

**REPORT TO PUBLIC SAFETY & REGULATORY SERVICES
FIRST QUARTERLY REPORT ON NUISANCE NIGHT HEARING PROGRAM**

January 21, 2004

Background

On April 9, 2003, this Committee directed the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office to "work with the Minneapolis Police Department, in consultation with the chief judge of Hennepin County District Court, and others to explore the possibility of creating a nuisance night court, and the feasibility of piloting this program during the summer of 2003."

On May 7, 2003, the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office presented a report to this Committee that outlined the court options available to the City to address livability offenses. Based on a "best practices" analysis of the Midtown Manhattan Community Court and the Philadelphia Nuisance Night Court, the report recommended further study of two main models: 1) Same Day/Night Court and/or 2) Next Day Court.

This Committee then directed staff to move "aggressively forward, with the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office being the coordinator of the project." The Committee action included a reporting schedule encompassing the next three meeting cycles as follows:

1. One cycle-May 21: The CCP/SAFE Team shall provide information through the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office for the Committee to decide on a geographic area to use for a pilot project.
2. Two cycles-June 11: Committee to receive a written update from the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office in terms of the stakeholders' involvement (i.e. the courts being a partner, possible location for the court, resource needs and demands on the various departments).
3. Three cycles-June 25: Staff to provide in person update looking at the specific things that would need to be done in order to implement the project this summer.

On May 21, 2003, the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office and CCP/SAFE presented a report, which outlined the type, incidence, and location of livability offenses occurring within the City in order to provide guidance to the Committee in selecting a geographic area to use for a Nuisance Night Hearing project. At a special meeting of the Public Safety & Regulatory Services Committee on June 2, 2003, the Committee "identified Downtown as the geographic area for a Nuisance Night Hearing project."

On June 11, 2003, the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office provided a written report to this Committee outlining stakeholders' involvement in the Nuisance Night Court proposal, including courtroom staffing and facilities/equipment needs.

On June 25, 2003, The Minneapolis City Attorney's Office provided a written report to this Committee summarizing the visit to observe Philadelphia's Nuisance Night Court and Summary Diversion Program, estimating staffing and cost requirements of night court/same day or next day court, and outlining next steps and recommendations. The Minneapolis City Attorney's Office was directed to develop "a general idea of the resources needed and the feasibility of initiating a program similar to Philadelphia's Summary Diversion Behavior Class, including a potential Restorative Justice component" and the use of the City's Administrative Adjudication Process on a dual track.

On July 16, 2003, the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office provided this committee with an oral report which briefly analyzed first precinct offenses and dispositions, and discussed the feasibility of initiating a program similar to Philadelphia's Summary Diversion Behavior Class and possible class referral processes.

On August 13, 2003, the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office provided this committee with a written report that summarized the July 16, 2003 oral report and additionally discussed how several other communities have approached administrative enforcement systems. The Minneapolis City Attorney's Office and the Minneapolis Police Department were directed to develop specific recommendations regarding expanded use of the Administrative Enforcement and Hearing Process as well as a pre-charging diversion process and a post-charging diversion process through the Traffic Violations Bureau; including specific staffing and cost requirements for each process; to outline a proposed curriculum for a Livability Offenses Behavior Class; and to work with the City's IGR staff to pursue the legislative options discussed in the August 13, 2003 report.

On September 17, 2003, the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office provided this committee with a written report outlining the specific steps necessary to expand the use of the Administrative Enforcement and Hearing Process, to develop the Livability Offenses Behavior Class curriculum, implement a pre-charging diversion process and to modify the Traffic Violations Bureau process to allow post-charging diversion. The report also identified important municipal and state legislative changes, as well as changes to the Rules of Criminal Procedure necessary to implement the recommendations.

On September 26, 2003, based upon this committee's recommendations, the City Council approved the expanded use of the use of the Administrative Enforcement and Hearing Process. The City Council directed that, within the first 90 days, the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office:

- Hire permit intake clerk;
- Hire permit administrative clerk;
- Begin process to hire permanent intake and administrative clerks;
- Set up necessary telephone and computer equipment;
- Develop and print administrative citation forms;
- Distribute administrative citation forms;
- Train police officers on administrative citation forms;
- Hold first administrative hearing; and,
- Issue quarterly report to the Public Safety and Regulatory Service Committee regarding the program status.

