

Community Engagement Track Two Task Force

Voting Results

Notes: Votes on all dates except 9/12 were done through a dotmocracy where participants were asked to indicate if they approved, did not approve or were tentative (unsure or needed more information, etc.) about each item. Approval percentages do not include tentative votes. Votes taken on 9/12, at the last meeting, were vocal, and included only votes for or against. Information that is crossed off is included for historical purposes only. The remaining information is included in the Track Two report.)

Line #	Type of information	Text	Vote date	Vote results (yes-no-?)	% approval	Status (based on vote results as well as group discussion)
Principles and Qualities of Principles						
1	Principle #1	Public participation is based on the belief that those who are affected by a decision have a right to be involved in the decision-making process.	8/15/07	(17-0-0)	100%	In report
2	Quality of Principle #1	Community engagement should be a fundamental value and should be part of the regular culture of how things are done.	8/15/07	(17-0-0)	100%	In report
3	Quality of principle #1	Community engagement should be consistent regardless of who you are or who is in charge at any given time.	8/15/07	(10-1-6)	59%	On the table to discuss
		Vote called to strike from report	9/12/07	Vote called	-	Struck - 9/12
4	Principle #2	Public participation includes the promise that the public's contribution will influence the decision.	8/15/07	(7-1-8)	44%	Replaced
	Principle #2	Public participation includes the promise that the public's contribution will be thoughtfully considered.	8/22/07	(13-1-0)	93%	In report
5	Quality of principle #2	Engagement should happen as early in the process as possible - before momentum is difficult to redirect.	8/15/07	(15-0-2)	88%	In report
6	Quality of principle #2	All participants should be humble and open to different viewpoints—all views should be "thoughtfully considered."	8/15/07	(14-0-3)	82%	Replaced by 6a and 6b
		All participants should be humble and open to different viewpoints	8/22/07	(8-0-6)	57%	Replaced by 6a and 6b
		All participants should be respectful and open to different viewpoints.	8/29/06	(8-4-0)	67%	Replaced by 6a and 6b
6a	Quality of principle #2	Organizations involved in the community engagement process should encourage and provide the opportunity for all viewpoints to be heard.	8/29/07	(13-0-0)	100%	In report
6b	Quality of principle #2	Individuals involved in the community engagement process should strive to be respectful and open to the ideas of others.	8/29/07	(10-1-0)	91%	In report
7	Quality of principle #2	Community engagement should include a deliberative process of weighing pros and cons.	8/15/07	(17-0-0)	100%	In report
8	Principle #3	Public participation promotes sustainable decisions by recognizing and communicating the needs and interests of all participants, including decision makers.	8/15/07	(10-1-4)	67%	In report
9	Quality of principle #3	Engagement should be about what the community needs not only about what the city needs input on at any given time.	8/15/07	(11-1-2)	79%	In report
10	Quality of principle #3	The process must be fair—not everyone gets their way, but everyone has a place at the table.	8/15/07	(12-0-2)	86%	In report
11	Quality of principle #3	Communication must be two-way.	8/15/07	(14-0-2)	88%	In report
12	Quality of principle #3	Notifications should be broad.	8/15/07	(12-0-3)	80%	In report
13	Quality of principle #3	Formal and informal relationships should be identified, recognized and valued.	8/15/07	(9-0-5)	64%	In report
14	Quality of principle #3	The city should coordinate with other jurisdictions, such as parks, schools and libraries, on community engagement.	8/15/07	(15-0-1)	94%	In report
15	Principle #4	Public participation seeks out and facilitates the involvement of those potentially affected by or interested in a decision.	8/15/07	(13-1-2)	81%	In report
16	Quality of principle #4	Potential community engagers should be creative in their techniques to gain participation.	8/15/07	(14-2-0)	88%	In report

17	Quality of principle #4	Efforts should be made to identify barriers and make the path to participation easier for those who are least likely or able to participate.	8/15/07	(13-0-2)	87%	In report
18	Quality of principle #4	Resources should be allocated or available to groups with community engagement mandates.	8/15/07	(9-3-4)	56%	In report
