning
Planning and policy guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recent review of planning and zoning framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate neighborhood, district, and citywide land use plans to determine context for decision making, and support planning efforts to fill in any policy “gaps” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPED Planning • University Alliance/U of M

Table ____ : Public Process Recommendations

Topics	What is Already Being Done	Recommendations for Future Actions	Responsible Groups
Public notification of projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Already generally required as part of administrative review 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incorporate public notification more directly into administrative review process by strengthening requirements within required review period • Require more advance notice via certified mail for administrative approvals • Provide information regarding rights to appeal decision to neighborhoods 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPED Planning
Student outreach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U-funded student neighborhood liaisons 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve outreach – through student, neighborhood, and U of M groups – to improve student-community relations; building on initiatives such as party pamphlet 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • University Alliance/U of M • Neighborhood associations

Landlord and developer outreach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Starting discussions via planning process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved communication with landlords and developers regarding community expectations and standards for development and management, including limits on occupancy and expectations for communicating standards to tenants 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning Regulatory Services Neighborhood associations
Role of District as reviewer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial discussions through Alliance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support role of District Alliance as reviewer of large projects, particularly those with regional impact 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPED Planning University Alliance

2313 Cole Ave SE

- Single family residence (R1A zoning)
- 5 bedrooms
- 2 car garage
- Received points for: detached garage, basement, exterior materials, open front porch, and front yard tree



1085 26th Ave SE

- Single family residence (R1A zoning)
- 4 bedrooms
- 2 car garage
- Received points for: detached garage, basement, 10% windows elsewhere, roof pitch, open front porch, and front yard tree



1331 8th St SE

- Triplex (R5 zoning)
- 15 bedrooms (5/unit)
- Surface parking
- Received points for: basement, exterior materials, 20% windows facing street, 10% windows elsewhere, and roof pitch

