

City of Minneapolis
CPED - Public Land Sale

Section I. Property Information

PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.
ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY.
PLEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS

Submitted by: Edie Oates Phone #: 673-5229 Date: 7/28/2008 REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY:
8/11/2008

1. Address: 3649 2nd Av. S Property Identification Number (PIN): 03-028-24-43-0178
2. Lot Size: 5,070 sq. ft.
3. Current Use: Single Family Home
4. Current Zoning: R1A
5. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): Rehab and sale to an owner-occupant.
6. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City:
None
7. Project Coordinator comments: This property will be rehabbed under the HOW Program.

PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US

Section II. Zoning Review

8. Lot is Buildable Non-Buildable for **any** structure. Explain: This parcel is nonconforming as to lot width, but a single family home may be built per the lot of record exception in 531.100.
9. Will any land use applications (rezoning, variances, etc) be required to achieve the **proposed** future use noted in item 5? Yes No If yes, what applications? Rehabilitation of the existing home would not require any land use applications. If a new home is built, however, it would require Administrative Site Plan Review.
10. Comments: _____

Completed by: Brad Ellis Date: 7/28/2008

ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US

Section III. Community Planning Review

11. Adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel – please list:
None
12. Future land use/designation(s) identified in *The Minneapolis Plan* and other adopted plans: Urban Neighborhood designation in 2008 TMP
13. Is future land use proposed in item 5 consistent with future land use plans?
Yes No If no, why not? _____
14. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development (see item 6).
Yes No If yes, explain development scenario possible by combining City-owned parcels. _____
15. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development? Yes No
If Yes, what type of development? _____

Comments: _____

**City of Minneapolis
CPED - Public Land Sale**

Completed by: Paul Mogush Date: 7/28/2008

COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US

Planning Director Review - by: Barbara Sporlein Date: 7/29/2008

PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US,
NANCY.THURBER@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US, AND THE PROJECT COORDINATOR.

Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments – by: Wes Butler Date: 7/29/2008
Comments: No concerns from Multi-family.

Single Family Housing Staff Comments – by: Elfric Porte, II. Date: 7/29/2008
Comments: I support the proposed reuse.

Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments – by: Kaye Anderson Date: 8/1/2008
Comments: REDS agrees with single family use.

Business Development Staff Comments – by: Kristen Guild Date: 8/4/2008
Comments: Business Development does not have an interest in this property for economic development purposes."

Economic Development Director Review - by: Cathy Polasky Date: 8/11/08

PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:

PROCEED to market the property as proposed
(Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner at the time land sale is to occur for presentation to Planning Commission)

HOLD this property for further discussion
(Project Coordinator: Route a new form following staff discussion)

Housing Director Review - by: Tom Streitz Date: 8/12/2008

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US,