On October 15, 2003, the City Council introduced the subject matter of the proposed public urination and drug paraphernalia ordinances, and referred the proposed ordinances to this

committee for public hearing. The City Council adopted the proposed public urination and drug paraphernalia ordinances on November 1, 2003.

This report provides the Public Safety and Regulatory Services Committee its first quarterly report on the Nuisance Night Hearing Program.

Update

1. Nuisance Night Hearing Implementation

On November 3, 2003, the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office and the Minneapolis Police Department jointly began utilizing the Minneapolis Administrative Enforcement and Hearing Process,¹ by implementing a Nuisance Night "Hearing" Program.²

Under this program, when a police officer has a reasonable belief that a non-chronic offender has committed one of the enumerated nuisance ordinance violations, he or she "personally serves" an administrative citation upon the offender. Administrative citations are issued under those circumstances in which an officer currently would not arrest and book the person. The administrative citation includes the date, time, and the nature of the violation; the name of the official issuing the citation; the appropriate civil fine schedule; and instructs the person to contact the intake clerk to request a hearing or confirm the fine amount and arrange payment.

Prior to November 3, 2003, the November the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office: 1) developed a specific implementation plan with the Minneapolis Police Department, 2) developed and printed the administrative citation forms, 3) set up necessary office telephone and computer equipment, and 4) purchased the recording equipment for the administrative hearings. During an implementation-planning meeting on October 28, 2003, the Minneapolis Police Department pointed out to the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office that the inclusion of dogwatch officers in the Nuisance Night Hearing Program might have a significant budgetary impact on the Minneapolis Police Department. This impact would occur if a large percentage of persons issued administrative citations by a dogwatch officer requested an administrative hearing. Dogwatch officers, unlike day-watch and mid-watch officers, could not be scheduled for an administrative hearing during their regular work schedule. Rather, dogwatch officers would be paid over time to attend an administrative hearing. Therefore, the Minneapolis Police Department and Minneapolis City Attorney's Office agreed to initially include only day-watch and mid-watch officers in the Nuisance Night Hearing Program.

Beginning the week of November 3, 2003, the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office in collaboration with the Minneapolis Police Department began conducting eleven group training sessions and several individual training sessions on the Nuisance Night Hearing Project in which 62 police

¹ This administrative hearing process is outlined in Minneapolis Code of Ordinances, Chapter 2.

² Unlike Pennsylvania, the rules of criminal procedure in Minnesota prohibit trials *in absentia* and petty misdemeanor certification without the defendant's consent. See Minn. R. Crim. P. 26.03; Minn. R. Crim. P. 23.04. See Also Attachment A "Memorandum - Minnesota Laws Prohibits Trials *In Absentia*." A Nuisance Night "Hearing" Process will more effectively address these legal limitations than a Nuisance Night Court, especially in light of existing interagency resistance to a Nuisance Night Court.

officers and 2 civilians have been trained in the First Precinct. The curriculum for the training included:

1. What enumerated nuisance ordinance violations are eligible for the Nuisance Night Hearing project;
2. When an officer has a reasonable belief that a non-chronic offender has committed one of the enumerated nuisance ordinance violations;
3. When an officer should arrest and book the offender versus issuing an administrative citation;
4. How an officer completes an administrative citation;
5. How an officer "personally serves" an administrative citation;
6. How an officer enters the corresponding police report into CAPRS;
7. What information the officer should provide to offender upon service of the administrative citation;
8. What the intake clerk does when contacted by an offender;
9. How the intake clerk determines the civil fine;
10. What civil fine alternatives the intake clerk can extend to the offender;
11. How the administrative hearings will be conducted; and,
12. How the administrative citations will be enforced.

In addition, The Minneapolis City Attorney's Office and the Minneapolis Police Department created an interactive database named "Acite" for the Nuisance Night Hearing Program. The Acite database allows the intake clerk to access an offender's entire administrative file from one desktop application. The Acite database couples relevant information from each CAPRS report with a Microsoft Access application that contains triaging fields for the disposition process. This database allows multiple users to access the records simultaneously thereby eliminating the need for multiple paper copies of an offender's case. It further allows for a process that is virtually paperless in updating and retaining Nuisance Night Hearing Project records. The Acite database is also capable of creating limitless query permutations for statistical analysis. Although the Nuisance Night Hearing Program began on November 3, 2003, the Acite database was not available until late December 2003. The Acite program allows an intake clerk to perform his or her job duties more efficiently than if the intake clerk used a traditional paper-based case management system. The Acite program is approximately four-times more efficient than a paper-based case management system. See Attachment A ("Acite database screens"). The Minneapolis City Attorney's Office expects that the Acite database will increase the effectiveness of the Nuisance Night Hearing Program.