19	Quality of principle #4	Groups that receive resources to provide participation should have accountability and measurable outcomes including how the group is representative.	8/15/07	(13-0-3)	81%	In report
20	Principle #5	Public participation seeks input from participants in designing how they participate.	8/15/07	(10-0-6)	63%	In report
21	Quality of principle #5	There should be established expectations and roles.	8/15/07	(14-0-3)	82%	In report
22	Quality of principle #5	There should be clear expectations and mutual accountability for core principles.	8/15/07	(15-0-1)	94%	In report
23	Principle #6	Public participation provides participants with the information they need to participate in a meaningful way.	8/15/07	(14-0-2)	88%	In report
24	Quality of principle #6	There should be a systematic way to regulate community engagement—a clear understanding of what will be communicated and how.	8/15/07	(6-0-11)	35%	Replaced
	Quality of principle #6	There should be a consistent and predictable system for sharing information needed for informed community engagement.	8/22/07	(10-0-4)	71%	In report
25	Quality of principle #6	Communication should be well-defined, timely, fair, clear and transparent.	8/15/07	(17-0-0)	100%	In report
26	Quality of principle #6	Communities should be made aware of how decisions will affect them.	8/15/07	(16-0-1)	94%	In report
27	Quality of principle #6	Legal requirement for notice should be clearly stated and understood by all.	8/15/07	(14-0-3)	82%	In report
28	Principle #7	Public participation communicates to participants how their input affected the decision.	8/15/07	(14-0-2)	88%	In report
29	Quality of principle #7	There should be two-way follow-up to “close the loop” about what happened and why.	8/15/07	(17-0-0)	100%	In report
Recommendations						
30	Recommendation of principle #1	Document the value of and commitment to community engagement by approving “Minneapolis Core Principles of Community Engagement.”	8/15/07	(14-0-2)	88%	In report
30b	Recommendation of principle #2	Require organizations that receive resource to engage the community, to encourage openness to alternative viewpoints by incorporating “Minneapolis Core Principles of Community Engagement” in their work.	8/29/07	(9-0-6)	60%	In report
31	Recommendation of principle #3	Develop more formal way to include independent government boards in the community engagement system (ex. School Board, Park Board, County, etc.)	8/15/07	(16-0-1)	94%	On the table to discuss
	Recommendation of principle #3	Develop more formal way to include independent government boards in the community engagement system (ex. School Board, Park Board, County, etc.)	9-12-07	Vote called	-	In report – vote called to keep as is
32	Recommendation of principle #3	Informally connect with the County, schools, parks, and libraries by inviting them to community organization meetings.	8/15/07	(7-1-9)	41%	Struck – 8/15
33	Recommendation of principle #3	Develop a system for citywide engagement regarding citywide issues.	8/15/07	(14-0-2)	88%	In report
34	Recommendation of principle #3	Develop a system to coordinate input from the Neighborhood Revitalization Program to City departments.	8/15/07	(15-0-3)	83%	In report
35	Recommendation of principle #3	Develop a system to get increased citizen input into the planning process.	8/15/07	(17-0-0)	100%	In report
36	Recommendation of principle #4	Develop a system to allocate resources to organizations that are asked to engage the community.	8/15/07	(11-0-6)	65%	In report
37	Recommendation of principle #4	Approve “Characteristics of organizations that can receive funding to engage the community.”	8/15/07	(8-3-6)	47%	Replaced
	Recommendation of principle #4	Approve “Characteristics of organizations that can receive resources to engage the community.”	8/22/07	(10-0-4)	71%	In report
38	Recommendation of principle #4	Develop a system of accountability for organizations that receive funding to engage the community.	8/15/07	(16-0-2)	89%	In report
39	Recommendation of principle #4	Utilize and support current community engagement projects and initiatives including the Neighborhood Revitalization Program.	8/15/07	(12-0-5)	71%	In report

40	Recommendation of principle #4	Formally integrate block clubs into the community engagement process and consider an outreach plan to new block residents – welcome packets, welcome teams/committees.	8/15/07	(5-2-10)	29%	On the table to discuss
