

**City of Minneapolis
CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form**

Section I. Property Information

PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I.

ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY

PLEASE ATTACH MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJACENT PARCELS

Submitted by: Earl Pettiford

Phone #: 5231

Form Initiated Date: 1/24/2013

Complete by Date:

1. Address: 421 6th Avenue NE

2. Property Identification Number (PIN): 1402924310133

3. Lot Size: 40X130

4. Current Use: Single Family House

5. Current Zoning: R2B

6. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): The structure on this parcel is fully depreciated and will be razed to make way for a new single family dwelling which will be developed by Project For Pride In Living.

7. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City: None

8. Project Coordinator comments: The proposed activity has been discussed with the neighborhood and they have pledged funds to help cover a developemnt gap on this project

PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Section II. Zoning Review

9. Lot is Buildable for **any** structure Non-Buildable for **any** structure

Explain: The parcel meets minimum lot size requirements single family development, subject to standard residential district regulations including setbacks.

10. Will any land use applications be required to achieve the **proposed** future use noted in item 6?

Yes No If yes, what applications? Administrative Site Plan Review

11. Comments:

Completed by: Robert Clarksen Date: 1/28/2013

ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Section III. Community Planning Review

12. List adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel: none

13. Future land use/designation(s) identified in The Minneapolis Plan and other adopted plans: Urban neighborhood

14. Is future land use proposed in item 6 consistent with future land use plans?

Yes No If no, why not?

15. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development?

Yes No If yes, explain possible development scenarios

16. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development?

Yes No If Yes, what type of development?

Comments:

Completed by: Haila Maze Date: 1/29/2013

COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

**City of Minneapolis
CPED - Public Land Sale and Acquisition Form**

Planning Director Review

by: Jack Byers Date: 1/30/2013

PLANNING DIRECTOR:

EMAIL FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Residential Policy and Finance

by: Wes Butler

Date: 2/22/2013

Comments: Residential Finance concurs

Residential & Real Estate Development

by: Elfric Porte

Date: 2/22/2013

Comments: R-RED supports the proposed development of the site.

Business Development Staff Comments

by: Kristin Guild

Date: 2/22/2013

Comments: Business Development concurs with the sale and redevelopment strategy

Economic Development Director Review

by: Cathy Polasky

Date: 2/24/2013

PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX:

PROCEED to market the property as proposed

Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner (in Section III) in advance of CD Committee hearing to acquire City Planning Commission finding of consistency with *The Minneapolis Plan for Sustainable Growth*

HOLD this property for discussion at next available Director's Meeting

Note: If there is policy conflict or strategic options outlined in this form, this land sale must be discussed at a Director's Meeting so that a decision on whether or not to proceed can be made

Director's Notes (reason for **HOLD** status): _____

Housing Director Review

by: Tom Streitz

Date: 2/24/2013

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO ARLENE.ROBINSON@MINNEAPOLISMN.GOV

Note: The completed and signed CPED public land form and the Planning Commission action as to the consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan **must** be attached to the staff report that is submitted to the Community Development Committee.