On December 29, 2003, the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office hired an intake clerk. The intake clerk is currently housed at the Minneapolis Police Department's First Precinct. When the offender contacts the intake clerk, the clerk reviews the offender's administrative citation record, confirms the fine amount, and explains to the offender that he or she may:

1. request a hearing;
2. pay the fine;
3. in lieu of the fine, successfully complete CCNP's Restorative Justice Program; or,
4. in lieu of the fine, perform one day of Sentence to Service for every eighty dollars owed.

The Minneapolis City Attorney's Office continues its efforts to hire a permit administrative clerk. As discussed below, no person has yet request an administrative hearing.

2. Nuisance Night Hearing Outcomes

From November 3, 2003 to January 8, 2004, Minneapolis Police officers have issued 50 administrative citations. Twenty percent of the offenders have committed to an administrative citation resolution. Half of the offenders have resolved their administrative citation by paying the fine. The other half of the offenders have agreed to resolve their administrative citation by completing the CCNP's Restorative Justice Program. None of the offenders have requested to resolve their administrative citation by either Sentence to Service or an administrative hearing. As a result, no offenders have been referred to Sentence to Service or scheduled for an administrative hearing. The following is a table outlining of the administrative citations by type of disposition:

	Percentage of Administrative Citations By Dispositions
Payment of Fine	50%
Restorative Justice Program	50%
Sentence to Service	0%
Administrative Hearing	0%

The following is a table outlining the percentage of administrative citations citing one or more³ of the numerated nuisance ordinance violations:

	Percentage of Administrative Citations By Offense(s)
Begging Mpls. Ord. 385.60	2%
Consuming in Public Mpls. Ord. 364.40	34%
Drug Paraphernalia in a Public Place Mpls Ord. 223.235	0%
Graffiti Mpls Ord. 244.495	0%
Littering Mpls. Ord 427.30	2%
Loitering Mpls. Ord 385.50	24%
Loiter w/ Open Bottle Mpls. Ord. 364.45	8%
Lurking Mpls. Ord. 385.80	2%
Minor Consumption Mpls. Ord 370.40	0%
Noise violations Mpls. Ord. 385.65	0%
Possession of a Small Amount	0%

³ Each administrative citation can cite up to three ordinance violations. Therefore, the percentage of administrative citations issued for these enumerated offenses will exceed a 100 percent.

of Marijuana in a Public Place Mpls. Ord. 223.235	
Public Urination (Proposed Mpls. Ord.)	28%

With respect to utilization, the Minneapolis City Attorney’s Office and the Minneapolis Police Department intend to include dogwatch officers in the Night Nuisance Hearing Program. While the above-referenced numbers may not be statistically significant given short amount of time that the program has been running, it appears that a very small percentage of persons will request an administrative hearing. The inclusion of dogwatch officers in the Night Nuisance Hearing Program should not result in significant over-time costs. Therefore, the First Precinct expects to increase the number of administrative citations issued by including dogwatch officers in the Night Nuisance Hearing Program.

With respect to response rate, these rates are expected to significantly improve now that a permit intake clerk has been hired. The initial delay in hiring the permit intake clerk resulted in the need to use voicemail to respond to inquiries by persons who received an administrative citation. The Minneapolis City Attorney’s Office and Minneapolis Police Department continue to have on-going dialog on strategies to improve utilization and response rates.

3. Possible Program Enhancements

a. Community Service

Adding “community service” as a fine alternative would enhance the Nuisance Night Hearing Program by creating an additional intervention to meet offender and Downtown community needs. Community service referrals could be established with community service partners without a charge to the City of Minneapolis for the referrals. According to Crystal Joyner, who is in charge of Salvation Army’s volunteer program in Downtown Minneapolis, Salvation Army is willing to become a Nuisance Night Hearing Project partner. Ms. Joyner is willing to meet with our offenders, set-up a volunteer plan with the offender, and provide the Nuisance Night Hearing Project with progress reports. Ms. Joyner further explained that the offenders could be assigned to a First Precinct location for specific volunteer assignments that would permit the offender to have a positive impact in the Downtown Community.