		Vote to strike from report	8/22/07	(13-0-1)	93%	Struck – 8/22
41	Recommendation of principle #4	Host an annual or biennial gathering/celebration of the community that includes community organizations, city departments, libraries, schools and parks.	8/15/07	(5-2-9)	31%	Struck – 8/15
42	Recommendation of principle #4	Implement a system of field hearings – hold more meetings at times and locations that are convenient to the affected community.	8/15/07	(16-0-1)	94%	In report
43	Recommendation of principle #4	Identify or create locations around the city for meetings, etc.	8/15/07	(17-0-0)	100%	In report
44	Recommendation of principle #5	Require all partners involved in the community engagement process to incorporate the Minneapolis Core Principles of Community Engagement in their work.	8/15/07	(9-1-5)	60%	In report
45	Recommendation of principle #5	Seek input about and include community engagement partners in decisions regarding changes to the community engagement system.	8/15/07	(17-0-0)	100%	In report
46	Recommendation of principle #6	Create a department or commission for public engagement within the City of Minneapolis with adequate staffing.	8/15/07	(5-3-9)	29%	Replaced by 46c
46a	Recommendation of principle #6	Create a new Department of Community Engagement with adequate staffing for public engagement and it's accountability within the city of Minneapolis.	8/29/07	(5-7-2)	36%	Replaced by 46c
46b	Recommendation of principle #6	Create an Office of Community Engagement, within another department of the city, with adequate staffing for public engagement and its accountability within the City of Minneapolis.	8/29/07	(0-12-1)	0%	Replaced by 46c
46c	Recommendation of principle #6	Create a citizen commission of community engagement.	8/29/07	(9-3-3)	60%	In report
47	Recommendation of principle #6	Provide more information "on demand" (more video recordings of meetings.)	8/15/07	(7-1-9)	41%	Replaced
	Recommendation of principle #6	Provide information quickly and in ways that are tailored to users' needs.	8/29/07	(12-0-0)	100%	In report
48	Recommendation of principle #6	Utilize technology, including the Web and WIFI, to provide information to encourage and increase informed community engagement.	8/15/07	(12-1-4)	71%	In report
49	Recommendation of principle #6	Create a central location that informs people of the various avenues and resources available for participation.	8/15/07	(10-1-6)	59%	In report
49b	Recommendation of Principle #6	Develop a system for utilizing existing community events and groups (such as neighborhood events and block clubs) to disseminate information.	8/22/07	(5-1-8)	36%	Replaced
	Recommendation of Principle #6	Find consistent ways to use existing community events and groups to gather and disseminate information.	8/29/07	(15-0-1)	94%	In report
49c	Recommendation of Principle #6	City commitment to hire full-time staff to maintain a community engagement Web site.	8/29/07	(7-2-7)	44%	Replaced
	Recommendation of Principle #6	Dedicate adequate staff time to maintain a community engagement section on the City's Web site that is user-friendly and can provide two-way communication through interactivity.	9/10/07 online	(11-0-4)	73%	In report
49d	Recommendation of Principle #6	Require city staff who work within the community to have community engagement training.	8/29/07	(12-0-2)	86%	Vote pending to merge with 81
	Recommendation of Principle #6	Merging Lines #49d & #81 – Require city staff who work in the community to have cultural orientation and community engagement training.	9/10/07 online	(10-2-3)	67%	On table to discuss
	Recommendation of Principle #6	Require city staff who work in the community to have ongoing cultural orientation and community engagement training.	9/12/07	Voted called	-	In report – vote called to keep merged rewrite
50	Recommendation of principle #7	Establish policies that require reporting the results of a decision, particularly to those who provide input.	8/15/07	(14-1-2)	82%	In report
Characteristics of Organizations That Can Receive Resources to Engage the Community						
51	Characteristic of organizations	The City may provide resources including administrative funding, training or staff assistance to eligible groups that desire to work to engage the community.	8/15/07	(11-1-5)	65%	In report

