

ATTACHMENT A

For Local Governments Requesting a 2008 Capital Appropriation, Please Provide Answers to all of the Following Questions (for each request) in a Letter or Memorandum to the Minnesota Department of Finance

- 1) Name of the local government or political subdivision that is submitting the request: *City of Minneapolis.*
- 2) Project title: *35W South Tunnel Asset Preservation.*
- 3) Project priority number (if the applicant is submitting multiple requests): *The city council will consider the resolution regarding priorities at its June 29 meeting.*
- 4) Project location (please list county or counties, and town(s) or city(ies)): *Deep storm tunnel located in the Interstate 35W and Interstate 94 corridor between 39th Street and the Mississippi River, Minneapolis, Hennepin County.*
- 5) Is this a subsequent phase of a project that received state funding in previous years? If yes, please explain: *The project has not received state funding in prior years.*
- 6) Total project cost for all funding sources – all years – for all capital costs (in thousands of dollars): *\$7,800,000 (but could be scalable starting at \$2,500,000 and completed incrementally)*

Total Project Costs (all funding sources)			
For Prior Years	For 2008	For 2010	For 2012
-0-	\$0	\$2,800	\$5,000

- 7) Amount of state funds requested (in thousands of dollars):

	For Subsequent Project Phases:	
State funds requested for 2008	State funds to be requested in 2010	State funds to be requested in 2012
\$0	\$1,400	\$2,500

- 8) Non-state funds available or to be contributed to the project (list the dollar amount and sources – federal, city, private, or other – for all years): *Federal: \$0; City: \$3,900,000; Other: \$0.*
- 9) Project description and rationale (limit to one page maximum). *This request is for a total of \$3,900,000 in state funding to design and perform asset preservation type of construction methods (rehabilitation/major maintenance) of the Interstate 35W South Tunnel System. The tunnel system provides drainage for the Interstate right of way as well as portions of southwest Minneapolis which also drain to the tunnel. This project was determined based on identified need in a recently completed condition assessment report as well as the continued need to disconnect the storm water system from the sanitary system to reduce the likelihood of combined sewer overflows into the Mississippi River. These disconnections*

place additional flow into a storm water facility that is in need of repair. There are large voids and cracking that have occurred over a period of time. The condition needs to be managed before it becomes a safety issue.

- 10) Identify who will own the facility. Identify who will operate the facility. *The facility will continue to be owned by the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) and maintained by the City of Minneapolis.*
- 11) Identify total project costs (in thousands of dollars) for each of the following categories: land acquisition, predesign, design, construction, furniture/fixtures/equipment, and relocation costs.

	2008	2010	2012
Land acquisition	-0-	-0-	-0-
Predesign	-0-	-0-	-0-
Design	-0-	\$220	\$170
Project Management	-0-	\$300	\$480
Construction	-0-	\$2,280	\$4,350
Furniture/Fixtures/Equipment	-0-	-0-	-0-
Relocation	-0-	-0-	-0-

- 12) For new construction projects, identify the new square footage planned: *n/a*
- 13) For remodeling, renovation or expansion projects, identify the total square footage of current facilities and new square footage planned: *13,730 linear feet of an average 12 foot diameter pipe.*
- 14) Project schedule. Identify the date (month/year) when construction crews are expected to first arrive on site, and the date (month/year) when construction will be completed with a certificate of occupancy. *Design 2009 to 2010; Construction Start 2010 and Completion 2012.*

(Please note: for facilities projects, this information will also be used to calculate an inflation cost, using the Building Projects Inflation Schedule that is posted on the Department of Finance website. Please indicate if instead you have already included an escalation factor in your cost information under Item 6.)

- 15) For projects with a total construction cost of at least \$1.5 million, has a project predesign been submitted to the Commissioner of Administration?¹ *The project has not been submitted to the Commissioner of Administration for predesign review.*
- 16) Identify any new or additional state operating dollars that will be requested for this project. (Specify the amount and year, if applicable). *No new or additional State funding will be needed to operate the project.*
- 17) Discuss how the project meets or exceeds the sustainable building guidelines established under Minnesota Statutes, section 16B.35 *(Included in Attachment B).*

¹ For a copy of the Predesign Manual, please visit the State Architect’s Office web site (www.sao.admin.state.mn.us/) and follow the link in the top menu bar for *Designer Procedures Manual*)

18) Explain the extent to which the project will use sustainable building designs, if applicable.
n/a

19) Attach a resolution of support from the governing body of the applicant (with the project priority number if submitting multiple requests).

20) Project contact person, title, and contact information:

Mr. Kevin Danen, Principal Professional Engineer
Minneapolis Public Works Department
3607 E 44th Street
Minneapolis MN 55406
phone (612) 673-5627
fax (612) 673-2048
email: kevin.danen@ci.minneapolis.mn.us

ATTACHMENT B: Relevant Statutory Provisions

1. Project Evaluation Criteria (Excerpted from Minnesota Statutes 16A.86, subdivisions 3 and 4)

The commissioner shall evaluate all requests from political subdivisions for state assistance based on the following criteria:

- 1) The political subdivision has provided for local, private, and user financing for the project to the maximum extent possible;*
- 2) The project helps fulfill an important state mission;*
- 3) The project is of regional or statewide significance;*
- 4) The project will not require new or any additional state operating subsidies;*
- 5) The project will not expand the state's role in a new policy area;*
- 6) State funding for the project will not create significant inequities among local jurisdictions;*
- 7) The project will not compete with other facilities in such a manner that they lose a significant number of users to the new project;*
- 8) The governing bodies of those political subdivisions primarily benefiting from the project have passed resolutions in support of the project and have established priorities for all projects within their jurisdictions for which bonding appropriations are requested when submitting multiple requests; and*

- 9) *If a [required] predesign ... has been completed and is available at the time the project request is submitted to the commissioner of finance, the applicant has submitted the project predesign to the commissioner of administration.*

The state share of a project ... must be no more than half the total cost of the project, including predesign, design, construction, furnishings, and equipment ... (except for local school projects or disaster recovery projects, or if the project is located in a political subdivision with a very low average net tax capacity).

<p style="text-align: center;">2. Sustainable Building Guidelines (Excerpted from Minnesota Statutes 16B.325)</p>

The primary objectives of these guidelines are to ensure that all new state buildings initially exceed existing energy code, as established in Minnesota Rules, chapter 7676, by at least 30 percent.

The guidelines must focus on achieving the lowest possible lifetime cost for new buildings and allow for changes in the guidelines that encourage continual energy conservation improvements in new buildings.

The design guidelines must establish sustainability guidelines that:
include air quality and lighting standards and that create and maintain a healthy environment and facilitate productivity improvements;
specify ways to reduce material costs; and
must consider the long-term operating costs of the building, including the use of renewable energy sources and distributed electric energy generation that uses a renewable source or natural gas or a fuel that is as clean or cleaner than natural gas.