If the Offender selects community service in lieu of a fine, the intake clerk would explain to the offender how many days of community service are required to work off the schedule fine at a rate of \$10 an hour.

b. Payment by Credit Card

When an offender opts to pay the fine, the intake clerk confirms with the offender the amount and manner in which it is to be paid. One substantial barrier to collecting fines for the Nuisance Night Hearing Project is the intake clerk’s inability to accept credit card payment over the phone. Most offenders willing to pay the fine would like to complete the transaction during their initial contact with the intake clerk. Implementing payment over the phone by credit card could increase the percentage of resolved cases. The Minneapolis City Attorney’s Office has discussed with the Minneapolis Finance Department the use of credit cards for offender payments. The staff of the

two departments will be meeting to discuss an implementation plan. However, it will take approximately three weeks to finalize the details.


Next Steps and Recommendations

Based on the above, we recommend that the City Council:

- 1) Receive and file this report;
- 2) Direct the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office and the Minneapolis Police Department to create one or more community service partnership with non-profit organizations in the community within 45 days after City Council approval; and,
- 3) Direct the Minneapolis City Attorney's Office and Minneapolis Finance Department to report back to the Public Safety & Regulatory Service Committee in two cycles with specific cost requirements for implementing a payment over the phone option by credit card.

ATTACHMENT A

1. Initial Acite Screen



2. Selecting a Case Screen

Microsoft Access - [frmACiteFindPersonTxt2 : Form]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Minneapolis Administrative Citations

Select a Case to work on:

Select Open Case:

Select New Case:

Select Closed Case:

New Cases:

Open Cases:

Closed Cases:

Form View NUM

Start | Inbox - Mi... | Report to ... | Microsoft ... | Micros... | FW: - Me... | Session A... | 4:13 PM

3. Initial Contact Screen

The screenshot shows a Microsoft Access form titled "Initial Contact" for a case involving Timothy Davis. The form is divided into several sections for data entry.

Case Information:

- Name: Davis, Timothy
- Date of Birth: 2/2/65
- MP Number: 2003 297371
- Entered Date: 11/4/03 12:00:11 AM
- Prior Arrests: 17
- Prior Administrative Citations: 0
- Charge 1: CONSME
- Charge 2: CONSME
- Charge 3: (empty)
- Residence: 002211 Clinton AV S Apt: 1 Minneapolis MN 55404
- Phones: (empty)
- Case Number: 2099185 A 002

Disposition and Status:

- Initial Contact: Selected
- Dispo Fine, Dispo STS, Dispo RJ, Dispo Com Serv, Dispo AH, AH Fine, AH STS, AH Com Serv, AH Other: Unselected
- Date Offender Contacts Clerk: (empty)
- Offender Contact Made By: (empty)
- Offender's Contact Method: (empty)
- Case Status: Open (selected), Closed (unselected)
- This is Offender's ____ Citation?: 1

Offender and Clerk Interaction:

- Clerk Contacts Offender Date: (empty)
- Number of Clerk Contacts with Offender: 0
- Clerk's Contact Method: (empty)
- Offender's Response to the Citation: (empty)
- Offender committed to: (empty)
- Comments: (empty text area)

Form View and System Information:

- Form View: NUM
- Taskbar: Start, Inbox - Mi..., Report to ..., Microsoft..., Micros..., FW: - Me..., Session A..., 4:14 PM

4. Disposition by Fine Screen

Microsoft Access - [frmTestTabEdit : Form]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Davis Timothy 2/2/65 MP 2003 297371

EnteredDate: 11/4/03 12:00:11 AM Prior Arrests: 17 Prior Administrative Citations: 0 Change Case

Charge1: CONSME Residence: 002211 Clinton AV S Apt: 1 Minneapolis MN 55404

Charge2: CONSME Phones:

Charge3:

2099185 A 002

Initial Contact Dispo Fine Dispo STS Dispo RJ Dispo Com Serv Dispo AH AH Fine AH STS AH Com Serv AH Other

FineAmtAssessed \$0

FineAmtPaid \$0

FinePaidDate

FinePaidMethod

FinePaidCkNbr

Civil Judgement Sought? 2099185
CJDateSought
CJAmtSought \$0

Civil Judgement Award? 002
CJAwardDate
CJAmtAwarded \$0

Judgement Sent to Collections?