52	Characteristic of organizations	Represent a geographically defined neighborhood (in its entirety) within Minneapolis as identified by the most current Minneapolis Communities and Neighborhoods Map as amended and approved by the City Council, *or be another type of organization that represents a community, but not necessarily geographically.	8/15/07	(7-0-9)	43%	Replaced by 52a and 52b
52a	Characteristics of organizations	Represent a geographically defined neighborhood (in its entirety) within Minneapolis as identified by the most current Minneapolis Communities Neighborhood Map.	8/29/07	(13-0-1)	93%	In report
52b	Characteristics of organizations	Represent a cultural or affinity group.	8/29/07	(11-0-3)	79%	In report
53	Characteristic of organizations	Represent, and provide for the participation of, the interests of all segments of the entire community, including, but not limited to, homeowners, renters, property owners, business owners, immigrants, non-English speakers, low-income residents and communities of color. Groups that primarily represent the interests of one segment of the community or concentrate primarily on one issue are not eligible.	8/15/07	(8-0-9)	47%	On the table to discuss
	Characteristic of organizations	Merge lines #53 and #63 - The constituents of the group should have access to the decision-making process and/or control of the organization so that the organization's work reflects the constituent's desires.	9/10/07 online	(9-1-5)	60%	On the table to discuss
	Characteristic of organizations	Representative groups must demonstrate that they use broad, open and inclusive deliberations on behalf of their constituents and comply with all applicable laws.	9/12/07	Vote called	-	In report – vote called to approve rewrite and merge
54	Characteristic of organizations	The group must be able to demonstrate how it is representative.	8/15/07	(9-1-6)	56%	In report
55	Characteristic of organizations	The group must incorporate the Minneapolis Core Principles of Community Engagement in their work.	8/15/07	(11-1-4)	69%	In report
56	Characteristic of organizations	Ensure that membership is open with no barriers to participation or membership (such as membership dues, requiring attendance at a certain number of meetings before voting rights are conferred, etc.).	8/15/07	(13-0-5)	72%	In report
57	Characteristic of organizations	Hold regular open meetings and take positive steps to encourage all interested parties in any issue to attend and participate. Also, all written information of the organization (including books, minutes, membership lists, etc.) must be available for review by any member of the organization. A group may deviate from this rule only in case of labor and legal disputes.	8/15/07	(8-0-9)	47%	On the table to discuss
		Vote called to strike from report - include sentiment in line #53 and #63 rewrite.	9/12/07	Vote called	-	Struck – 9/12
58	Characteristic of organizations	The group must be incorporated (or identify an appropriate fiscal agent) and have adopted by-laws. The group must also have a grievance procedure by which its members may have their concerns addressed by the organization, and a conflict of interest policy and procedures.	8/15/07	(15-0-1)	94%	In report
59	Characteristic of organizations	The group must have a board of directors elected annually by the membership of the organization. The board must represent a fair cross-section of the community; neighborhood residents must comprise no less than 60% of the organization's board. An elected board must be in place for a minimum of one year prior to the beginning of the contract year to be considered eligible for funding.	8/15/07	(10-0-7)	59%	In report
60	Characteristic of organizations	The group must have the ability to properly manage and account for grant funds. This includes, but is not limited to, being current on all reporting on previous grants.	8/15/07	(10-0-5)	67%	Vote on table to merge with line #63
	Characteristic of organizations	Merge lines #60 and #62 - The group must have the ability to manage and provide clear documentation to account for resources used, how they were used and what was achieved. This includes, but is not limited to, being current on reporting on previous grants.	9/10/07 online	(14-0-1)	93%	In report
61	Needs to be placed	Mission of the community organization should have as one of its elements community safety.	8/29/07	(0-8-7)	0%	Struck – 8/29

