TALLY of VOTES (as of 12pm 11/6)

Time limits at the 10/29 meeting didn't allow the group to discuss all items. In an effort to anticipate concerns from the votes staff is offering re-worded options for E-Vote.

ongoing cultural oriental VOTE 12 (10/29): PROPO	tion and community e SED MODIFICATION: - R Ommunity organization	engagement training. equire City staff who work in the board members to have ongoin	ho work in the community to have ne community, community ng cultural orientation and community
APPROVED NEW VOTE orientation and community receiving City funding for training.	12 (E-VOTE): Require Congression of the community engagement training. Expression community engagement	ity staff members who work in necourage staff and board menent to have cultural orientation	the community to have ongoing cultural nbers from community organizations n and community engagement
E-VOTE 12	Green_13	Yellow0	Red_1
best practices in communication departments interacting with [10/29: Green0, Yellow-APPROVED NEW PROPOLETICAL PROPOLETICAL NEW PROPOLETICAL PROPOLITAL PROPOLETICAL PROPOLETICAL PROPOLETICAL PROPOLETICAL PROPOLET	ty engagement to be available that the community. Peer standard that the community. Peer standard that the community. Peer standard that the community of the community. The community of the community.	lable to all community organizations support by those demonstrating (request to re-word)] y should provide a forum where funding for community engage	hould provide the structure resources for ations receiving city support and all best practices should be utilized. best practices can be shared among ement and City departments. Peer Red0_
VOTE 16(10/29): Propos	SED ADDITION: (location	n to be determined) The city sho	ould provide adequate resources to city
department programs that	rely on residents as their	primary human resource (such	A
community engagement bu was an effort to remain co	reach to the community t at it was noted that the fu ansistent in the level of re	hat the CCP/SAFE program off nding has been cut over the yea	Ters a significant resource and model for rs. This proposed addition from 10/29 t) thus the "programs that rely on wily on volunteer residents.
	_		ould provide adequate resources to City
programs such as CCP/SA	The state of the s	r residents to carry out its missi	
E-VOTE 16	Green13	Yellow_1	Red0
CHARACTERIS		ZATIONS THAT CAN R	RECEIVE RESOURCES TO
\ "	ents to lead and initiate in h that community organi	the prioritization, planning and zation.	ry organizations who should receive city action on issues specifically important
	_	community engagement spectr	
receive City support for co	mmunity engagement in		y organizations that are eligible to ose: involving resident participation and that address those issues.
E-VOTE 18	Green11	Yellow_3	Red0

Characteristic #3 (9/12)) Ensure that membership is open with **no barriers to participation** or membership (such as membership dues, requiring attendance at a certain number of meetings before voting rights are conferred, etc.).

APPROVED TO KEEPVOTE 20(10/29): **PROPOSED MODIFICATION:** Ensure that membership is open with no barriers to participation or membership (such as <u>mandatory</u> membership dues, requiring attendance at a certain number of meetings before voting rights are conferred, etc. [10/29: Green--0, Yellow--7, Red--2 = DISCUSS (no related conversation)]

Context: The word MANDATORY was added to acknowledge that membership dues might be an option but they are not required for a member to participate or vote. The characteristic #3as written above the vote 20 is already part of the characteristics in the report (vote on 8/15/07 of 13-0-5).

NO NEW PROPOSED MODIFICATION Green vote = keep vote 20; yellow vote = discuss further on 11/8; red vote = keep characteristic #3 already in draft report with no modification													
	Green	•		Yellow _		riculion		Red_	_4				
Characteristic #9 (9/ organization. The boaless than 60 percent of beginning of the cont	ard must r	epresent anization's	a fair cr s board.	oss-sectio An electo	on of t	he commard must	nunity; r	neighb	orhood	reside	nts m	ust comp	
VOTE 21 (10/29): PR the membership of the neighborhood organ . An elected board must eligible for funding. [e organizations, st be in pl	ation. The neighbor ace for a	e board : hood re minimu	must repr sidents m m of one	esent ust co year p	a fair cro emprise n prior to th	oss-secti o less tl ne begin	on of han 60	the cor	nmunity nt of the	y. In e orga	the case	e of 's board.
APPROVED NEW PR regular basis by that of contract year. In the of of the community. In percent of the organiz	organizati case of co the case of	on's men mmunity of neighb	nbership organiz	and who	is in e orga	place for mization'	at least 's board	one y	ear pri	or to the ent a rea	e beg asona	inning of	f the s-section
E-VOTE 21		Green1	12			Yellow_	_0			Red	l2_		
DISCUSSION 2(10/2 recommend allocating how would it include	g resource	es but do	not say										
done rather than "how amounts or sources. modifications that space" Green (I agree)	v". The re While the pecificall	port mak detail wo	tes nume ould be detected to the	erous refe valuable,	rences to ma ts fro	s to "wha nintain th om the co	nt" shou he scop ommun	ld reco	eive res ne repo nt sugg	sources ort there est mo	but d e will re de	loes not s l be no tail.	
Task force member co		from <mark>yello</mark>		•									

- I feel our report should include a statement that the Task Force was unable to create more specific recommendations for a number of reasons including the unrealistic time frame, our inability to get to any real discussions of "best practices," the fact that the one energizing presentation we had (Dr. Fung) didn't happen in time for us to really make use of it, and the reality that the elephant of NRP's future took up too much space in the room.
- Again, this seems important enough to have one last discussion about it. I can think of arguments for including at least one recommendation for a funding source to advance community engagement. To have no suggestions does seem to weaken our recommendations
- I suggest that one key statement in the draft, regarding funding adopted NRP action plans and contracts, was "lost" by inserting the text into the body of the report versus having it appear in the funding section. I had made this latter suggestion at the meeting where the draft report was adopted.