Collections Sought?
CJFineToCollectDate
CJFineAmtRecovByCollect \$0
CJFineRecovDate

Form View NUM

Start Inbox - Mi... Report to ... Microsoft ... Micros... FW: - Me... Session A... 4:15 PM

5. Disposition by Sentence to Service Screen

Microsoft Access - [frmTestTabEdit : Form]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Davis Timothy 2/2/65 MP 2003 297371

EnteredDate: 11/4/03 12:00:11 AM Prior Arrests: 17 Prior Administrative Citations: 0 Change Case

Charge1: CONSME Residence: 002211 Clinton AV S Apt: 1 Minneapolis MN 55404

Charge2: CONSME Phones:

Charge3:

2099185 A 002

Initial Contact Dispo Fine Dispo STS Dispo RJ Dispo Com Serv Dispo AH AH Fine AH STS AH Com Serv AH Other

Child22:

STSTransferredDate

STSTransferredDate

STSTransferredDate

STSTransferredDate

STSTransferredDate

Offender Failed STS

Why did STS fail?

STSTransferredComment:

Form View

NUM

Start Inbox - Mi... Report to ... Microsoft ... Micros... FW: - Me... Session A... 4:16 PM

6. Disposition by CCNP's Restorative Justice Screen

Microsoft Access - [frmTestTabEdit : Form]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Davis Timothy 2/2/65 MP 2003 297371

EnteredDate: 11/4/03 12:00:11 AM Prior Arrests: 17 Prior Administrative Citations: 0 Change Case

Charge1: CONSME Residence: 002211 Clinton AV S Apt: 1 Minneapolis MN 55404

Charge2: CONSME Phones:

Charge3:

2099185 A 002

Initial Contact Dispo Fine Dispo STS Dispo RJ Dispo Com Serv Dispo AH AH Fine AH STS AH Com Serv AH Other

DispoRJ

RJ Qualified 2099185 A 002

RJ Referred Date:

RJ Tracking Nbr: 0

Date To Completed RJ:

Date of Completion:

RJ Failed or Declined

RJ Fail Decline Date:

Reason for Failure or Decline:

RJ Fail Decline Comment:

Outcome in Failed Restorative Justice:

RJ Failed Outcome Comment:

Form View NUM

Start Inbox - Mi... Report to ... Microsoft ... Micros... FW: - Me... Session A... 4:17 PM

7. Disposition by Community Service Screen

Microsoft Access - [frmTestTabEdit : Form]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Davis Timothy 2/2/65 MP 2003 297371

EnteredDate: 11/4/03 12:00:11 AM Prior Arrests: 17 Prior Administrative Citations: 0 Change Case

Charge1: CONSME Residence: 002211 Clinton AV S Apt: 1 Minneapolis MN 55404

Charge2: CONSME Phones:

Charge3:

2099185 A 002

Initial Contact Dispo Fine Dispo STS Dispo RJ Dispo Com Serv Dispo AH AH Fine AH STS AH Com Serv AH Other

sfrmDispoCommServ

Location: 0 ComServTrackNbr: 0 2099185 002

Other Location Comment:

Community Service Referred Date: Hours To Complete: 0

Date To Be Completed: Completion Date:

Community Service Failed

ComServFailedReason:

ComServFailedComment:

Form View NUM

Start Inbox - Mi... Report to ... Microsoft ... Micros... FW: - Me... Session A... 4:17 PM

8. Disposition by Administrative Hearing Screen

Microsoft Access - [frmTestTabEdit : Form]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Davis Timothy 2/2/65 MP 2003 297371

EnteredDate: 11/4/03 12:00:11 AM Prior Arrests: 17 Prior Administrative Citations: 0 Change Case

Charge1: CONSME Residence: 002211 Clinton AV S Apt: 1 Minneapolis MN 55404

Charge2: CONSME Phones:

Charge3:

2099185 A 002

Initial Contact Dispo Fine Dispo STS Dispo RJ Dispo Com Serv Dispo AH AH Fine AH STS AH Com Serv AH Other

DispoAdHear

AdHScheduledDate: Role: A

AdHOffenderNotified AdHWhyNotNotified: RoleNbr: 002

AdHLocation:

AdHDate: AdHTime:

AdHOfficer:

AdHWitnesses:

Disposition Imposed:

AdHDispoOtherComment:

Form View NUM

Start Inbox - Mi... Report to ... Microsoft ... Micros... FW: - Me... Session A... 4:18 PM