62	Needs to be placed	If resources are provided by the City, there should be clear documentation on what resources were used, how they were used and what was achieved when those resources were used.	8/29/07	(13-1-1)	87%	Vote pending to merge with line #60
	Characteristic of organizations	Merge lines #60 and #62 - The group must have the ability to manage and provide clear documentation to account for resources used, how they were used and what was achieved. This includes, but is not limited to, being current on reporting on previous grants.	9/10/07 online	(14-0-1)	93%	In report as line #60 (line #62 struck)
63	Needs to be placed	Members of the community organization have access to decision making and/or control of the organization so that what the organization is doing is driven by the constituents desires.	8/29/07	(10-1-4)	67%	Vote pending to strike – reflected in line #53
	Characteristic of organizations	Merge lines #53 and #63 - The constituents of the group should have access to the decision-making process and/or control of the organization so that the organization's work reflects the constituent's desires.	9/10/07 online	(9-1-5)	60%	On the table to discuss
		Vote taken to merge into line #53 rewrite	9/12/07	Vote called	-	Vote called to merge into line 53
Miscellaneous and Pre-placement						
64	Needs to be placed	A community organization is one that offers a service or a benefit that would improve the broadest number of people.	8/29/07	(3-4-9)	19%	Struck – 8/29
65	Needs to be placed	Goal of a community organization should include the empowerment of all members of the community and not just those in agreement on a particular issue.	8/29/07	(5-2-8)	33%	Struck – 8/29
66	Needs to be placed	City should expect that periodically community organizations should be responsible for providing the City with what the priorities are of the constituency the community organization represents.	8/29/07	(10-0-5)	67%	Vote pending on rewrite and placement
	Characteristic of organizations	The group must periodically provide the City with information on the priorities of the constituency the community organization represents.	9/10/07 online	(11-0-4)	73%	In report - rewrite and placement approved
67	Needs to be placed	There should be a clear and well documented path with supporting documentation of how a decision was arrived at.	8/29/07	(12-0-3)	80%	Vote pending to strike – reflected in line #50
		Strike the language of Line #67 as it is reflected in line #50.	9/10/07 online	(13-2-0)	87%	Struck – 9/11
68	Needs to be placed	Use today's technology to allow for City information and its policies to current, well referenced and organized and easily searchable.	8/29/07	(12-0-4)	75%	Vote pending to strike – reflected in #47 and #48
		Strike the language of Line #68 as it is reflected in lines #47 & 48.	9/10/07 online	(14-1-0)	93%	Struck – 9/11
69	Needs to be placed	Neighborhood organizations should be strengthened to maximize their ability to serve as "one stop" for local residents needs whether they be geographical or otherwise since having a single source to go to enhances the ability for a neighborhood organization to bringing different groups together, balance diverse needs and create connections points.	8/29/07	(3-6-6)	20%	Struck – 8/29
70	Needs to be placed	Resource allocation should be done collaboratively between the City and the community organization.	8/29/07	(8-1-7)	50%	Vote pending on rewrite and placement
	Recommendation of principle #5	Include community organizations in decisions about resource allocation whenever possible and appropriate.	9/10/07 online	(15-0-0)	100%	In report - rewrite and placement approved
71	Needs to be placed	Use council member's office as a resource for enhancing cross community organizational collaboration including those entities outside of City Council such as the Park Board and School Board.	8/29/07	(3-4-9)	19%	Struck – 8/29
72	Needs to be placed	Provide a means by which residents could volunteer in Council Members offices as the resident contact.	8/29/07	(0-11-4)	0%	Struck – 8/29

73	Needs to be placed	Neighborhood organizations should be notified at the earliest possible time whenever a change that could affect the make up or character of the neighborhood is being considered.	8/29/07	(11-2-2)	73%	Vote pending to strike – reflected in line #5
