



Request for City Council Committee Action from the Office of Intergovernmental Relations Grants and Special Projects

Date: January 10, 2007

To: Council Member Betsy Hodges, Chair-Intergovernmental Relations Committee
Referral to: N/A

Subject: 2006 Annual Report from the Office of Grants and Special Projects

Recommendation

Receive and file this information

Previous Directives

Regular reports of grant activity should be provided to the committee.

Prepared or Submitted by Gaynell Schandel-673 2001

Approved by Gene Ranieri, Director-Intergovernmental Relations

Permanent Review Committee (PRC) Approval _____ Not Applicable

Note: To determine if applicable see <http://insite/finance/purchasing/permanent-review-committee-overview.asp>

Policy Review Group (PRG) Approval _____ Date of Approval _____ Not Applicable

Note: The Policy Review Group is a committee co-chaired by the City Clerk and the City Coordinator that must review all requests related to establishing or changing enterprise policies.

Presenters in Committee (name, title)

Financial Impact (Check those that apply)

No financial impact (If checked, go directly to Background/Supporting Information).

Action requires an appropriation increase to the _____ Capital Budget or _____ Operating Budget.

Action provides increased revenue for appropriation increase.

Action requires use of contingency or reserves.

Business Plan: _____ Action is within the plan. _____ Action requires a change to plan.

Other financial impact (Explain):

Request provided to department's finance contact when provided to the Committee Coordinator.

Community Impact (use any categories that apply)

Neighborhood Notification

City Goals

Comprehensive Plan

Zoning Code

Other

Background/Supporting Information Attached

ANNUAL REPORT

OFFICE OF GRANTS AND SPECIAL PROJECTS

Through December 31, 2006

| INDICATOR | 2005 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Number of applications submitted | 50 | 98 |
| Number of successful applications | 36 | 69 |
| Amount of money applied for | 16,800,859 | 33,245,348 |
| Amount awarded | 7,607,704 | 15,558,183 |
| Number of Grant Users' Meetings | 3 | 3 |
| Number of Grant Users' participants | 35 | 34 |
| Meetings to discuss funding/grant options | 56 | 102 |

These estimates are based on reviews of council committee action and the Grant Review Forms returned to the office.

The Consolidated Plan award for Year 32 was nearly \$ 19M. This amount is not included in the above chart.

Other accomplishments in 2006

The office participated in the development of the department's Business Plan.

The office participated in the Citywide Employee Survey.

Application/planning assistance given to community-based agencies resulted in over \$166,000 worth of grant awards.

Application assistance was given to community-based agencies applying for the EZ small grant program.

Information was provided to participants of the Health Department's pre-proposal conference for CDBG Public Service funding.

Working with the City Coordinator's Office we are providing support to activities involving our Sister Cities and other international connects.

Facilitated the process for departments submitting requests for items to be included in the Federal budget.

Fourth Quarter 2006 Applications/Awards in Relation to City Goals

| | |
|---|--|
| A safe place to call home-housing, health and safety | Applications: 13 Awards: 8 % of applications: 41 |
| One Minneapolis-equal access, equal opportunity, equal input | Applications: 10 Awards: 1 % of applications: 31 |
| Lifelong learning second to none-schools, libraries and innovation | Applications: Awards: % of applications: |
| Connected communities-great spaces and places, thriving neighborhoods | Applications: 6 Awards: 1 % of applications: 19 |
| Enriched environment-green space, arts, sustainability | Applications: 3 Awards: 10 % of applications: 9 |
| A premiere destination-visitors, investment and vitality | Applications: Awards: % of applications: |

Applications and awards for this year will be reported quarterly because of the mid year change in City Goals.