9. Administrative Hearing Imposing a Fine Sanction Screen

Microsoft Access - [frmTestTabEdit : Form]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Davis Timothy 2/2/65 MP 2003 297371

EnteredDate: 11/4/03 12:00:11 AM Prior Arrests: 17 Prior Administrative Citations: 0 Change Case

Charge1: CONSME Residence: 002211 Clinton AV S Apt: 1 Minneapolis MN 55404

Charge2: CONSME Phones:

Charge3:

2099185 A 002

Initial Contact Dispo Fine Dispo STS Dispo RJ Dispo Com Serv Dispo AH AH Fine AH STS AH Com Serv AH Other

AdHearFine

Fine Imposed Date: Fine Amount: \$0 Amount Paid: \$0 2099185

Date Fine Paid or Alternative Disposition Resolved: A

002

Fine Suspended Reason Fine Suspended: Comment about Suspension:

Civil Judgement Sought Date Sought: Amount Sought: \$0

Civil Judgement Awarded Date Awarded: Amount Awarded: \$0

Fine Sent To Collections Date Sent: Amount Recovered: \$0 Date of Recovery:

Form View NUM

Start Inbox - Mi... Report to ... Microsoft ... Micros... FW: - Me... Session A... 4:18 PM

10. Administrative Hearing Imposing a Sentence to Service Sanction Screen

Microsoft Access - [frmTestTabEdit : Form]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Davis Timothy 2/2/65 MP 2003 297371

EnteredDate: 11/4/03 12:00:11 AM Prior Arrests: 17 Prior Administrative Citations: 0 Change Case

Charge1: CONSME Residence: 002211 Clinton AV S Apt: 1 Minneapolis MN 55404

Charge2: CONSME Phones:

Charge3:

2099185 A 002

Initial Contact Dispo Fine Dispo STS Dispo RJ Dispo Com Serv Dispo AH AH Fine AH STS AH Com Serv AH Other

AdHearSTS

Date STS Imposed: Hours Imposed: STS Hours Completed: Date Hours Completed:

2099185 A 002

STS Suspended

Reason STS Suspended: Comment about Suspension:

Form View NUM

Start Inbox - Mi... Report to ... Microsoft ... Micros... FW: - Me... Session A... 4:19 PM

11. Administrative Hearing Imposing a Community Service Sanction Screen

Microsoft Access - [frmTestTabEdit : Form]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Davis Timothy 2/2/65 MP 2003 297371

EnteredDate: 11/4/03 12:00:11 AM Prior Arrests: 17 Prior Administrative Citations: 0 Change Case

Charge1: CONSME Residence: 002211 Clinton AV S Apt: 1 Minneapolis MN 55404

Charge2: CONSME Phones:

Charge3:

2099185 A 002

Initial Contact Dispo Fine Dispo STS Dispo RJ Dispo Com Serv Dispo AH AH Fine AH STS AH Com Serv AH Other

CommunityService

Date Community Service Imposed:

Location:

Tracking Nbr:

Number of Hours Imposed:

Hours Completed:

Date Hours Completed:

Community Service Suspended

Reason Community Service Suspended

Comment:

Form View NUM

Start | Inbox - Mi... | Report to ... | Microsoft ... | Micros... | FW: - Me... | Session A... | 4:19 PM

12. Administrative Hearing Imposing an Alternative Sanction Screen

Microsoft Access - [frmTestTabEdit : Form]

File Edit View Insert Format Records Tools Window Help

Davis Timothy 2/2/65 MP 2003 297371

EnteredDate: 11/4/03 12:00:11 AM Prior Arrests: 17 Prior Administrative Citations: 0 Change Case

Charge1: CONSME Residence: 002211 Clinton AV S Apt: 1 Minneapolis MN 55404

Charge2: CONSME Phones:

Charge3:

2099185 A 002

Initial Contact Dispo Fine Dispo STS Dispo RJ Dispo Com Serv Dispo AH AH Fine AH STS AH Com Serv AH Other

frmACiteDispoAdHearOthersubf

AdHOtherImposedDate: 2099185 A 002

AdHOtherLocation:

AdHOtherTrackNbr:

AdHOtherFailed

AdHOtherFailedComment:

Form View NUM

Start | Inbox - Mi... | Report to ... | Microsoft ... | Micros... | FW: - Me... | Session A... | 4:20 PM