		Strike the language of Line #73 as it is reflected in line #5.	9/10/07 online	(13-1-1)	87%	Struck – 9/11
74	Needs to be placed	Promote mid and upper levels of CPED to go out into the neighborhoods and work directly with neighborhood organizations	8/29/07	(5-0-9)	36%	Struck – 8/29
75	Needs to be placed	Make timeliness for City projects better coordinated between city planners and community organizations to prevent developers from working the system.	8/29/07	(13-0-3)	81%	Replaced
	Recommendation of principle #4	Make timelines for City projects better coordinated between city planners and community organizations.	9/10/07 online	(15-0-0)	100%	In report
	Recommendation of principle #4	Place line #75 as a recommendation of principle #4.	9/10/07 online	(12-0-3)	80%	Placement approved
76	Needs to be placed	Create well defined points of interaction between the City and community organizations from the beginning of each process to its end.	8/29/07	(16-0-0)	100%	Vote pending on rewrite placement
	Recommendation of principle #4	Create well-defined points of interaction between the City and community organizations from the beginning of each process to its end including evaluation.	9/10/07 online	(13-2-0)	87%	In report
	Recommendation of principle #4	Place line #76 as a recommendation of principle #4.	9/10/07 online	(11-1-3)	73%	Placement approved
77	Needs to be placed	Cultural communities that do not have a city advisory committee should have the opportunity to do so, providing equal access.	8/29/07	(5-0-9)	36%	Vote pending on rewrite - on the table to discuss
	Recommendation of principle #3	Provide cultural communities that do not have a city advisory committee the opportunity to do so, providing equal access.	9/10/07 online	(8-2-5)	53%	Rewrite and placement on the table to discuss
		Vote called to strike from report	9/12/07	Vote called	-	Struck – 9/12
78	Needs to be placed	Non-geographic community organizations should be notified about city community engagement processes as well as geographic community groups (neighborhoods).	8/29/07	(12-0-3)	80%	Vote pending on rewrite placement
	Recommendation of principle #3	Notify geographic and non-geographic community organizations about community engagement processes.	9/10/07 online	(13-1-1)	87%	In report - rewrite and placement approved
79	Needs to be placed	The cultural community organizations should provide information on how to participate in city processes to the community they represent.	8/29/07	(12-0-4)	75%	Vote pending on rewrite placement
	Characteristic of organizations	79a) Community organizations that receive resources for community engagement should provide information on how to participate in city processes to the community they represent. (or) 79b) Cultural community organizations should provide information on how to participate in city processes to the community they represent.	9/10/07 online	(10-1-4) Positive votes: 5 for 'a' 5 didn't specify	67%	On table to discuss
	Characteristic of organizations	Community organizations that receive resources for community engagement should provide information on how to participate in city processes to the community they represent.	9/12/07	Vote called	-	In report – vote called to keep version a of line 79
80	Recommendation of principle #4	Maintain an updated, centralized and inclusive list of contact information on community organizations (both geographic and non-geographic) for notification and outreach.	8/29/07	(13-0-3)	81%	In report Vote pending on placement
	Recommendation of principle #4	Place line #80 as a recommendation of principle #4.	9/10/07 online	(12-0-3)	80%	Placement approved
81	Needs to be placed	Provide cultural orientation training for city staff.	8/29/07	(7-4-5)	44%	Vote pending to merge with #49d - On the table to discuss

		Merging Lines #49d & #81 – Require city staff who work in the community to have cultural orientation and community engagement training.	9/10/07 online	(10-2-3)	67%	On table to discuss
		Line 81 merged with line 49d	9/12/07	Vote called	-	Vote called to merge line 81 into line 49d
82	Needs to be placed	Greater levels of participation can be achieved when the city is able to coordinate with community events (i.e. gather input at community festivals, events, regular meetings, etc.)	8/29/07	(11-0-6)	64%	Vote pending to strike – reflected in line #49b
		Strike the language of Line #82 as it is reflected in line #49b.	9/10/07 online	(14-1-0)	93%	Struck – 9/11
