Menshek, Peggy Y

From: Clegg, Barry F. <Barry.Clegg@gpmlaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 2:00 PM
To: 'Roger Worm'
Cc: Redistricting2012
Subject: RE: Redistricting Question

Thanks for your comment. I am copying it to our electronic inbox.

Barry

From: Roger Worm [mailto:rogerworm@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 1:58 PM
To: Clegg, Barry F.
Subject: Re: Redistricting Question

As a thought, if you move south of 42nd back into 13 and West Calhoun into 7, that makes 7 closer to the mean. West Calhoun is more closely associated with 7 with the mass transit and roads and East Harriet is more associated with the lakes than it is with Kingfield and the neighborhoods east. Ward 8 essentially ends up with the same deficit that ward 7 presently has.

I can see from your other iterations, that being lake centric is a driver (may be implied rather than explicit). As a 36 year resident of EHFN and former neighborhood board member, the focus of the neighborhood is the lake via Rose Garden.

I know my input should go through public channels, but time is of the essence.

Thanks,

Roger Worm

From: "Clegg, Barry F." <Barry.Clegg@gpmlaw.com>
To: 'Roger Worm' <rogerworm@yahoo.com>
Cc: ""Redistricting2012' (Redistricting2012@minneapolismn.gov)"" <Redistricting2012@minneapolismn.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 1:37 PM
Subject: RE: Redistricting Question

Roger – The software we use has population by census block (which is generally a city block). The software is Maptitude and, unfortunately, we only have one license – which is managed by our GIS consultant (i.e. the guy who runs the software for us) – so that's not something I have access to here. But you are essentially correct – if we keep all of East Harriet in 10, 10 is more than 5% greater than the mean in terms of population, hence the division.

If you want to play with a publicly available mapping tool, you can use the online Common Cause mapping tool at http://localdistrictbuilder.drawminnesota.org/ - select City Council and it lets you move blocks around from Ward to Ward. In fact, I just used that tool and moved all of East Harriet into 10 to see what would happen. The population south of 42nd Street is 1,476. If we move that into 10, 10 is too big and 8 is too small.

Barry

From: Roger Worm [mailto:rogerworm@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 1:21 PM

To: Clegg, Barry F.
Subject: Re: Redistricting Question

Thank you for your response to my inquiry. I have been out of the country and have not have access to the internet.

I am a resident of East Harriet Farmstead Neighborhood (EHFN) and have watched the redistricting editions. From the iterations, it appears that EHFN is at a critical junction point in the plans and is being used to balance wards. In preparation to make input to the process at a public hearing. (e.g. tonight), the questions I have relate to the distribution of population of EHFN.

From the City web-site, the 2010 census population of EHFN is 3,604.
What is the population of EHFN south of 42nd Street?
Do you have population information sliced north to south by street?

Thank you.

Roger Worm
712 West 40th Street
612-743-0616
From: "Clegg, Barry F." <Barry.Clegg@gpmlaw.com>
To: "'rogerworm@yahoo.com'" <rogerworm@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 8, 2012 11:24 AM
Subject: Redistricting Question
The City Clerk's office advised that you called with a question about the Redistricting Process. I am the Chair of the Charter Commission. What is your question?

Thanks.

Barry Clegg

Barry Clegg
Attorney
Gray Plant Mooty
500 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN USA 55402

Phone: 612.632.3220
Fax: 612.632.4220
Barry.Clegg@gpmlaw.com
Click Here For My Bio

NOTICES: Pursuant to the rules of professional conduct set forth in Circular 230, as promulgated by the United States Department of the Treasury, unless we expressly state otherwise in this communication, nothing contained in this communication was intended or written to be used by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and it cannot be used by any taxpayer for such purpose. No one, without our express prior written permission, may use or refer to any tax advice in this communication in promoting, marketing or recommending a partnership or other entity, investment plan or arrangement relating to any one or more taxpayers.

This message is from a law firm, and thus may contain or attach confidential information or an attorney-client communication that is confidential and privileged by law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized person. If you believe that you have received this message or any attachment in error, simply

delete both from your system without reading or copying, and notify the sender by e-mail or by calling 612-632-3000. Thank you.