

## POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

## Public Case Synopses

May 2017

Outcome		Description
1	Sent to coaching, policy violation and coached	7-406.01 Role of Officers in the Primary Pursuit Vehicle Officers were engaged in a pursuit after a vehicle fled detention. The matter was brought before the Pursuit Review Committee (PRC) who found that the lead pursuit driver failed to air his speed during the course of the pursuit. After PRC's review of the matter, it was then forwarded to the Joint Supervisors for their review, who decided to send the matter to coaching.
2	Dismissed, no basis	9-201 (A)(7) – Searching People Complainant contends that an officer frisked and cuffed him without "articulating any reason", other than stating that "some random person" claimed that Complainant looked like someone who had punched a bartender. Complainant asserts that he was told that the alleged perpetrator was white, whereas he claims to be "100% Arab".
3	Sent to investigation, dismissed, no basis	5-105(A)(4) – Professional Code Of Conduct Complainant alleges that he was having a conversation about the "absurdity of existence with a current member of United States Armed Forces" when he was "handcuffed/detained" by two officers. Next, Complainant claims that two legal pocket knives and wallet were taken from him and he was escorted from the business by the officers. Afterwards, Complainant contends that the officers told him that someone had reported that he had been "threatening violence upon [himself]". More importantly, Complainant contends that he was never given his wallet and believes the officers were professional in dealing with him.
4	Sent to investigation, discipline imposed: 40 hour suspension, 3 month prohibition from off-duty work	3-800(III)(A)(1) Off Duty Employment It is alleged that the officer left an overtime shift 4 hours prior to what was claimed as hours worked. Further, when questioned by a supervisor whether he had worked his entire shift, the officer allegedly told the supervisor that he had. On another day, the officer is alleged to have worked off-duty employment while also working his regular shift, resulting in the officer being paid by more than one employer at the same time. Additionally, it is alleged that he failed to apply and receive approval for the off-duty employment.
5	Dismissed, no basis	5-104.01 – Professional Policing Complainant contends that he was raped by another person and "asked for a DNA test", but then was referred to the police. He claims that there is footage of the rape. He also claims that he was not given a copy of the report by an officer "or any information about the process". He also claims that his perpetrators threatened him with death if he reported them.
6	Sent to coaching, no coaching or policy violation	5-104.01 – Professional Policing Complainant alleges that a "black woman started beating on a...white mentally[-]ill woman" and an officer failed to intervene. Complainant further states that after talking to both women, the officer put the mentally-ill woman into custody. Complainant also contends that the officer was very rude.
7	Sent to Investigation, dismissed, no basis	5-301 – Use of Force Complainant alleges that numerous officers used excessive force when apprehending him, including punching him in the back of the head repeatedly and dislocating his finger. Complainant further alleges that his hands were up when arrested.
8	Dismissed, no basis	5-103 – Use of Discretion Complainant alleges she was stopped and searched illegally.

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Public Case Synopses

May 2017

<p>9</p>	<p>Dismissed, no basis</p>	<p>5-105(E)(2) Professional Code of Conduct                      Complainant contends that she was in bed, staying at a local shelter, when she heard a "man's loud voice." Complainant claims that she went to see what was happening and witnessed a "security guard...belittling and taunting" another resident. Complainant asserts that the security guard taunted the other resident by refusing to give her her badge and phone. Complainant also claims that the guard called the resident a "b****h" and then started choking her after the resident attempted to get her ID badge and phone back from the security guard's pockets. As he was choking the resident, Complainant claims that she pulled on the security guard's hoodie in order to get him to stop; as result of pulling on his hoodie, Complainant contends that the guard fell and told her, "So that's the way you want it. You want some, too."</p> <p>Complainant claims that she was able to call 911 in regards to the alleged assault and two officers--Officers 1 and 2--came to assist. However, Complainant asserts that the officers disregarded her complaint and instead belittled her, saying in reference to her that "...if someone refuses a breathalyzer[,],you can be sure they've been drinking." Complainant contends that this statement was made after she refused to do a breathalyzer because the incident was due to the security guard's actions and not her own.</p> <p>Complainant also asserts that the Officer 1 wanted her and the resident--who were in the process of being kicked out from the shelter--to wait out in the "cold...in pajamas, no socks, no coat" as a staff member gathered her and the other resident's belongings. Complainant contends that she refused the shelter's mandate to leave as she felt her eviction was unjustified.</p> <p>Also, Complainant claims that, as she was ushered up to her room to retrieve her belongings by the shelter staff, Officer 1 said, "[M]ake it quick, I'm tired of babysitting."</p>
<p>10</p>	<p>Dismissed, no basis</p>	<p>5-301 – Use of Force                      Complainant asserts that Officer 1 and 2 used excessive force on a juvenile. Specifically, it is claimed that an, "officer had his knee on [the juvenile's] back[,],making it difficult to breath [and] another punched her in the face. Also, the juvenile claimed that when requested to get her shoes, the, "the officers dragged her down the stairs[,],scratching her back and hurting her hip."</p>