83	Needs to be placed	Allocate resources and staff for administration of adopted NRP Neighborhood Action Plans and existing contracts beyond 2009.	9/10/07 online	(7-3-5)	47%	On table to discuss
	Recommendation of principle #4	If approved, place line #83 as a recommendation of principle #4.	9/10/07 online	(6-0-1)	86%	Placement approved
	Recommendation of principle #4	Allocate resources and staff for administration of adopted NRP Neighborhood Action Plans and existing contracts beyond 2009.	9/12/07	Vote called	-	In report – vote called to keep in report
84	Recommendation of principle #4	Require City Boards and Commissions to implement community engagement strategies in their work, providing them sufficient resources and training. Strategies should include annual evaluation and accountability reports.	9/10/07 online	(11-0-4)	73%	In report
	Recommendation of principle #4	If approved, place line #84 as a recommendation of principle #4.	9/10/07 online	(9-0-2)	82%	Placement approved
Intro	Introduction – Next Steps section	This report answers the charges given to the Task Force by the City Council. In this report the Task Force outlines principles and practices it believes are important for an improved community engagement system between the city and community organizations. It gives guidance on what city support is necessary to make such improvements be successful. Further work needs to be done to bring these recommendations to the next level of detail. The Task Force is recommending this additional work be citizen driven as was the generation of this report.	9/10/07 online	(12-0-3)	80%	In report
Definition	At the beginning of the Core Principles section	“Community engagement is the participation of members of a community assessing, planning, implementing, and evaluating solutions to problems that affect them. As such, community engagement involves interpersonal trust, communication, and collaboration. Such engagement, or participation, should focus on, and result from, the needs, expectations, and desires of a community's members. Community is not solely defined by geographic boundaries and may include residents, users, community organizations and institutions, neighborhood associations, businesses and workers, cultural communities, advocacy groups, students and youth.”	9/10/07 online	(12-1-2)	80%	In report
Charge #5	In the “How the Task Force Met the Charge” section	The Task Force did not focus on creating a section in the report to specifically address official support, however, support is addressed throughout the report	Proposed 9/12/07	Replace text proposed	-	Replaced
	In the “How the Task Force Met the Charge” section	The Task for did not focus on creating a section in the report to specifically address official support. Successful implementation of the community engagement system will require political will and financial support of the Mayor, City Council and other jurisdictions to uphold the principles within the report.	9/12/07	Vote called		In report – vote called to approve replacement text.

Matrix	Characteristics section	<p>In addition to these "Characteristics of community organization that can receive resources to engage the community," the Task Force discussed creating a "Level of Engagement" matrix that the city could use to determine eligibility for resources based on level of community engagement. The matrix, based on a spectrum, could be used both at the organization and issue level, and could identify the expectation of both the city and the organization based on where the issue or organization fell on the spectrum. While the group did not have time to fully develop this concept or agree on a specific matrix, there was some momentum for this concept in the group. An example matrix is included as an appendix to this report.</p>	Proposed 9/12/07	Replacement text proposed	-	Replaced
	Characteristics section	<p>In addition to these "Characteristics of community organization that can receive resources to engage the community," the Task Force discussed creating a "Level of Engagement" matrix. While the group did not have time to fully develop or discuss this concept or agree on a specific matrix, there was some momentum for this concept in the group. An example matrix is included as an appendix to this report.</p>	9/12/07	Vote called	-	In report – vote called to approve replacement text